



Corey Switzer <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>

25-208a Weeks (Switzer)

2 messages

Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>
To: "coreyswitzer@gmail.com" <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>

Tue, Sep 23, 2025 at 4:09 PM

Mr. Switzer:

Please provide your availability for the review hearing in the above-referenced matter for the following dates:

October 14, 15

The hearing will be held via Zoom.

Respectfully,

Karen Carlisle, Clerk

Professional Conduct Board/Fee Arbitration

Idaho State Bar www.isb.idaho.gov |

P.O. Box 895, Boise, ID 83701

Direct: (208) 955-8876|

kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov

Corey Switzer <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>

Tue, Sep 23, 2025 at 6:13 PM

To: Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>, Lori Ostertag <lostertag@isb.idaho.gov>, Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>, Patty Weeks <pattyweeks@co.nezperce.id.us>

Subject: Formal Objection & Demand for Recusal: Your Void Attempt to Schedule a Hearing in a Matter Under Supreme Court Jurisdiction (John Doe v. Jane Doe, Docket 53006-2025)

To: Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>

Cc: Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>, Lori Ostertag <lostertag@isb.idaho.gov>,

Date: September 23rd, 2025

Dear Ms. Carlisle,

I am in receipt of your email dated September 23, 2025, requesting availability for a hearing in the matter you refer to as "25-208a Weeks (Switzer)."

Your request is not merely a procedural error; it is a void act demonstrating a fundamental disregard for the orders of the Idaho Supreme Court and confirms your profound conflict of interest in this matter. You are attempting to schedule a hearing for a case that is no longer under the Idaho State Bar's jurisdiction and for which you have no administrative authority.

1. The Idaho Supreme Court Has Assumed Jurisdiction and Anonymized the Case.

As you are well aware, and as confirmed by the Supreme Court's orders transmitted by the Clerk's Office on June 24, 2025, and July 7, 2025, this matter is now Supreme Court Docket No. 53006-2025, styled John Doe (2025-18) v. Jane Doe. The Court's Order Amending Title specifically mandates that "all parties shall use this designation in subsequent filings."

Your use of the non-confidential title "25-208a Weeks (Switzer)" is a direct violation of this Court order.

Furthermore, the Court's Order Appointing Senior Judge Juneal Kerrick explicitly removed this matter from the standard Professional Conduct Board process. The order states: "the Idaho State Bar transmitted the grievance... to this Court rather than referring it to the Professional Conduct Board for appointment of a Hearing Committee" and appoints a Senior Judge to "conduct the hearing that the Hearing Committee... would otherwise have conducted."

Your attempt to schedule a Hearing Committee review is a direct contradiction of the Supreme Court's order. The authority to schedule any hearing now rests with Senior Judge Kerrick, as the Court's specially appointed fact-finder. The Idaho State Bar's role, per the Court, is merely to "assist in facilitating the hearing," not to initiate it.

2. This Action is Part of a Pattern of Gross Misconduct and Conflict.

This latest error is not isolated. It is a continuation of the obstructive and conflicted conduct that necessitated Supreme Court intervention in the first place, including:

- The Turner-Coleman Conflict: Your role as a material witness to the central conflict-of-interest allegations (as a recipient of relevant correspondence) while acting as the administrative clerk.
- Intentional Obstruction: Your persistent refusal to address the documented obstruction of public records and your attempt to silo interconnected cases to conceal a pattern of misconduct.
- Bad-Faith Scheduling: Your attempt to schedule a hearing during a period of documented unavailability, a tactic designed to prejudice my participation.

Your actions consistently demonstrate a bias that is "prejudicial to the administration of justice" in violation of IRPC 8.4(d).

3. Formal Demands.

In light of the Supreme Court's assumption of jurisdiction and your demonstrated inability to act impartially, I hereby demand you immediately:

1. CEASE AND DESIST: Immediately halt all unauthorized administrative actions regarding John Doe v. Jane Doe (53006-2025) and all related PCB matters (25-168a, 169a, 187a). Any further attempts to interfere with the Supreme Court's process will be reported as obstruction.
2. ISSUE A FORMAL RECUSAL: You must immediately recuse yourself from any and all involvement, whether administrative or otherwise, in these matters. Your conflict is irreparable.
3. RELEASE THE INVESTIGATIVE FILE: Immediately produce the complete, unredacted investigative report and all documents prepared by Deanna Tollefson concerning Jane Doe (Patricia Weeks), Trac Turner, Justin Coleman, and April Smith. The Supreme Court's involvement supersedes the Bar's internal confidentiality protocols, especially when such confidentiality has been used to shield misconduct.
4. PROVIDE WRITTEN CONFIRMATION: Provide a written statement confirming that all PCB proceedings related to these matters are SUSPENDED per the Supreme Court's order and that all authority has been transferred to Senior Judge Kerrick.

The Idaho Supreme Court is now overseeing this matter. Your continued unauthorized interference is unacceptable. This correspondence will be filed as a supplement with the Supreme Court to document this latest act of obstruction.

Respectfully,

COREY MICHAEL SWITZER

Pro Se

,JOHN DOE (2025-18)

264 Kernodle Rd,

Gibsonville, NC 27249

(218)461-9868

coreyswitzer@gmail.com

Enclosures:

- Email from Karen Carlisle dated September 23, 2025
- Supreme Court Order Appointing Senior Judge Juneal Kerrick (July 2025)
- Supreme Court Order Amending Title (June 24, 2025)

[Quoted text hidden]

4 attachments**Gmail - 53006-2025 John Doe (2025-18) v. Jane Doe (ISB 25-208).pdf**
53K**Gmail - 53006 JOHN DOE v. JANE DOE; In Re Grievance Against Attorney.pdf**
56K**Order Appointing Senior Judge J Kerrick to Conduct a Hearing.pdf**
348K**Order Amending Title.pdf**
581K