

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO**

Petitioner for Grievance Against )  
Jane Doe, Attorney. )  
----- )  
JOHN DOE (2026-05), )  
 )  
Petitioner, )  
 )  
v. )  
 )  
JANE DOE, Attorney, )  
 )  
Respondent. )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

**REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION**

Supreme Court Docket No. 53669-2026

Idaho State Bar No. 26-026C

**INTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW**

Petitioner John Doe submitted a grievance to the Idaho State Bar on January 21, 2026, against Respondent Jane Doe, Attorney, a member of the Idaho State Bar and a lawyer member of the Professional Conduct Board. Pursuant to IBCR 508(a), on January 27, 2026, the Idaho State Bar transmitted that grievance to the Idaho Supreme Court. On February 17, 2026, the Court entered its Order Appointing Senior Judge Juneal Kerrick to Complete an Investigation and Report. Petitioner filed a Limited Objection to Appointment and Request for Reassignment on February 23, 2026. The Supreme Court's Order Denying Limited Objection was filed on March 2, 2026.

By letter dated and sent February 18, 2026, a schedule was established for both Petitioner and Respondent to provide any additional statements, submissions, materials and/or documents

**REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION--**

they wished to have considered in connection with this investigation (Exhibit A). Petitioner's original Grievance had been forwarded to this investigator (Exhibit B).

On February 23, 2026, Petitioner submitted a Supplemental Update with attachments (Exhibit C).

On March 7, 2026, Respondent Attorney sent her Response, including an audio of the hearing conducted by the Professional Conduct Board on January 15, 2026 (Exhibit D-1 and D-2).

On March 8, 2026, Petitioner submitted his rebuttal (Exhibit E).

On March 8, 2026, Respondent Attorney sent notice that she would not be submitting Surrebuttal.

### **PETITIONER'S CLAIMS**

Petitioner's grievance does not arise from claims that Respondent Attorney violated provisions of the Idaho Rules of Professional Conduct (IRPC) in connection with the representation of a client. Rather, his complaint stems from her role as the chairperson of a Hearing Committee from the Idaho State Bar's Professional Conduct Board assigned to hear an appeal by Petitioner from a decision by Deputy Bar Counsel to dismiss the Grievance in ISB Case No. 25-168a that had been submitted on May 9, 2025. The appeal hearing was held on January 15, 2026. On January 21, 2026, Petitioner filed a formal grievance with the Idaho State Bar against Respondent Attorney, alleging misconduct in her role as Hearing Committee Chair. On January 27, 2026, the Idaho State Bar transmitted the grievance to the Idaho Supreme Court pursuant to IBCR 508(a). On February 3, 2026, the *Lewiston Tribune* reported that D.G., a bookkeeper for Travelland RV, a Lewiston, Idaho, business, had been criminally charged with Grand Theft by Embezzlement. On February 4, 2026, Petitioner filed a Supplemental Notice of

Emergency Stay with the Idaho Supreme Court and served the documents on the Idaho State Bar, including the “newly discovered embezzlement indictment” based the newspaper article. On February 6, 2026, the Decision of Hearing Committee was filed, signed by Respondent Attorney as Chair on February 5, 2026: “The Committee has authorized the Chair to execute this decision because it is unanimous.” The Committee determined that the record did not establish, by clear and convincing evidence, that the Respondent Attorney (A.S.) in ISB Case No. 25-168a violated the Idaho Rules of Professional Conduct. Pursuant to IBCR 509(d)(8), the decision was determined to be final. The written decision further provided that “No appeal or reconsideration will be heard. *See Id.* Accordingly, the Clerk of the Professional Conduct Board shall not accept any additional submissions or filings in this matter” (Exhibit G). On February 3, 2026, the Supreme Court issued orders denying Petitioner’s Emergency Motions.

Respondent Engaged in Conduct Prejudicial to the Administration of Justice at the January 15, 2026 Proceeding Before the Professional Conduct Board Hearing Committee

Petitioner contends that Respondent committed a violation of IRPC 8.4(d), Engaging in Conduct Prejudicial to the Administration of Justice, at the January 15, 2026, Hearing Committee proceeding. In his January 21, 2026, Grievance, Petitioner asserted that immediately prior to the hearing commencing, Respondent violated standards of procedural fairness and the prohibition against *ex parte* communications by an adjudicator. Petitioner claims that Respondent had conducted a “closed door” meeting via Zoom with a “Community Advocate” in the presence of Professional Conduct Board Clerk Karen Carlisle. Petitioner states that this “meeting” was conducted without notice to Petitioner and without Petitioner’s presence or participation. In his initial filing, Petitioner claimed a “Bar Commissioner” was overheard directing the group to “rush through this hearing.” Petitioner argues that this instruction, made in the presence of

Respondent Attorney, created the appearance of bias and undue influence over the Hearing Committee's independence. Further, this statement amounted to "undeniable supervisory misconduct" and proves prejudgment and an intent to deny a full and fair hearing.

In Petitioner's Supplement, filed February 23, 2026, he seemed to have abandoned his earlier claim that an unknown "Bar Commissioner" directed Respondent to "rush through this hearing"—that it was instead Respondent who made the statement to the "Community Advocate" immediately prior to the scheduled hearing. He reiterated his argument that this "meeting" was conducted without notice to him and without his presence or participation—and that it consequently violated the prohibition against *ex parte* communications in adjudicative proceedings. Petitioner described these statements as "secret, off-record communications with a third party about the proceeding over which she presided." However, at a later spot in his February 23<sup>rd</sup> submission, Petitioner repeated his first claim that it was a "Bar Commissioner" whom he overheard instructing the Hearing Committee member and Respondent to "rush through the hearing." Petitioner again argues that this instruction in Respondent's presence created the appearance of bias, undue influence, and interference with the Hearing Committee's independence.

Although Petitioner at first contended that a You Tube video recording (<https://youtu.be/OUskpnLdNk8>) reflects this exchange, there is in fact no actual recording of the conversation he alleges took place prior to the commencement of the hearing. He acknowledged in his Rebuttal submission that the "rush through the hearing" statement was not captured by any recording. The You Tube recording posts a picture of Respondent Attorney and offers a generated translation of the Hearing Committee proceeding from an unknown source.



The original audio recording of the hearing was done by the Idaho State Bar but does not include any identifiable conversation prior to the case being called (See Exhibit D-2). During the hearing, however, Petitioner argued that it was Respondent who made the “rush through this hearing” statement. During that January 15<sup>th</sup> hearing Petitioner did not mention the presence of a “Bar Commissioner” during this pre-hearing conversation.

Petitioner contends that any pre-hearing conversation among the Hearing Committee members and the Professional Conduct Board Clerk constituted improper *ex parte* communications that undermined the appearance of impartiality and created the appearance of an impropriety.

#### Respondent Acted Under a Conflict of Interest

Petitioner argues that the formal grievance filed against Respondent on January 21, 2026, created an actual conflict of interest. The Bar referred it to the Supreme Court on January 27, 2026, pursuant to IBCR 508(a). At the time Respondent issued the written Decision of Hearing Committee on February 5, 2026 (filed February 6, 2026), she was the subject of a formal complaint by Petitioner. Petitioner maintains that Respondent consequently should not have continued to act as a decision-maker in the pending Hearing Committee action. Respondent was required to recuse herself and Petitioner asserts that her failure to do so violated IRPC 1.7 (Conflict of Interest: Current Client) and the Idaho Code of Judicial Conduct, Rule 2.11 (Disqualification).

As support, Petitioner contends that his grievance against Respondent was “deemed serious enough to warrant direct Supreme Court review,” yet Respondent still proceeded to adjudicate his grievance appeal and issue the written Decision of Hearing Committee. However, Petitioner’s argument ignores the process prescribed by ICR 508(a), where a grievance against a

lawyer member of the Professional Conduct Board must be referred by the Bar to the Supreme Court.

Further, Petitioner argues that Respondent's actual conflict precluded her from ruling on his post-hearing motions. *See* Order, filed January 21, 2026, where Respondent as the Hearing Committee Chair denied Petitioner's January 16, 2026, Motion for Mistrial and Immediate Stay (Exhibit H). The same Order included a denial of Petitioner's January 21, 2026, Emergency Motion to (1) reopen the written record; (2) compel ruling on pending motions; (3) stay proceedings; (4) consolidate with related cases; and (5) refer for impartial review (Exhibit I).

#### Respondent Denied Petitioner's Due Process Rights

Petitioner alleges conduct by Respondent as Chair of his Hearing Committee violated his right to due process and implicates IRPC 8.4(d), Engaging in Conduct Prejudicial to the Administration of Justice.

On January 15, 2026, the same day as the Hearing Committee proceeding, Petitioner filed a Motion to Mistrial and Immediate Stay, arguing that the Hearing Committee process proved "supervisory misconduct and systemic bias." Petitioner claims that Respondent and the Hearing Committee never properly addressed his Motions and that as a result she "blocked oversight" of the attorney disciplinary process (Exhibit J).

Petitioner also contends that Respondent violated her ethical responsibilities by denying his motions to consolidate, stay, and provide for external review, resulting in his grievances not being fairly heard within the structure of the current Idaho State Bar disciplinary system.

Petitioner claims that Respondent acted improperly in filing the Decision of Hearing Committee two (2) days after the Idaho State Bar was served with Petitioner's Notice of Petitioner's Supreme Court Motions alleging newly-discovered evidence. Specifically, Petitioner

had filed a Supplemental Notice and Emergency Stay with the Supreme Court on February 4, 2026, and served a copy on the Idaho State Bar. This included information about a newly-filed embezzlement charge against Travelland RV bookkeeper D.G., which Petitioner argued supported the accuracy of his “core allegations” in three different grievance appeals and justified reopening the matters. Petitioner argues that Respondent ignored the motions pending in the Supreme Court, filing the Decision of the Hearing Committee anyway.

Petitioner further asserts that Respondent ignored his due process rights because the Decision of Hearing Committee made no reference to the newly-discovered information concerning the embezzlement indictment against a Travelland RV bookkeeper. Petitioner argues that Respondent “either ignored material evidence or issued a pre-determined outcome without proper consideration.” However, the Petitioner’s grievance against A.S. contained no allegation relating to the Travelland RV bookkeeper, only other allegations including failure to investigate different matters. The Decision of Hearing Committee reflects the substance of the issues before it, which did not appear to have any connection with the new indictment other than the individual had been an employee of Travelland RV.

### **RESPONDENT ATTORNEY’S RESPONSE**

Respondent Attorney’s March 8, 2026, response included a written submission (Exhibit D-1) and the audio recording of the January 15, 2026, proceeding before the Hearing Committee (Exhibit D-2). According to Respondent, at the time of the appeal hearing, Petitioner was also the Grievant in two other pending appeals that he contended were interrelated. Following Bar Counsel’s disposition in the matter over which Respondent presided, and prior to the January 15, 2026, hearing, Petitioner had “submitted an additional 1,551 pages,” which supplemental filings

were permitted by Respondent by an Order filed January 8, 2026. That Order, pursuant to IBCR 509(d)(4), limited the oral arguments at the January 15, 2026, hearing to ten (10) minutes each with a three (3) minute period for rebuttal (Exhibit K).

After that, Petitioner submitted a 14-page Hearing Study Guide, an email from Bay Area Transparency, a 48-page Comprehensive Hearing Study Guide, a 50-page Supplemental Filing, a 41-page email, and a 22-page email containing links to two You Tube videos.

Respondent reported on the conversation she had with the Professional Conduct Board Clerk Karen Carlisle as they waited to begin the appeal hearing. One other member of the Hearing Board was also present in the room. There was no Bar Commissioner present, as claimed by Petitioner in his filings. The Clerk advised Respondent that in another of Petitioner's grievance cases (ISB No. 25-187a), the Hearing Committee had left the record open for an additional seven (7) days. Respondent was concurrently aware that in the third of Petitioner's pending Grievance appeals (ISB No. 25-169a), that Hearing Committee had closed the record at the conclusion of the hearing. Respondent then informed the Clerk in the presence of the other Committee member that, in the exercise of her discretion as chairperson of the Hearing Committee, she planned to close the record at the end of the January 15<sup>th</sup> hearing and that no additional filings would be accepted or considered. During this conversation, Petitioner appeared on the Zoom call.

One of Petitioner's complaints is based on his recitation of this conversation. Respondent disputes Petitioner's description of the conversation. She denies that she instructed anyone to "rush through the hearing" and asserts that the merits of the case were not discussed. Her sole comment was that the record was going to be closed that day.

The hearing went forward, during which Petitioner was permitted to submit his additional materials into the record. The parties then agreed that no additional materials needed to be submitted, after which Respondent closed the record for submission of materials. Petitioner then proceeded with this argument. When he articulated what Respondent believed was an inaccurate characterization of what she had said to the Clerk prior to the hearing, Respondent corrected him. Petitioner disputed her clarification and proceeded to present his testimony. Respondent states that Petitioner's case-in-chief took about nine (9) minutes and his rebuttal lasted about four (4) minutes.

Respondent maintains that at no time during the appeal hearing did she attempt to "rush" Petitioner in the presentation and argument of his grievance claims. Nor did she prevent him from presenting all of the evidence he sought to present during that hearing.

Respondent stated that following the January 15, 2026, hearing, Petitioner continued to email the Clerk of the Professional Conduct Board, supplying additional materials. On January 21, 2026, Petitioner filed an Emergency Motion to (1) Reopen Written Record; (2) Compel Ruling on Pending Motions; (3) Stay Proceedings; (4) Consolidate with Related Cases; and (5) Refer for Impartial Review (Exhibit E).

Respondent Attorney denied those motions on January 21, 2026 (Exhibit H).

On January 27, 2026, Petitioner filed this Grievance against Respondent Attorney.

On February 6, 2026, the Decision of Hearing Committee was filed with the Professional Conduct Board. The Decision outlined the three specific actions Petitioner had alleged against Attorney A.S. : "1) that on June 2, 2021, 'A.S. instructed Officer Joseph Lines to halt investigation into Switzer's battery complaint;' 2) that under the direction of A.S. an ethics complaint against Detective Nick Woods was dismissed, and 3) that 'S. ignored evidence of

bribery and tax evasion.”” The Decision identifies Tim Krueger and Travelland RV as the subject of the bribery and tax evasion crimes which Petitioner claimed Attorney A.S. had ignored and failed to investigate in her role as a deputy prosecuting attorney. The Decision included the following: “Having carefully considered the matter, the Committee determines the Record does not establish, by clear and convincing evidence, that the Respondent violated the Rules of Professional Conduct. *The Committee authorized the Chair to execute this decision because it is unanimous*” (emphasis supplied). The Hearing Committee then ordered that the decision of Deputy Bar Counsel dated May 29, 2025, dismissing Petitioner’s grievance was affirmed.

Respondent specifically addressed the allegation that she had violated Rule 8.4, IRPC, by engaging “in conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice.” She asserts that the record does not establish a violation of IRPC 8.4(d). Not only did she not represent the Petitioner, but she maintains that she has not and did not demonstrate any bias or lack of impartiality relative to either party.

Further, she disputes the accuracy of Petitioner’s characterization that she engaged in *ex parte* communications. The discussion with the Clerk in the presence of one other Hearing Committee member as they waited to start the Zoom appeal hearing was not a closed-door meeting; both were part of the Professional Conduct Board and are not parties to the proceeding. At the time the conversation commenced, neither the Petitioner nor the Respondent Attorney who was the subject of Petitioner’s grievance appeal were present. At no time were the merits of the case discussed prior to the commencement of the hearing. Respondent asserts that at the January 15<sup>th</sup> hearing she allowed Petitioner to present his “full and complete argument.” Respondent points out that during the hearing Petitioner did not claim any limitation or “rushing” of his ability to submit his arguments and factual contentions fully, other than his complaint that

the current case should have been consolidated for hearing with his other two pending Grievance appeals. The Hearing Committee members all had the opportunity to ask questions and engage with both parties; there were no time limits imposed for questions and follow-up inquiry.

Respondent reported that after the hearing concluded, the Hearing Committee deliberated and arrived at a unanimous decision. Respondent Attorney was assigned to write the disposition on behalf of the Hearing Committee, which the Rules specified must be submitted within twenty-one (21) days.

When Respondent was assigned to this proceeding, she didn't know the parties or anyone referenced in the appeal. Her first contact with Petitioner and the Attorney about whom he complained was on the date of the appeal hearing. Following the hearing, she has had no contact with the Respondent involved in the hearing. On the other hand, after the hearing Petitioner has sent her numerous emails, to which she has not responded. She maintains that his emails and filings to and against her did not alter her conclusions. Respondent denies that she had any biases for or against either party in the underlying case. Respondent had reviewed the decision of Bar Counsel and the record presented, considered the violations of the ethical rules alleged by Petitioner against Attorney A.S., and determined that he had not met his burden of proof. Respondent stated that her two colleagues on the Hearing Committee reached the same conclusion independently. Respondent represents that she had no personal interest in the outcome of the underlying proceeding as one of three Professional Conduct Board hearing officers.

Respondent also denies that Petitioner's Grievance against her, filed after the hearing but before issuance of the Decision of Hearing Committee, affected her decision. Petitioner's Grievance did not create an actual conflict of interest under IRPC 1.7 or the Idaho Code of

Judicial Conduct 2.11. Respondent maintains that neither Rule applies to this situation, since she did not represent either party in the underlying proceeding and as a non-judge she is not subject to the Code of Judicial Conduct. Respondent argues that the record does not demonstrate that she acted with any prejudice or animus toward Petitioner. As previously pointed out, the Hearing Committee members deliberated immediately upon the conclusion of the January 15<sup>th</sup> hearing and arrived at their unanimous decision at that time—prior to the filing of his grievance.

Respondent contends that the Decision of Hearing Committee was entered in compliance with the process specified by IBCR 509(d)(6), and that the Rule did not contemplate the proceeding being stayed, despite Petitioner's filings. The Hearing Committee's Decision did not address any materials submitted post-hearing because the record was closed on January 15, 2026. The Bar Commission Rules do not require the Hearing Committee to accept new evidence after the record is closed.

Respondent concludes that Petitioner has failed to demonstrate any facts by clear and convincing evidence to support a finding that she violated any Rules.

### **APPLICABLE AUTHORITY**

Section V of the Idaho Bar Commission Rules (IBCR) govern review of an attorney's professional conduct.

Rule 502, IBCR, sets forth the organization and responsibilities of the Idaho State Bar's Professional Conduct Board. Specifically, IBCR 502(c) identifies the powers and duties of the Professional Conduct Board, as follows:

#### **(c) Powers and Duties of Professional Conduct Board.**

The Professional Conduct Board acting by itself, or through delegation to its Chair, Vice-Chair, or the Clerk, shall exercise the following powers and duties:



- (1) *Rule on procedural motions prior to the assignment of a matter to a Hearing Committee;*
- (2) *Appoint Hearing Committees and appoint chairs from among the Lawyer members of the Hearing Committees;*
- (3) *Appoint replacements for members of Hearing Committees who may be unable to serve due to conflict, disqualification, incapacity, or other inability to serve;*
- (4) *Assign Professional Conduct matters to Hearing Committees;*
- (5) *Appoint, with the specific approval of the Supreme Court, a Hearing Committee in cases in which misconduct is alleged against Bar Counsel;*
- (6) *Have its Hearing Committee make findings of fact, conclusions of law and recommendations with regard to the cases assigned to the Hearing Committees;*
- (7) *Have its Hearing Committees recommend, impose, and administer Sanctions; and*
- (8) *Maintain records of matters brought before the Professional Conduct Board, as may be required by these Rules.*

(emphasis supplied). As used in these Rules, “Clerk” means the Clerk of the Professional Conduct Board of the Idaho State Bar. IBCR 501(d).

Rule 503, IBCR, sets forth the applicable rules concerning Hearing Committees appointed by the Professional Conduct Board. That Rule provides as follows:

#### **RULE 503. HEARING COMMITTEES**

- (a) **Establishment and Membership of Hearing Committees.** Each Hearing Committee shall consist of three members of the Professional Conduct Board, two of whom shall be members of the Bar in good standing, and one of whom shall be a non-lawyer. With regard to an assigned matter, no Lawyer member of the Hearing Committee shall reside or have his or her principal place of business within the same judicial district in which the Respondent has his or her principal place of business. Further, no Hearing Committee member shall be assigned to a Professional Conduct matter or petitioner for reinstatement if that member has been assigned previously to a Professional Conduct matter involving the Respondent.
- (b) **Powers and Duties.** Hearing Committees shall exercise the following powers and duties:
  - (1) *Conduct disciplinary proceedings as assigned by the Professional Conduct Board in accordance with these Rules;*
  - (2) *Rule upon motions and other matters assigned by the Professional Conduct Board and/or delegate such rulings to the Hearing Committee chair;*
  - (3) *Request the further investigation of any matter assigned by the Professional Conduct Board;*
  - (4) *Make findings of fact, conclusions of law and recommendations with regard to the cases assigned by the Professional Conduct Board;*
  - (5) *Recommend, impose and/or administer Sanctions, as provided in these Rules;*
  - (6) *Recommend interim suspension, as provided in Rule 510(c); and*

- (7) Undertake other related tasks assigned by the Professional Conduct Board or the Supreme Court.
- (c) **Quorum.** Three members shall constitute a quorum for the purpose of hearing evidence and issuing findings and conclusions. *All decisions of a Hearing Committee shall be by the majority vote of the three Hearing Committee members.* Participation by telephone or other electronic means shall constitute presence in person.
- (d) **Compensation and Expenses.** Members of Hearing Committees shall receive no compensation for their services but shall be reimbursed for their travel and other reasonable expenses incidental to the performance of their duties.
- (e) **Conflict of Interest.** *Hearing Committee members shall not take part in any proceedings in which a judge, similarly situated, would be required to recuse himself or herself. (emphasis supplied)*

Rule 509, IBCR, sets forth the procedure for disciplinary proceedings. Rule 509(d) provides that either the Grievant or Respondent may request review by a Hearing Committee of Bar Counsel's disposition. "The Chair of the Professional Conduct Board shall appoint from among its approved membership a Hearing Committee to review the matter." Rule 509(d)(3). "The Hearing Committee shall review the existing record prior to holding a hearing, if one is requested. If a hearing is held, the parties shall be permitted to file briefs and make oral argument related to the grievance under review, and the Hearing Committee may ask questions regarding the record before it. *The Hearing Committee Chair may limit the presentation at the hearing, in his or her sole discretion.* All written materials related to the hearing shall be sent to the Clerk, who shall disseminate them to all parties and to the Hearing Committee. *(emphasis supplied).* See IBCR 509(d)(4). The Hearing Committee shall render its decision within twenty-one (21) days following the date upon which the record is submitted to the Hearing Committee or the date of the telephonic hearing (if any), whichever is later." IBCR 509(d)(6).

Rule 505 lists what constitutes misconduct and shall be grounds for imposition of sanctions. Only Rule 505(a) is potentially applicable to Petitioner's grievance. It provides as follows:

- (a) **General.** Acts or omission by a Lawyer, individually or in concert with any other person or persons, which violate the Idaho Rules of Professional Conduct, as amended, or any other ethical canon or requirement adopted by the Supreme Court, whether the acts or omission occurred in the course of an attorney-client relationship.

The Idaho Rules of Professional Conduct govern an attorney's ethical obligations. While the text of each Rule is authoritative, the comments guide interpretation. The "Scope of the Rules of Professional Conduct" explains their application:

[14] The Rules of Professional Conduct are rules of reason. They should be interpreted with reference to the purposes of legal representation and of the law itself. Some of the Rules are imperatives; cast in the terms "shall" or "shall not." These define proper conduct for purposes of professional discipline. Others, generally cast in the term "may," are permissive and define areas under the Rules in which the lawyer has discretion to choose not to act or acts within the bounds of such discretion. Other Rules define the nature of relationships between the lawyer and others. The Rules are thus partly obligatory and disciplinary and partly constructive and descriptive in that they define a lawyer's professional role. Many of the Comments use the term "should." Comments do not add obligations to the Rules but provide guidance for practicing in compliance with the Rules.

Petitioner cited Rule 1.7, IRPC, as support for his claim that Respondent should not have remained on the Hearing Committee after he filed the State Bar Grievance against her. That Rule provides as follows:

**Rule 1.7: Conflict of Interest: Current Clients**

- (a) Except as provided in paragraph (b), a lawyer shall not represent a client if the representation involves a concurrent conflict of interest. A concurrent conflict of interest exists if:
- (1) the representation of one client will be directly adverse to another client; or
  - (2) there is a significant risk that the representation of one or more clients will be materially limited by the lawyer's responsibilities to another client, a former client or a third person or by the personal interests of the lawyer, including family and domestic relationships.

In his February 23<sup>rd</sup> Supplemental Submission, Petitioner acknowledges that Rule 1.7 governs client representation when a lawyer's personal interests will materially limit the representation. However, without citing authority, he argues that it "applies with equal force to adjudicative roles." Petitioner maintains that Respondent could not impartially adjudicate any matters relating to this case after being informed that he had filed a grievance against her.

Petitioner also cited IRPC Rule 8.4, Misconduct, as applicable in this matter. IRPC Rule 8.4 (c) provides that it is professional misconduct for a lawyer to engage in conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit or misrepresentation. Rule 8.4(d) states that it is professional misconduct to engage in conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice.

Although Respondent as a non-judge is not subject to the Idaho Code of Judicial Conduct, *See Application, section A, Applicability of this Code*, IBCR 503(a) provides under the heading of "Conflict of Interest," that "Hearing Committee members shall not take part in any proceedings in which a judge, similarly situated, would be required to recuse himself or herself."

Rule 1.2, Idaho Code of Judicial Conduct, *Promoting Confidence in the Judiciary*, is informative. It provides that "A judge shall act at all times in a manner that promotes public confidence in the independence, integrity, and impartiality of the judiciary and shall avoid impropriety, and the appearance of impropriety." The Code provides specific definitions for certain of these terms, as follows: "'Independence' means a judge's freedom from influence or controls other than those established by law." "*Impartial*," "*impartiality*," and "*impartially*" mean absence of bias or prejudice in favor of, or against, particular parties or classes of parties." "*Impropriety*" includes conduct that violates the law, or provisions of this Code, and conduct that undermines a judge's independence, integrity, or impartiality." "*Integrity*" means probity, impartiality, honesty, uprightness, and soundness of character."

Rule 1.2, Idaho Code of Judicial Conduct, Comment 3, provides that “Conduct that compromises or appears to compromise the independence, integrity, and impartiality of a judge undermines public confidence in the judiciary.

ICJC Rule 1.2, Comment 5, states that “Actual improprieties include violations of law, or provisions of this Code. The test for appearance of impropriety is whether the conduct would create in reasonable minds a perception that the judge violated this Code or engaged in other conduct that reflects adversely on the judge’s honesty, impartiality, temperament, or fitness to serve as a judge.”

Petitioner invokes ICJC Rule 2.9 in his claim that Respondent had an improper *ex parte* communication just prior to the commencement of the January 15<sup>th</sup> Hearing Committee proceeding. ICJC Rule 2.9, *Ex Parte* Communications, states that: “(A) A judge shall not initiate, permit, or consider *ex parte* communications, or consider other communications made to the judge outside the presence of the parties or their lawyers, concerning a pending or impending matter, except as follows: ..... (3) A judge may consult with court staff and court officials whose functions are to aid the judge in carrying out the judge’s adjudicative responsibilities, or with other judges, provided the judge makes reasonable efforts to avoid receiving factual information that is not part of the record, and does not abrogate the responsibility personally to decide the matter.” Routine conversations with court staff are generally permissible, especially if they do not invoke substantive facts or arguments. However, even with staff, it is necessary to be cautious, since any factual or substantive procedural matters should be disclosed so the parties have an opportunity to respond.

Petitioner also cites ICJC Rule 2.11, Disqualification, in support of his complaint that Respondent violated her ethical responsibilities while serving as a hearing officer. Rule 2.11

requires that “a judge shall disqualify himself or herself *in any proceeding in which the judge’s impartiality might reasonably be questioned (emphasis supplied)*. Comment [2] states that the requirement not to hear or decide matters in which disqualification is required applies whether or not a disqualification motion is filed. However, it is well-settled that the mere filing of a complaint against a judge is not sufficient to require disqualification and that a complaint of judicial misconduct alone will not result in a judge having to recuse himself or herself.

In attorney discipline matters, the lawyer’s misconduct must be proven by clear and convincing evidence, *Idaho State Bar v. Clark*, 153 Idaho 349, 355, 283 P.3d 96, 102 (2012)(quoting *Wilhelm v. Idaho State Bar*, 140 Idaho 30, 34, 89 P.3d 870, 874 (2004)). *See also*, IBCR 525(e). “Clear and convincing evidence is evidence that indicates the thing to be proved is highly probable or reasonably certain.” *Neustadt v. Colafranceschi*, 167 Idaho 214, 225, 469 P.3d 1, 12 (quoting *In re Doe*, 157 Idaho 694, 699, 339 P.3d 755, 760 (2014)).

## **FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS**

Respondent Attorney, as a member of the Professional Conduct Board, was appointed pursuant to IBCR 502(2) and (4) to the Hearing Committee to consider the appeal in ISB No. 25-168a regarding Attorney A.S. She was also appointed to serve as the Chair of that Hearing Committee. However, Respondent was not assigned to consider the appeals in the two other grievances filed by Petitioner that had also been dismissed by Bar Counsel. Nor was she empowered to order consolidation of the three grievance actions. *See* IBCR 502(1) and (4).

As Chair of the appeal/review Committee, on January 7, 2026, Respondent entered an Interim Order pursuant to IBCR 509(d)(4), prescribing the pre-hearing and hearing procedure governing the Review Hearing scheduled for January 15, 2026. Each party was allotted no more

than five (5) minutes to present any procedural concerns or objections to the Hearing Committee. Grievant was then allowed up to ten (10) minutes to present his initial argument, and the Attorney was likewise allotted the same length of time for her initial presentation. Attorney was permitted to waive any response, in which event no time would be allowed for rebuttal. If Attorney elected to present rebuttal, Grievant was allotted up to three (3) minutes for his rebuttal argument. The Interim Order further provided that “The parties need not repeat arguments already contained in the record because the Hearing Committee members will have thoroughly reviewed the record prior to the hearing” (Exhibit L).

Another Interim Order was entered by Respondent on January 8, 2028, wherein she acknowledged receipt of an email from Petitioner dated January 7, 2026. She ordered that “The Hearing Committee will construe the email as a motion for the admission of evidence,” and the motion was granted. The Order also identified the Record for review before the Professional Conduct Board and the Hearing Committee: ISB File No. 25-168a (300 pages) and the Supplemental Appeal Record (1551 pages); the “Coleman Study Guide and January 5-6 emails” and additional materials submitted by Grievant, including all of the Grievant’s emails to the Professional Conduct Board’s Clerk. The Interim Order further stated that “The Record will be fully reviewed by the Hearing Committee and be part of the official record.” The Interim Order then repeated the time allocations that would be followed during the January 15<sup>th</sup> hearing (Exhibit K).

The appeal in ISB No. 25-168a came before the three-member Hearing Committee on January 15, 2026. Prior to the commencement of the hearing, the Clerk of the Professional Conduct Board informed Respondent Attorney as Chair that in one of Petitioner’s other grievance appeals, the record had been left open for seven (7) following the hearing. This

statement was also made in the presence of another member of the Hearing Committee who was present. Respondent was aware that in a third grievance filed by Petitioner and assigned to a separate Hearing Committee, the record had been closed following the hearing. In response to the Clerk's information, Respondent Attorney stated that she intended to close the record following the hearing that day. Petitioner contended initially, and again later, that a "Bar Commissioner" directed that the Hearing Committee "rush through this hearing," which he claimed affected the impartiality of Respondent Attorney. In later filings he also claimed that it was Respondent who made the statement to "rush through this hearing," which she has denied. There is no actual recording of these statements. Petitioner offered a You Tube video of unknown origin and unknown authentication, but it did not seem to have any recording of the statements about which he complained.

The audio recording of the hearing reflects that the parties were permitted to present their information and arguments pursuant to the time frames and organizational structure specified in the Interim Orders of January 7 and January 8, 2026. Petitioner was also permitted to augment the record with additional information contained in an email he sent approximately two hours in advance of the time scheduled for the hearing.

Following the hearing, the three members of the Hearing Committee conferred. They discussed the arguments and the information in the record and independently, but unanimously, decided that Petitioner had not established by the required standard of "clear and convincing evidence" that Attorney A.S. had violated the Idaho Rules of Professional Conduct in the ways he had alleged. Respondent Attorney as Chair of the Hearing Committee was designated to issue the written decision within the twenty-one (21) days allowed by Rule 509(d)(6). The Decision of Hearing Committee was signed by Respondent on February 5, 2026, and filed with the



Professional Conduct Board on February 6, 2026. The Decision identified the Record that was considered by the Committee and noted that no additional evidence was offered at the hearing. The record was closed at the conclusion of the hearing and no additional evidence was considered in rendering the decision. The Decision identified the three specific actions by Attorney A.S. which Petitioner/Grievant claimed violated her ethical obligations. One was that as a deputy prosecutor she had ignored allegations of bribery and tax evasion related to Tim Krueger and Travelland RV, a business located in Lewiston, Idaho, and that she had failed to investigate. The Hearing Committee found “that the matter has been fully submitted and ripe for decision... that the Hearing Committee has deliberated on this matter and is mindful that the burden of proof is on the Grievant and the standard is clear and convincing evidence...” The Hearing Committee determined that the Record before it “did not establish, by clear and convincing evidence, that the Respondent violated the Idaho Rules of Professional Conduct. The Committee has authorized the Chair to execute this decision because it is unanimous.” The Hearing Committee ordered that the decision of Deputy Bar Counsel dated May 29, 2025, be affirmed. Respondent included in the Decision that the Hearing Committee’s decision was “final” under IBCR 509(d)(8); that no appeal or reconsideration would be heard *Id.*; and that “Accordingly, the Clerk of the Professional Conduct Board shall not accept any additional submissions or filings in this matter (Exhibit F).

Based on the totality of the record that has been established, no attorney misconduct has been established by the required standard of clear and convincing evidence, more particularly set forth below. Petitioner has not met his burden.

**1. Petitioner has not established by clear and convincing evidence that Respondent engaged in conduct prejudicial to the administration of justice at the January 15, 2026 proceeding before the Professional Conduct Board Hearing Committee.**

Petitioner has presented different statements concerning what he heard as he joined the Zoom call on January 15, 2026, immediately prior to the appeal hearing. In some submissions he maintains that a “Bar Commissioner” directed those present—Respondent, the Professional Conduct Board Clerk, and another member of the Hearing Committee—to “rush through this hearing.” In other submissions, and during his argument at the January 15<sup>th</sup> hearing, he maintained that it was Respondent who made the statements to the others, creating an appearance of impropriety and undermining the fairness and integrity of the hearing. No recording exists of this alleged statement.

Respondent admitted that the Clerk advised her in the presence of another Committee member information about the procedure followed in another of Petitioner’s grievance appeals. Respondent responded that the record would be closed at the conclusion of that hearing. Respondent contends that the discussion related to the management of the pending case and did not address the facts, the merits, or substantive procedure.

Petitioner was able to address the issue of the closure of the record during the hearing and in fact agreed that he had no further matters to submit at that time. Respondent, in the exercise of her discretion as the Hearing Committee chair, closed the record. The recording of the hearing demonstrates that both parties were able to present their statements and arguments. Respondent took no action to curtail or limit their presentations or arguments. Petitioner did not assert any inability to present fully any particular information, documentation, or evidence existing at that time. The record also reveals that Respondent allowed Petitioner to augment the record with

information he had emailed the morning of the hearing. There is no support for any claim that the hearing was “rushed.”

While IBCR 503(e) provides that the potential conflicts of a Hearing Committee member should be analyzed similarly to those of judges, it does not specifically address the issue of *ex parte* communications. However, promoting the integrity of the Bar’s adjudicative process suggests that *ex parte* communications among fact-finders should be avoided. However, Petitioner has not established by clear and convincing evidence that Respondent’s follow-up to the Clerk’s inquiry, in the presence of another Committee member, violated Rule 8.4. Petitioner overheard this pre-hearing conversation. And, under the facts of this case, it was more procedural than substantive: both Petitioner and Grievant had adequate time to make their presentations and argument; neither asked for additional time; and neither objected to the closing of the record, which decision was within the discretion of Respondent as Chair. Petitioner has failed to meet his burden.

Petitioner also argued that it was the “quality of the proceeding,” not the quantity of time, that violated IRPC 8.4. However, the audio of the hearing, the ample opportunity to submit a voluminous record, and the content of the unanimous Decision of Hearing Committee undermines this claim.

Petitioner has not sustained his burden.

**2. Petitioner has not established by clear and convincing evidence that Respondent acted under a conflict of interest.**

IRPC Rule 1.7 addresses conflicts of interest with current clients but does not directly apply to Respondent, since she did not represent a client in this matter. However, under IBCR 503(e), a Hearing Committee member is prohibited from taking part in any proceeding “in which a

judge, similarly situated, would be required to recuse himself or herself.” Petitioner contends that an actual conflict of interest was created when he filed his Grievance against Respondent on January 21, 2026. The Idaho State Bar had referred the Grievance to the Idaho Supreme Court on January 27, 2026, pursuant to IBCR 508(a). On February 6, 2026, Respondent signed the Order of Dismissal in ISB Case No. 25-168a. In fact, the unanimous decision of the Hearing Committee had been reached after they conducted the hearing on January 15, 2026, and it was only the written memorialization of the Committee’s decision that remained for Respondent to issue.

However, as stated previously, the filing of a disqualification alone is insufficient to require a judge to recuse himself or herself. Legal proceedings will not be interrupted by a disqualification attempt filed “mid-stream,” except in certain limited circumstances, or upon the showing of certain requirements. Those exceptions are not applicable here. Petitioner has not set forth a record to establish the existence of a conflict that would require disqualification if Respondent were a judge.

Petitioner has failed to sustain his burden.

**3. Petitioner has not demonstrated by clear and convincing evidence that Respondent denied Petitioner’s due process rights.**

Many of Petitioner’s claims stem from his dissatisfaction with the Idaho State Bar’s process for addressing ethical complaints against attorneys. He does not agree with the procedures established by the Idaho Bar Commission Rules. In this action he alleges violations of the Idaho Rules of Professional Conduct by Respondent when she was adhering to the hearing process set forth by Rule. For example, Petitioner faults Respondent for failure to consolidate the appeal to which she was assigned with others that had also been filed by him. Consolidation of grievance

appeals is not specifically provided for in the Bar Commission Rules, but Respondent did not have the authority under Rules 502 and 503, IBCR, to order that. He claims that she violated his due process rights by denying a stay following the hearing, and failing to provide for external review of the process. These examples were not actions that Respondent was empowered to take.

Petitioner also complains that Respondent denied due process by failing to consider newly-discovered information (the embezzlement indictment). However, the hearing had concluded, the decision had been made by the three Hearing Committee members, and all that was left was issuance of the written Decision. The record had closed pursuant to the established procedure. Significantly, even if a re-opening were procedurally available, Petitioner did not demonstrate how the new indictment was material or would change any result; the only connection was that the individual had worked at Travelland RV and that some of Petitioner's complaints about Attorney A.S. related to Travelland, RV. But Petitioner alleged no connection between Attorney A.S. and the Travelland bookkeeper, any misconduct by A.S. in connection with the bookkeeper's case, and the bookkeeper's name had not appeared in this record. Attorney A.S.'s ethical conduct was being reviewed on appeal based on what had been previously alleged, so failure to consider information submitted after the record was closed—information for which no nexus had been offered to establish relevance or materiality, was insufficient to establish a violation of IRPC 8.4.

Petitioner has failed to sustain his burden.

**Procedural Matters Raised by Petitioner Subsequent to Hearing**

**Travelland RV Embezzlement Guilty Plea**

On March 25, 2026, Petitioner sent a document entitled “Supplemental Notice of New Evidence: Guilty Plea in Travelland RV Embezzlement.” He asked that the document be made part of the record and considered new, credible evidence and that it be considered in connection with Petitioner’s credibility in this Idaho State Bar proceeding.

This submission does not strictly comport with the provisions of the Scheduling Letter. However, the Scheduling Letter does not foreclose the consideration of additional information, in the discretion of the investigator. Since information concerning the Travelland RV bookkeeper’s guilty plea could not have been obtained earlier, and is not violative of the Scheduling Letter, it will be made part of the record for the purpose of demonstrating the criminal conduct of that Travelland RV employee.

Though Petitioner’s record has established that certain individuals associated with Travelland RV have been involved in criminal proceedings, this evidence does not demonstrate that Attorney A.S. failed in her ethical responsibilities because the bookkeeper’s criminal conduct and its investigation have not been linked to Attorney A.S., other than she works as a deputy prosecuting attorney. For the same reasons set forth above, even if Respondent had been presented with this information, this new information would not have established any ethical violation against her by clear and convincing evidence in the processing of the appeal.

These documents will be designated as Exhibit AA.

### Complaints About Professional Conduct Board Clerk Karen Carlisle

Petitioner continues to raise “concerns” and “objections” relative to the involvement of Karen Carlisle, the Clerk of the Professional Conduct Board for the Idaho State Bar. Any complaints related to Ms. Carlisle are beyond the scope of this investigation and the responsibility assigned to this investigator by the Court. The documents Petitioner sent are included in this submission, though they have no relevance to Petitioner’s claims against Respondent.

A series of emails began on March 9, 2026, when Clerk Carlisle contacted this investigator by email, pointing out that Petitioner had not filed his Rebuttal with the Clerk of the Supreme Court. Petitioner apparently copied Clerk Carlisle with that email, even though the Scheduling Letter directed that the documents be sent to this investigator, with copies to Respondent.

Reviewing that email via phone, and without a computer available, this investigator advised the Clerk to send the pleading to the Supreme Court. However, such a submission was premature—not the fault of Petitioner, and not the fault of Karen Carlisle. A follow-up email from me advised Petitioner that he had submitted his Rebuttal timely and the Clerk of the Supreme Court assured Petitioner that the document would be maintained but not filed yet, since it required no Supreme Court action at that time. My communication with Petitioner assured him that all his documents would be submitted to the Court in connection with the Report.

Petitioner continues to complain about Clerk Carlisle, but the actions to which Petitioner objected in his March 9<sup>th</sup> emails about her, as well as the October 2025 email in another grievance proceeding, were the result of her attempt to facilitate the work of the Professional Conduct Board and to assist those, like me, assigned to participate in the attorney grievance


process. Inclusion of Petitioner's documents relating to this issue will hopefully assuage his concerns.

These documents will be designated as Exhibit BB.

### RECOMMENDATION

After consideration of the established record, this investigator concludes that Petitioner has failed to demonstrate by clear and convincing evidence that Respondent Attorney violated any provisions of the Idaho Rules of Professional Conduct. It is therefore recommended that this Grievance claim be dismissed.

Dated this 3rd day of April, 2026.

  
Juneal C. Kerrick  
Senior District Judge



Juneal C. Kerrick  
Senior District Judge  
Canyon County Courthouse  
1115 Albany  
Caldwell, Idaho 83605

February 18, 2026

Corey M. Switzer  
264 Kernodle Rd.  
Gibonsville, North Carolina 27249  
[coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com)

Carole I. Wesenberg  
U.S. Courts, Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals  
801 East Sherman, Suite 201  
Pocatello, Idaho 83201  
[carole\\_wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov](mailto:carole_wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov)

Re: John Doe (2026-05) v. Jane Doe, Attorney, Supreme Court Docket No. 53669-2026,  
Idaho State Bar No. 26-026C

Dear Mr. Switzer and Ms. Wesenberg:

By Order dated February 17, 2026, the Idaho Supreme Court appointed me to complete an investigation, report facts, and make recommendations to the Court, pursuant to IBCR 508(a), concerning the Idaho State Bar Grievance that was filed with the Supreme Court on January 28, 2026.

I have received the document entitled "Formal Grievance Submission Against Attorneys Carole I. Wesenberg and Karen Carlisle-ISB Cases 25-168a, 25-169a, 25-187a," filed January 28, 2026. I now invite Petitioner to submit any additional statements, arguments, documentation and/or support for the claim that Respondent Attorney Wesenberg has violated particular rules of the Idaho Rules of Professional Conduct. **Any such supplement shall be submitted in writing, to be emailed on or before Friday, February 27, 2026.** The email correspondence shall be directed to the following email address: [jkerrick@idcourts.net](mailto:jkerrick@idcourts.net).

I also invite Respondent Attorney to respond to Petitioner's Grievance allegations. Respondent Attorney may submit information in response to Petitioner's initial allegations and may also respond to any supplemental submissions by Petitioner that may be sent in accordance with the schedule set forth here. **Any such response shall be submitted in writing, to be emailed on or before Monday, March 9, 2026.** This email correspondence shall be sent to the email address identified in the preceding paragraph.

Exhibit A-1

Petitioner may thereafter submit Rebuttal in response to Respondent Attorney's submissions, if he chooses, **to be emailed on or before Monday, March 16, 2026.**

Respondent Attorney may then submit Surrebuttal, if she chooses, to any Rebuttal offered by Petitioner according to the schedule established. Any Surrebuttal **shall be emailed on or before Monday, March 23, 2026.**

**Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter. I reserve the right not to consider any submissions not received by me timely or not authorized by the schedule established,** since by rule I have a fairly short time in which to complete this assignment.

Sincerely,

***Juneal C. Kerrick***

Juneal C. Kerrick  
Senior District Judge

*Exhibit A-2*

**From:** Corey Switzer  
**To:** Jessica Kline; Joseph Pirtle; Lori Ostertag; Judahluke7@gmail.com; Lisa Webber; hliedkie@co.nezperce.id.us; Trae Turner; jgish@co.nezperce.id.us; dhavens@co.nezperce.id.us; thirteentwelforever@proton.me; info@southdakotatruth.com; 08mad10@gmail.com; city@lmtribune.com; Civil.Fraud@usdoj.gov; justin rodriguez; idahoauditors@gmail.com; tips@nbcuni.com; tips@inlander.com; news@theregister.com; news@kpbx.org; Newsdesk@krem.com; newsroom@idahopress.com; news@bigcountry977.com; News4@kxlv.com; Ada Eldridge; brennonleavy@gmail.com; SUEANNSMITH32@YAHOO.COM; Imccann@house.idaho.gov; nancececcarelli@co.nezperce.id.us; Dale Slack@co.columbia.wa.us; Monte Schmidt; jklein@cityoflewiston.org; Brian.Donovan@usdoj.gov; Representative Brandon Mitchell; bryces@co.nezperce.id.us; ISPPIO@isp.idaho.gov; Brooke Burns; Stephanie Cuddihy; LPD Dispatch; Jason Kuzik; Amy Ledgerwood; Constituent Mailbox; AGO Ombuds; tsparks@clarkstonpolice.org; AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us; Dan Johnson; Patty Weeks; Brian Shinn; Mariel Gates; Chris Seubert; Justin Coleman; Charles Whitman; Curt Liedkie; Intake; Amanda Daylong; apearce@nwtrialattorneys.com; Karen Carlisle; legal@libertasfunding.com; Investigations Info Requests; dmckay@asotincountywa.gov; rlynch@asotincountywa.gov; Public Disclosure; Stacey Harman; usdemsocialists@gmail.com; objectionoverruled2@gmail.com; whistleblower@startribune.com  
**Subject:** Formal Grievance Submission Against Attorneys Carole I. Wesenberg and Karen Carlisle – ISB Cases 25-168a, 25-169a, 25-187a  
**Date:** Wednesday, January 21, 2026 6:02:55 PM  
**Attachments:** Wesenberg Grievance Signed.pdf

**CAUTION:** This email originated outside the State of Idaho network. Verify links and attachments BEFORE you click or open, even if you recognize and/or trust the sender. Contact your agency service desk with any concerns.

Subject: Formal Grievance Submission Against Attorneys Carole I. Wesenberg and Karen Carlisle – ISB Cases 25-168a, 25-169a, 25-187a

**Supreme Court No. 53669-2026**

**To:**  
Idaho State Bar - Office of Bar Counsel ([jcounsel@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:jcounsel@isb.idaho.gov))  
Joseph Pirtle, Bar Counsel ([jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov))  
Professional Conduct Board Members  
Idaho State Supreme Court, Clerk of the Court

Filed: 01/28/2026  
Idaho Supreme Court  
Melanie Gagnepain, Clerk  
By: Kimber Grove, Deputy

**Cc:**  
Corey M. Switzer ([coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com))  
All parties of record as listed in the attached grievance

---

Dear Idaho State Bar Counsel and Members of the Professional Conduct Board,

Please accept this email as the formal submission of a signed grievance against Idaho State Bar attorneys Carole I. Wesenberg and Karen Carlisle for misconduct, procedural violations, and denial of due process in ISB disciplinary cases 25-168a, 25-169a, and 25-187a.

The attached document, "Wesenberg Grievance\_Signed.pdf," contains the complete grievance, including all exhibits, motions, and supporting correspondence. This filing is made in accordance with Idaho Bar Commission Rules and is intended to initiate a formal review of the conduct described therein.

Key issues addressed include:

- Allegations of ex parte communication and prejudgment by Hearing Committee Chair Carole I. Wesenberg

*Exhibit B-1*



- Procedural obstruction and improper evidence exclusion by Clerk Karen Carlisle
- Systemic bias and denial of due process in interconnected disciplinary matters
- A formal demand for recusal, reopening of records, and referral to the Idaho Supreme Court

Please acknowledge receipt of this grievance at your earliest convenience. I also request confirmation that it will be processed in accordance with Idaho State Bar procedures.

Thank you for your attention to this serious matter.

Respectfully,  
Corey M. Switzer  
Pro Se Complainant  
[coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com) | 218-461-9868

Attachment:

- Wesenberg Grievance\_Signed.pdf

On Wed, Jan 21, 2026, 7:08 PM Corey Switzer <[coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com)> wrote:  
Ms. Carlisle,

Please append this to the Grievances against you and anticipated similar grievance against Chairwomen Wesenberg to Follow shortly.

Respectfully,

Mr. Corey M Switzer

On Wed, Jan 21, 2026, 6:28 PM Corey Switzer <[coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com)> wrote:  
Ms. Carlisle,

Please have your superiors forward this grievance to the Idaho State Supreme Court.

No further action is required on your behalf. Any further attempt to justify the due process violations evident by exhibit will be further construed as an attempt to act in a reactive way instead of acting on principles.

You have demonstrated the former where the law requires the latter.

Respectfully,

Mr. Corey M Switzer

On Wed, Jan 21, 2026, 4:18 PM Corey Switzer <[coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com)> wrote:  
To everyone else listed,  
Keep these documents for the records.

It would appear as now Ms. Carlisle is grossly aware of her situation in assisting Carole Wesenberg, and is trying to bring a level of undue pedantry to attempt to divide these three cases after Carlisle has assisted Chair Carole I. Wesenberg in conducting a closed

*En B-2*

door meeting with the community advocate via zoom, as confirmed by Wesenberg.

<https://youtu.be/OU5kpnLdNk8>

If the cases are separate, there's no duplicative responses.

If the cases are consolidated, they immediately are -not- duplicative, they're examples of government employees and agencies working in concert to obstruct justice.

Respectfully,

Mr. Corey M Switzer

On Wed, Jan 21, 2026, 4:00 PM Corey Switzer <[coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com)> wrote:

Subject: Formal Request for Procedural Guidance & Argument Against Forced Duplicative Filings – Cases 25-168a, 25-169a, 25-187a

To: Karen Carlisle <[kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov)>

Cc: Joseph Pirtle <[jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov)>, Hearing Committee Chairs, Bar Counsel

Date: January 21, 2026

Dear Ms. Carlisle,

I am writing in response to your instructions requiring separate motions for each of the above-referenced cases, with each filing to contain only a single PDF document. I have made good-faith efforts to comply with these formatting requirements.

However, a significant procedural and substantive issue has arisen that I must bring to the Board's attention, as it affects the integrity and efficiency of these proceedings.

The Core Issue: Inextricably Interlinked Facts & Evidence

The three cases (25-168a, 25-169a, 25-187a) are not isolated incidents. They arise from a single, unified course of conduct—a reported pattern of retaliation and obstruction against a whistleblower. The Idaho State Bar's own records now contain evidence (such as the Bar Commissioner's "rush through" instruction on January 15, 2026) that demonstrates these matters are administratively and factually connected.

Consequently, the central evidence—including the public records response from the Idaho Legislative Services Office, sworn witness declarations, and documentation of alleged systemic bias—is identical and relevant to all three cases. Forcing the submission of separate motions per case does not create three distinct records; it creates three copies of the same essential record, with only the respondent's name and a handful of tailored paragraphs changed.

The Practical and Due Process Concerns of Forced Duplication

1. Judicial Economy: This requirement generates unnecessary volume, complicating the Board's review rather than streamlining it.
2. Risk of Inconsistent Records: If motions or evidence are filed separately but not

Exh B-3



identically, it could create procedural confusion or an incomplete record in one or more cases.

3. Substance Over Form: The Idaho Rules of Civil Procedure and the Idaho Bar Commission Rules prioritize fair and efficient adjudication. Requiring hundreds of pages of identical exhibits to be filed in triplicate elevates form over substance and places a undue burden on a pro se complainant.

4. Prejudice: The core of my pending motions is that these cases must be consolidated to be fairly evaluated. Being forced to argue for consolidation within three separate, duplicative filings is a procedural contradiction that undermines the argument itself.

Respectful Request for Guidance or Exception

I have complied with the formatting instructions for three separate motions. However, I respectfully request that the Board or the Clerk's Office:

1. Formally Acknowledge the Duplication: Recognize that the record in these three cases is substantially unified, and that future filings will inherently contain significant overlap.

2. Grant an Exception or Provide Alternate Instructions: Allow for a single set of core exhibits to be referenced across all three case files, or provide clear guidance on how to avoid prejudicial duplication while technically complying with the "separate case" rule.

3. Prioritize Ruling on the Consolidation Motions: The most logical solution to this procedural impasse is to rule on the pending Motion for Consolidated Due Process Review. If the cases are consolidated, the issue of duplicative filings becomes moot.

I am prepared to comply with all valid procedural rules. My goal is to ensure a complete and fair record for the Board's review. I believe addressing this duplication issue is a necessary step toward that end.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Respectfully,

Corey M. Switzer

Complainant, Pro Se

[coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com) | 218-461-9868

On Wed, Jan 21, 2026, 3:50 PM Corey Switzer <[coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com)> wrote:

**\*\*To:\*\*** Karen Carlisle <[kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov)>

**\*\*Cc:\*\*** Joseph Pirtle <[jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov)>; Hearing Committee Chair Alycia T. Moss; Justin Coleman <[JustinColeman@co.nezperce.id.us](mailto:JustinColeman@co.nezperce.id.us)>

**\*\*Subject:\*\*** Motion to Reopen Record and for Emergency Relief - ISB Case No. 25-169a

Dear Ms. Carlisle:

Pursuant to the Idaho Bar Commission Rules and Idaho Rules of Civil Procedure, please find attached the following motion for filing in Case No. 25-169a, \*In re: Justin Coleman\*.

Exh B-4

**\*\*Document Attached:\*\* "EMERGENCY MOTION TO REOPEN RECORD AND FOR RELIEF - Case No. 25-169a.pdf"**

This motion is submitted separately as a single document per your instructions. No additional attachments are included in this email.

Respectfully,

Corey M. Switzer  
Complainant, Pro Se  
[coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com)  
218-461-9868

On Wed, Jan 21, 2026, 3:37 PM Corey Switzer <[coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com)> wrote:  
Ms Carlisle,

It has come to my attention that each filing has the wrong attachments as exhibits.

Here are the corrected filings for the record.

Respectfully,  
Mr. Corey M Switzer

On Wed, Jan 21, 2026, 3:26 PM Corey Switzer <[coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com)> wrote:  
January 21, 2026  
Ms. Carlisle,

Per your request, each document has been separated, despite my objections and motions for consolation.

Each document contains attachments to reference.

Additionally, I am supplying the Supplemental Study Guide for the Idaho State Bar to prepare for this emergency Hearing as the Study Guide shall be relevant in all three hearings.

Respectfully,

Mr. Corey M Switzer

On Wed, Jan 21, 2026, 2:34 PM Corey Switzer <[coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com)> wrote:  
Ms. Carlisle,

Upon your request, this has been merged into one document for submission and immediate disbursement.

Respectfully,  
Mr. Corey M Switzer

*Exh B-5*



On Wed, Jan 21, 2026, 2:10 PM Corey Switzer <[coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com)> wrote:

Ms. Carlisle,

Here is the signed sworn copy of the previous Emergency Motion and attachments.

Respectfully,  
Mr. Corey M Switzer

On Wed, Jan 21, 2026, 1:57 PM Corey Switzer <[coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com)> wrote:

Corey M. Switzer  
[coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com) | 218-461-9868

BEFORE THE IDAHO STATE BAR PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT BOARD

In the Matter of: ) Case No. 25-187a (Turner)  
TRAЕ TURNER, ) Consolidated with:  
Attorney-Respondent. ) Case No. 25-169a (Coleman)  
) Case No. 25-168a (Smith)

EMERGENCY MOTION TO: (1) REOPEN WRITTEN RECORD; (2) COMPEL RULING ON PENDING MOTIONS; (3) STAY PROCEEDINGS; AND (4) CONSOLIDATE CASES FOR IMPARTIAL REVIEW

TO: The Idaho State Bar Professional Conduct Board  
Karen Carlisle, Clerk  
Joseph Pirtle, Bar Counsel  
Hearing Committee Chair Alycia T. Moss (25-169a)  
Hearing Committee Chair David P. Claiborne (25-187a)  
Hearing Committee Chair Carole I. Wesenberg (25-168a)

FROM: Corey M. Switzer, Pro Se Complainant

DATE: January 21, 2026

#### I. INTRODUCTION & RELIEF REQUESTED

Complainant Corey M. Switzer files this Emergency Motion in response to a critical procedural impasse and newly evidenced institutional bias that irreparably taint these proceedings. The Idaho State Bar ("ISB") has: (1) improperly refused to accept timely supplemental evidence; (2) failed to rule on multiple pending motions for over six days; (3) demonstrated supervisory misconduct via a Bar Commissioner's instruction to "rush through" a hearing; and (4) is allegedly compromised by relationships between its overseeing Supreme Court and key figures in these matters. Furthermore, newly obtained public records provide documented proof of

Exh B-6



retaliatory conduct by a state official. Immediate action is required to prevent a denial of justice.

Complainant respectfully moves this Board for the following relief:

1. Reopen and maintain the written record for all three consolidated cases.
2. Issue immediate rulings on all pending motions.
3. Stay all further disciplinary proceedings.
4. Consolidate Cases 25-187a, 25-169a, and 25-168a.
5. Reassign the consolidated matter to a new, independent hearing committee with no ties to the current ISB apparatus or implicated officials.
6. Refer the accumulated evidence of potential federal crimes to the appropriate federal authorities.

## II. FACTUAL & PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

### A. The Unified Allegations and Escalation to Federal Claims.

As detailed in the Unified Narrative Summary submitted January 20, 2026, these three grievances are inextricably linked parts of a single alleged pattern: retaliation and obstruction by legal officials against a whistleblower reporting corruption. The evidence has evolved to allege a multi-jurisdictional conspiracy involving wire fraud, deprivation of rights under color of law, and racketeering activity, warranting federal investigation.

### B. Documentary Proof of Retaliatory Censorship.

On January 21, 2026, Complainant received an official public records response from the Idaho Legislative Services Office (attached). It contains the Facebook message thread between Complainant and Idaho State Representative Brandon Mitchell. In this thread, dated November 5, Complainant informs Rep. Mitchell that blocking him on a political Facebook page for reporting corruption violates the Supreme Court precedent in *Lindke v. Freed*. Rep. Mitchell's response—citing his "social media policy"—confirms the retaliatory censorship that is a central allegation in these proceedings. This is direct, documented evidence of the "pattern of institutional retaliation" that links all three cases.

### C. The "Rush Through" Instruction and Motion for Mistrial.

On January 15, 2026, during the hearing for Case No. 25-168a (Smith), a Bar Commissioner was overheard instructing the committee to "rush through this hearing." This directive constitutes undeniable supervisory misconduct, proving prejudice and an intent to deny a full and fair hearing. A Motion for Mistrial and Immediate Stay was filed that same day (January 15, 2026). No ruling has been issued.

### D. Improper Closure of the Record and Refusal of Evidence.

Complainant formally notified the Board that the record for Case No. 25-187a (Turner) would remain open for supplemental submissions until 5:00 PM MST on January 20, 2026. A critical supplemental filing was

*Exh B-7*

submitted at approximately 5:16 PM MST. On January 21, 2026, Clerk Karen Carlisle stated, "This email was received at 5:16 p.m. and will not be included in the record." This arbitrary refusal to accept evidence submitted within a publicly stated and reasonable deadline is a blatant procedural violation designed to exclude material evidence.

**E. Unreasonable Delay in Ruling on Pending Motions.**

As of January 21, 2026, at least two critical motions have been pending without a ruling for over six (6) days, including the Motion for Mistrial and the Motion for Unified Judicial Notice & Consolidated Due Process Review filed January 16, 2026. This delay paralyzes the process and denies Complainant basic procedural rights.

**F. Evidence of Systemic Bias and Institutional Conflict.**

New evidence suggests a profound conflict within the oversight structure itself. Idaho Supreme Court Chief Justice C. Richard Bevens, who oversees the ISB, has an intimate working relationship with the Idaho Community Foundation, chaired by Hannah Liedkie. Mrs. Liedkie is a central figure in the parallel Washington state allegations and is related to Asotin County Prosecutor Curtis Liedkie, who is implicated in the alleged misuse of public funds. This relationship creates an appearance of impropriety and suggests the state's highest judicial and disciplinary bodies are incapable of impartial review.

**III. ARGUMENT**

**A. The Proceedings Are Irreparably Tainted by Procedural Misconduct and Bias.**

The Clerk's capricious exclusion of evidence, combined with the Bar Commissioner's command to "rush," demonstrates a systemic effort to curtail a fair hearing. These acts validate Complainant's allegations of a corrupted process designed to protect connected officials rather than investigate serious misconduct. Due process cannot survive in such an environment.

**B. The Documented Pattern of Retaliation Demands Consolidated Review.**

The newly obtained public record is not an isolated incident; it is a documented piece of the retaliatory pattern that forms the core of all three grievances. The ISB's continued fragmentation of these cases ignores this unified factual basis. Hearing them in isolation creates a misleading and incomplete picture, violating principles of fundamental fairness and judicial economy.

**C. The Gravity of the Allegations Demands Federal Scrutiny and a Stay.**

The evidence now includes: (1) sworn testimony of undisclosed marital conflicts between a prosecutor and respondent; (2) allegations of felony fraud; (3) cross-jurisdictional retaliation; and (4) documented, unconstitutional censorship by a state legislator. These are not mere ethical violations but evidence of potential federal crimes and systemic

corruption. The ISB's disciplinary process is ill-equipped to investigate this. Continuing state-level proceedings risks obstructing a federal investigation and sanctions a parody of due process.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

The Idaho State Bar stands at a precipice. It can continue down a path of demonstrable procedural unfairness, exclusion of evidence, and disregard for its own rules, thereby eroding all public confidence. Or, it can act decisively to restore integrity by halting the current flawed process, consolidating the matters, and referring the serious criminal allegations to the proper authorities. The attached public records evidence only reinforces the urgent need for this course correction.

WHEREFORE, Complainant respectfully requests that the Idaho State Bar Professional Conduct Board immediately:

1. GRANT this Emergency Motion in its entirety.
2. ORDER the written record for ISB Cases 25-187a, 25-169a, and 25-168a REOPENED and maintained to include all submissions made prior to and including 5:16 PM MST on January 20, 2026, AND the Public Records Response from the Idaho Legislative Services Office dated January 21, 2026.
3. ISSUE RULINGS within forty-eight (48) hours on all pending motions, including but not limited to the Motion for Mistrial (Jan. 15) and Motion for Consolidated Review (Jan. 16).
4. STAY all further proceedings, including any scheduled hearings, in the above-captioned matters.
5. CONSOLIDATE Cases 25-187a, 25-169a, and 25-168a for a single, thorough review.
6. REASSIGN the consolidated matter to a new Hearing Committee whose members have no prior involvement in these cases and no connection to the Bar Commissioner involved in the "rush through" instruction or the implicated network involving the Idaho Supreme Court and the Idaho Community Foundation.
7. DIRECT the Office of Bar Counsel to REFER the full record, including all evidence of potential federal crimes (wire fraud, conspiracy, deprivation of rights), to the U.S. Department of Justice, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, and the U.S. Attorney's Offices for the Districts of Idaho and Eastern Washington.
8. PROVIDE any other relief the Board deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

Corey M. Switzer, Pro Se Complainant

#### ATTACHMENTS:

- 1.) Public Records Request Response from Idaho Legislative Services Office (Elizabeth Bowen), dated January 21, 2026, including Facebook message thread with Representative Brandon Mitchell.

*Exh B-9*

2.) Correspondence with Carlisle

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 21st day of January, 2026, a true and correct copy of the foregoing EMERGENCY MOTION and its attachment was served upon all parties of record via electronic mail.

Corey M. Switzer

---

On Wed, Jan 21, 2026, 10:28 AM Corey Switzer  
<[coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com)> wrote:

To all respondents,

As demonstrated, Idaho State Supreme Court Chief Justice C Richard Bevins has an intimate working relationship with the ISB and Idaho Community Foundation, chaired by Hannah Liedkie.

Ms. Carlisle, I am in receipt of your email stating that the email sent by me after 5 pm, "End of the Business Day", January 20th, 2026 will NOT be accepted.

There are still at least two unanswered pending motions in excess of six (6) days. I have attached those unanswered motions for you to immediately reference and address.

I have also included the Idaho State Supreme Court filing and ruling regarding the Motion for Disqualification, related exhibits and ruling in which Hon. C. Richard Bevins signs his own Denial of Motion for Disqualification.

This also highlights the internal protection mechanism inherent between the Idaho State Supreme Court and the Idaho State Bar through the association of Hannah Liedkie, Chairperson for the Idaho Community Foundation, related to Curtis Liedkie, Asotin County Prosecutor who has represented Washington State Superior Court Judge Hon. Brooke Burns before the Washington State Supreme Court and is the focus of Washington State Auditor for unlawful expenditures of taxpayer funding.

Respectfully  
Mr. Corey M Switzer

On Tue, Jan 20, 2026, 7:15 PM Corey Switzer  
<[coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com)> wrote:

Subject: Reminder: Record Remains Open for ISB Case No. 25-187a

Eth B-10

(Trae Turner) Until EOB Today, 5:00pm MST, January 20, 2026

To: Idaho State Bar - Professional Conduct Board  
Attn: Karen Carlisle, Clerk; Hearing Committee 25-187a; Bar Counsel  
Cc: Trae Turner; All Parties of Record

Date: January 20, 2026

Dear Ms. Carlisle and Members of the Hearing Committee,

This email serves as a formal reminder that, pursuant to the notice provided in my email of January 19, 2026, and reiterated on January 20, 2026, the evidentiary record for ISB Case No. 25-187a (In re: Trae D. Turner) remains open for the receipt of supplemental submissions until the close of business today, Tuesday, January 20, 2026, at 5:00 PM Mountain Time.

As previously advised, I have submitted all relevant supplemental materials via email attachment. The record should be considered complete upon the inclusion of these submissions.

Please confirm receipt of this reminder and the closure of the record at the stated deadline.

Should the record be improperly closed prior to this deadline, or should submissions be excluded without due cause, I will have no alternative but to seek immediate relief through a writ of mandamus to the Idaho Supreme Court to enforce this procedural right and ensure a complete record for review.

Respectfully,

Corey M. Switzer  
Complainant, ISB File No. 25-187a  
[coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com) | 218-461-9868

On Tue, Jan 20, 2026, 5:33 PM Corey Switzer

[<coreyswitzer@gmail.com>](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com) wrote:

Subject: Unified Narrative Summary: Switzer v. Idaho/Washington Legal Officials – Allegations of Systemic Corruption, Retaliation & Obstruction

To: [Relevant Parties, Media, Oversight Bodies]

From: Corey M. Switzer

Date: January 20, 2026

The following is a consolidated chronological narrative of events, allegations, and procedural conflicts detailed across three phases of correspondence. This summary is intended to provide a clear,

Exh B-11

unified overview of the core issues, which involve multi-jurisdiction allegations of misconduct, retaliatory acts, and systemic obstruction.

I use "Switzer", "I", "myself" and "he" interchangeably to represent myself.

---

## PART 1: FOUNDATION – INITIAL GRIEVANCES & IDAHO STATE BAR PROCEEDINGS

Core Story: This case originates from Corey Switzer's reports of alleged criminal activity—including police bribery and tax evasion involving Travelland RV, LLC—to Nez Perce County, Idaho officials. After what he describes as deliberate inaction and retaliation, Switzer filed formal ethics grievances with the Idaho State Bar (ISB) against:

1. Justin Coleman – Nez Perce County Prosecutor
    - Alleged failure to investigate credible evidence of bribery and tax fraud.
    - Retaliatory censorship (blocking Switzer on an official Facebook page).
    - Hiring of conflicted counsel (Trae Turner) to defend against Switzer's bar complaint.
    - Obstruction of public records requests.
  2. Trae Turner – Private Attorney
    - Filing of a "false retaliatory police report" against Switzer in Clarkston, WA.
    - Representing Coleman despite an apparent conflict of interest.
  3. April Smith – Deputy Prosecutor
    - Complicity in public records obstruction and failure to investigate, extramarital affair alleged with Rick Fuentes.
- Narrative: Fuentes is one of the Lewiston Police Department Captains Switzer watched taking cash bribes from Tim Krueger, (deceased) former City Council Member and former owner of Travelland RV represented by Trae Turner.

ISB Process: The ISB initially dismissed the grievances. After appeals, hearings were scheduled before separate Hearing Committees. Switzer engaged in protracted procedural conflict with the ISB to admit a voluminous evidentiary record (termed a "Hearing Study Guide"), arguing the ISB was itself obstructing justice by fragmenting review and initially rejecting his submissions.

---

## PART 2: CRITICAL ESCALATION – SYSTEMIC MISCONDUCT & FEDERAL ALLEGATIONS

*Exh B-12*



In January 2026, my claims expanded from specific ethics violations to allegations of criminal conspiracy and institutional corruption.

- Motion for Mistrial: During the January 15, 2026 hearing for April Smith, a Bar Commissioner was overheard instructing the committee to “rush through this hearing.” I had filed a Motion for Mistrial and Immediate Stay, arguing this proved supervisory misconduct and systemic bias.
- Unified Theory: I have formally linked all three grievances as parts of a single “pattern of institutional retaliation” and filed a Motion for Consolidated Due Process Review.
- Federal Criminal Allegations: I submitted a Memorandum Requesting Referral to Federal Prosecutor, alleging potential federal crimes:
  - Interstate wire/bank fraud related to loans to Travelland RV.
  - Conspiracy to deprive civil rights under color of law.
- New Corroboration: A sworn declaration from Sue Ann Meyers alleged an undisclosed marital relationship between prosecutor Kali Jo Parker and Trae Turner—a conflict allegedly known and ignored by Justin Coleman.
- Procedural Standoff: I have conditionally refused further participation in ISB hearings until the Bar:
  1. Accepted evidence of alleged high-level improper influence.
  2. Addressed the “rush through” misconduct.
  3. Stayed and consolidated all cases.

---

### PART 3: HEARINGS & EVIDENTIARY ONSLAUGHT – JANUARY 12–15, 2026

This phase covers the immediate pre-hearing and hearing events, showcasing my strategy of transparency, procedural objection, and real-time evidence submission.

- Pre-Hearing Motions: I opposed Coleman’s last-minute motions as dilatory and prejudicial.
- Hearing for Trae Turner (Jan 14): I submitted new evidence of a campaign donation from Turner to Coleman and highlighted contradictions in the employment and identity status of Meghan McCreary/Vittoria Puccini, alleging disability fraud and perjury.
- Hearing for April Smith (Jan 15): I submitted recorded calls and video evidence. The hearing was interrupted by the Bar Commissioner’s “rush through” instruction, leading me to file for mistrial.
- Previous Allegation Against Turner before the ISB: Switzer provided evidence that Turner had falsely told a federal investigator that I was “found guilty of solicitation of a juvenile”—a charge that does not exist in Switzer’s record.

Exh B-13

- Public & Media Outreach: Myself and supporting witnesses (Sue Ann Smith, Justin Rodriguez) copied vast recipient lists, including media outlets, to maintain transparency and build external pressure.

---

#### PARALLEL NARRATIVE: WASHINGTON STATE (ASOTIN COUNTY) FRONT

Simultaneously, Switzer is engaged in a fierce dispute with Asotin County, Washington:

- Allegation: The county is unlawfully use public funds to defend Judge Brooke Burns in a judicial conduct case because of the familial relationship between Commissioner Shinn and Burns, Curtis Liedkie and Hannah Liedkie.
- Actions Taken: Formal complaint filed with the Washington State Auditor's Office (SAO Hotline H-25-795); multiple Public Records Act requests regarding Curtis Liedkie and Lisa Webber representing Judge Brooke Burns before the Washington State Supreme Court.
- Escalation: After missed deadlines, I announced intent to file state PRA lawsuits and a federal § 1983 civil rights lawsuit against Judge Burns for retaliatory social media blocking, in addition to the withheld Records of the same nature.

---

#### KEY THEMES & CURRENT STATUS

- Evolution of Claims: From specific ethics complaints to allegations of a racketeering-style conspiracy involving attorneys, law enforcement, elected officials, and Bar administrators.
- Central Conflict: I am a whistleblower facing a unified system designed to protect its own. Oversight bodies (ISB, county governments) are rightfully being accused of being structurally incapable of impartial self-regulation.
- Tactics: Mass transparency, procedural aggression, and evidence bundling are used to force consolidated, high-level review.
- Immediate Impasse (as of Jan 20, 2026): The ISB has not ruled on my's renewed motions for stay, my motion for a mistrial, my renewed motions for consolidation, or my repeated requests for federal referral. I'm offering a conditional refusal to participate which creates a procedural logjam. The next steps depend on whether the ISB addresses its own demonstrable misconduct or proceeds with the scheduled hearings in an attempt to rubber stamp it and move forward.

---

This unified narrative illustrates my allegations of local corruption

Exh B-14



escalating into a multi-jurisdictional crisis of confidence in legal and ethical oversight, with the whistleblower now demanding federal intervention to address what I safely characterize as state-level ethical failures masking federal crimes.

Respectfully submitted,  
And seeking transparency in Justice

Corey M. Switzer

*Exh B-15*



Office of Bar Counsel  
P.O. Box 895, Boise, Idaho 83701  
(208) 334-4500 Fax: (208) 334-2764  
www.isb.idaho.gov

**GRIEVANCE COVER SHEET**  
(Please read the attached information before completing)

Date: 1-21-2026

Your Name: Corey M Switzer  
Please Print Legibly

Mailing Address: 264 Kernodle Rd, Gibsonville NC 27249  
Street or Box No. City State Zip

Home Phone: 218-461-9868 Work Phone: \_\_\_\_\_ May we call you at work? No

Email Address: coreyswitzer@gmail.com

Attorney's Name: CAROLE I. WESENBERG, Idaho State Bar

Name of attorney this grievance is directed against  
(If you are complaining about more than one attorney, copy this grievance form and complete a separate grievance for each attorney. We must have the name of the individual attorney to process your grievance.)

Mailing Address: \_\_\_\_\_  
Street or Box No. City State Zip

Date You Hired Attorney: \_\_\_\_\_  
(IF APPLICABLE)

Amount Initially Paid Attorney? \_\_\_\_\_ Total Amount Paid? \_\_\_\_\_  
(IF APPLICABLE)

Did You Sign a Fee or Retainer Agreement? \_\_\_\_\_  
(IF APPLICABLE, PLEASE INCLUDE A COPY OF THE AGREEMENT)

Do You Presently Owe The Attorney Any Fees? \_\_\_\_\_  
(IF APPLICABLE)

Type Of Legal Problem Attorney Was Hired To Handle (IF APPLICABLE):

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

Intake Number: 26 - 0260  
District & A#: 616192 )

Eth B-16

Corey M. Switzer  
coreyswitzer@gmail.com | 218-461-9868

Date: January 21, 2026

To: Idaho State Bar – Office of Bar Counsel  
Joseph Pirtle, Bar Counsel  
P.O. Box 895  
Boise, ID 83701

Via Email: jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov  
Cc: Idaho State Supreme Court, Clerk of the Court

RE: FORMAL GRIEVANCE AND NOTICE OF IMPENDING SUPREME COURT REVIEW  
Against Hearing Committee Chair Carole I. Wesenberg  
For Misconduct, Ex Parte Communication, Prejudgment, and Denial of Due Process  
In ISB Case No. 25-168a (In re: April Smith)

Dear Bar Counsel and Members of the Professional Conduct Board:

I, Corey M. Switzer, pro se complainant in the above-referenced matter, hereby submit this formal grievance against Hearing Committee Chair Carole I. Wesenberg for serious misconduct, engagement in improper ex parte communication, prejudgment of pending motions, and violation of fundamental due process.

This grievance is filed with the understanding that the Idaho State Bar is structurally incapable of impartially adjudicating its own misconduct. Accordingly, this matter will be presented to the Idaho State Supreme Court for immediate review.

#### I. FACTUAL BACKGROUND & NEW EVIDENCE

On January 21, 2026, the Professional Conduct Board issued an Order (attached as Exhibit 4) denying the following motions:

1. Motion for Mistrial and Immediate Stay (filed January 16, 2026)
2. Emergency Motion to:
  - Reopen the written record
  - Compel ruling on pending motions
  - Stay proceedings
  - Consolidate with related cases
  - Refer for impartial review

This Order was issued after Chair Wesenberg engaged in ex parte communication with Community Advocate (Sherry Montoza) on January 15, 2026, at 9:55 time stamp of the

*Ex B-17*

published YouTube video and after I transmitted a formal grievance against Clerk Karen Carlisle to the Idaho State Bar.

The timing and content of this Order demonstrate:

- Prejudgment and retaliation for prior grievances
- Refusal to permit independent oversight or consolidation
- Complicity in a “rush through” directive issued during the January 15, 2026 hearing

## II. ALLEGATIONS OF MISCONDUCT AGAINST CAROLE I. WESENBERG

1. Ex Parte Communication – Chair Wesenberg communicated with Bar staff regarding pending motions without notice to the complainant.
2. Prejudgment of Motions – She participated in denying motions seeking impartial review, consolidation, and a stay, thereby blocking oversight.
3. Failure to Preserve Hearing Integrity – She admits to her ex parte communication. Bar Commissioner instructed the committee to “rush through” the hearing. She admits that a dialogue was had and we shall disagree with what she said exactly, and for only they know for how long.
4. Acting While Under a Conflict – She ruled on motions while personally implicated in ongoing grievances regarding procedural fairness.
5. Denial of Due Process – By denying consolidation, stay, and external review, she has ensured that these matters cannot be fairly heard within the current tainted system.

## III. EVIDENCE ATTACHED

1. Exhibit 1: Video evidence of Bar Commissioner misconduct – <https://youtu.be/c-kbxKMneUc?t=9m55s>
2. Exhibit 2: Motion for Mistrial and Immediate Stay (January 16, 2026)
3. Exhibit 3: Emergency Motion (January 21, 2026)
4. Exhibit 4: January 21, 2026 Order denying all motions
5. Exhibit 5: Prior grievance against Karen Carlisle (previously submitted)

## IV. ARGUMENT FOR SUPREME COURT INTERVENTION

The Board’s January 21, 2026 Order is not a neutral ruling—it is a reactive, defensive maneuver intended to shield the Bar and Attorney General from scrutiny. By denying:

- A stay
- Consolidation
- Impartial review
- Federal referral

*Exh B-18*

The Board has confirmed its institutional inability to self-regulate. Chair Wesenberg's role in this denial, coupled with her ex parte communication, given her profession as a Clerk to the 9th Circuit Federal Court, proves she is not an impartial arbiter. Rather someone who feels comfortable gaming the system to her advantage.

This grievance is therefore being filed not for internal review, but as a formal notice of pending Supreme Court action. The Idaho State Bar may not judge its own fairness.

#### V. DEMANDED ACTION

Given the Board's demonstrated bias, I demand:


1. Immediate recusal of Carole I. Wesenberg from all Bar proceedings involving the undersigned.
2. Full disclosure of all ex parte communications involving Wesenberg, Montoza, Carlisle, and any Bar Commissioners.
3. A public acknowledgment that the January 21, 2026 Order was issued under a conflict of interest.
4. Stay of all ISB proceedings pending Supreme Court review.

#### VI. NOTICE OF SUPREME COURT FILING

This grievance, along with all exhibits and a writ of mandamus or petition for supervisory control, will be filed with the Idaho State Supreme Court no later than January 29th, 2026. The Bar is hereby notified that any further "orders" issued in the interim will be deemed void and retaliatory.

Respectfully submitted,

Corey M. Switzer  
Complainant, ISB Case No. 25-168a




---

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 21st day of January 2026, I served a true and correct copy of this Grievance and all exhibits upon the Idaho State Bar Office of Bar Counsel, the Professional Conduct Board, and the Idaho State Supreme Court Clerk via electronic mail.

Corey M. Switzer



Exh B-19

MOTION FOR MISTRIAL AND DEMAND FOR IMMEDIATE STAY OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE IDAHO STATE BAR  
PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT BOARD

In re: April A. Smith  
ISB File No. 25-168a

Grievant: Corey M. Switzer, Pro Se

MOTION FOR MISTRIAL AND DEMAND FOR IMMEDIATE STAY OF PROCEEDINGS

NOW COMES Corey M. Switzer, Grievant ("Movant"), and respectfully moves this Hearing Committee for a Declaration of Mistrial and Immediate Stay of Proceedings in the above-referenced matter. In support thereof, Movant states as follows:

---

INTRODUCTION

1. This disciplinary proceeding has been irreparably tainted by supervisory misconduct, procedural bad faith, and the appearance of impropriety. During the hearing of January 15, 2026, the Bar Commissioner—the supervisory authority over these proceedings—was overheard instructing a member of this Hearing Committee to "rush through the hearing." This directive, coupled with a documented pattern of procedural obstruction, deprives Movant of a fair and impartial hearing and violates fundamental due process.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

1. The Bar Commissioner's Impermissible Instruction: Entering into the Zoom proceedings on January 15, 2026, the Bar Commissioner directly instructed a member of this Committee to expedite the hearing in a manner that prioritized speed over thoroughness and fairness with the exact verbage "We're gonna rush through this hearing." This instruction was audible and witnessed, creating an indelible appearance of bias and undue influence over the Committee's deliberative independence.
2. Systemic Procedural Violations: This incident is not isolated. It is the culmination of a pattern of procedural unfairness that has plagued all three interconnected grievances (Coleman, Turner, Smith), including:
  - Refusal to consolidate related matters despite overlapping facts and parties.
  - Boilerplate denials of a complete, integrated evidentiary record as a "data dump."
  - Boilerplate denials at the initial evidentiary stages for four interconnected individuals.
  - Failure to address profound conflicts of interest, including Trae Turner's campaign donation to Justin Coleman and the employment of Turner's wife within the Nez Perce County Prosecutor's Office.

*Exh B-20*

3. The Appearance of Impropriety: The Commissioner's instruction to "rush" creates an inescapable appearance that the Bar's disciplinary process is more concerned with expediency than justice, particularly in a case involving allegations of high-level corruption within the local justice system.

#### LEGAL ARGUMENT

1. Violation of Due Process: The Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the U.S. Constitution, and Article I, § 13 of the Idaho Constitution, guarantee the right to a fair and impartial hearing. A supervisory official's directive to "rush through" a hearing inherently undermines the Committee's duty to deliberate thoroughly and fairly, depriving Movant of his right to be fully heard.
2. Breach of Idaho Bar Commission Rules: The Commissioner's conduct violates the spirit and purpose of the Idaho Bar Commission Rules, which are designed to ensure "fair and consistent" disciplinary processes (See IBCR Preamble). Supervisory pressure to curtail proceedings compromises the integrity of the entire system.
3. Prejudgment and Bias: The instruction demonstrates a prejudgment that the matter does not merit careful review, injecting bias into the proceedings and rendering any outcome inherently suspect.
4. Compounded Prejudice: This latest violation compounds prior procedural defects, making it impossible for Movant to receive a fair hearing within the current framework. The cumulative effect is a denial of fundamental fairness.

#### RELIEF REQUESTED

WHEREFORE, Movant respectfully requests that this Hearing Committee:

1. GRANT a Declaration of Mistrial in ISB File No. 25-168a, voiding all proceedings to date.
2. ISSUE an Immediate Stay of all further activity in this matter pending resolution of this motion and the appointment of a new, impartial committee.
3. RECOMMEND to the Professional Conduct Board that this matter be:
  - Reassigned to a new Hearing Committee with no prior involvement and no supervisory contact with the Bar Commissioner involved in the misconduct.
  - Consolidated with the related matters of In re: Justin Coleman (25-169a) and In re: Trae Turner (25-187a) for a unified review.
  - Referred for investigation by an independent Special Prosecutor, as previously demanded, due to the insurmountable conflicts of interest and systemic procedural corruption now evident.
4. SCHEDULE an Emergency Hearing on this motion within seven (7) days.

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 15th day of January 2026, a true and correct copy of the foregoing Motion for Mistrial and Demand for Immediate Stay of Proceedings was served via email upon

*Exh B-21*



the Idaho State Bar, Bar Counsel, all members of the assigned Hearing Committee, and counsel for Respondent April A. Smith.

Respectfully submitted,

Corey M. Switzer, Grievant  
coreyswitzer@gmail.com | 218-461-9868

*Exh B-22*

Corey M. Switzer [coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com) | 218-461-9868

**BEFORE THE IDAHO STATE BAR PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT BOARD**

In the Matter of: ) Case No. 25-168a **APRIL SMITH,**  
) *Attorney-Respondent.*

**EMERGENCY MOTION TO: (1) REOPEN WRITTEN RECORD; (2) COMPEL RULING ON PENDING MOTIONS; (3) STAY PROCEEDINGS; (4) CONSOLIDATE WITH RELATED CASES; AND (5) REFER FOR IMPARTIAL REVIEW**

**TO:** The Idaho State Bar Professional Conduct Board Karen Carlisle, Clerk Joseph Pirtle, Bar Counsel Hearing Committee Chair Carole I. Wesenberg (25-168a)

**FROM:** Corey M. Switzer, Pro Se Complainant

**DATE:** January 21, 2026

**I. RELIEF REQUESTED**

Complainant respectfully moves this Board for the following emergency relief:

1. **REOPEN** and maintain the written record for Case No. 25-168a to include all supplemental submissions.
2. **COMPEL** an immediate ruling on the pending **Motion for Mistrial and Immediate Stay** filed January 15, 2026, and all other pending motions.
3. **STAY** all further proceedings in this matter.
4. **CONSOLIDATE** this case with related Cases No. 25-187a (Turner) and 25-169a (Coleman) for a single, fair review.
5. **REFER** the consolidated matters to a new, impartial hearing committee and to federal law enforcement authorities for investigation of potential federal crimes evidenced in the record.

**II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

This grievance against Respondent April Smith is fundamentally interconnected with the matters against Trae Turner and Justin Coleman. The hearing in this very case triggered the most egregious proof of institutional bias:

**A. The "Rush Through" Instruction Requiring Mistrial:** On January 15, 2026, during the hearing for **THIS CASE**, a Bar Commissioner was overheard instructing this Hearing Committee to "**rush through this hearing.**" This directive is unambiguous supervisory misconduct, gross prejudice, and a direct violation of due process. A Motion for Mistrial and Immediate Stay was filed that same day. No ruling has been issued. This incident proves the proceedings are irreparably tainted and that the bias affects all related cases.

*Exh B-23*

**B. Improper Exclusion of Evidence in Related Matters:** The Clerk's subsequent arbitrary refusal to accept timely-filed evidence in the related Turner case (25-187a) on January 20 demonstrates a pattern of procedural unfairness that compromises the entire disciplinary apparatus overseeing these linked matters.

**C. New Documentary Proof of Retaliatory Pattern:** On January 21, 2026, Complainant obtained an official public records response from the Idaho Legislative Services Office. This document includes a Facebook message thread showing Idaho State Representative **Brandon Mitchell** blocking Complainant after he reported corruption. This documented, unconstitutional retaliation is part of the same environment in which Respondent Smith allegedly engaged in obstructive conduct.

**D. Evidence of Interconnected Relationships:** Respondent Smith's alleged personal relationship with police captain Rick Fuentes—a central figure in the underlying bribery allegations—ties her conduct directly to the core facts of the Turner and Coleman cases. Her alleged role in obstructing public records requests further illustrates her part in the unified pattern of suppression.

### III. ARGUMENT

The Bar Commissioner's command to "rush through" this hearing is a fatal blow to its legitimacy. It confirms Complainant's allegations of a system designed to protect connected officials through expedited, superficial review. This misconduct cannot be cured within the current framework. Furthermore, the factual allegations against Smith—involving the same police corruption, the same cover-up, and the same retaliation as the Turner and Coleman cases—make isolated review logically impossible and fundamentally unfair.

Granting the already-pending Motion for Mistrial is the minimum required step. The only path to a just outcome is to declare a mistrial, stay all proceedings, consolidate the three matters, and refer them to an unimpeachable forum.


### IV. CONCLUSION

When the supervising authority instructs a hearing committee to "rush through" a case, the process has ceased to be a search for truth and has become a ceremonial denial of justice. This Board must act decisively to reclaim its integrity.

**WHEREFORE**, Complainant respectfully requests that the Board immediately GRANT the pending Motion for Mistrial and grant this Emergency Motion in its entirety.

Respectfully submitted,

Corey M. Switzer, Pro Se Complainant




Exh B-24

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this 21st day of January, 2026, a true and correct copy of the foregoing EMERGENCY MOTION was served via electronic mail upon the Idaho State Bar, Bar Counsel, the assigned Hearing Committee for Case No. 25-168a, and Respondent April Smith.

**Corey M. Switzer**

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Corey M. Switzer", with a large, stylized flourish at the end.

*Exh B-25*

8:06

LTE



**Corey Switzer**

Active now



**Corey Switzer**

You're not friends on Facebook

[View profile](#)

 **End-to-end encrypted**

Messages and calls are secured with end-to-end encryption. [Learn more](#)

NOV 5 AT 10:12 AM

You must realize that you have violated  
Lindke v Freed.

You shall be promptly hearing from  
my lawyers.



*Eth B-27*

You can now message and call each other and see info like active status and when you have read messages.

Actually you violated my social media policy. Give me your number and we can talk. I want to give you some ad

Adv


Your specific social media policy  
doesn't supercede the SCOTUS ruling.



Aa







Exh B-29



8:06 AM  
Corey Switzer  
Active now  
Contact info, either, so it leaves the foot. I will need your address, your email, and your phone number in order to properly file a request on your behalf.

Under the Idaho Public Records Act § 74-101 et seq., I am requesting an opportunity to inspect or obtain copies of your conversation within your official capacity between you and Raul Labrador between the dates of August 1, 2024 and today, November 7th, 2024 regarding your social media pages.

If there are any fees for searching or copying these records, please inform me if the cost will exceed \$25. However, I would also like to request a waiver of all fees in that the disclosure of the requested information is in the public interest. This information is not being sought for commercial purposes.

The Idaho Public Records Act requires a response time within three business days. If access to the records I am requesting will take longer than three days, please contact me with information about when I might expect copies to be emailed to [coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com) or the ability to inspect the requested records.

If you deny any or all of this request,

8:06 AM  
Corey Switzer  
Active now  
I shall be waiting for your FOIA.

As your "personal" Facebook page includes political statements, it passes the tests established by SCOTUS, as well as establishes the same.

Again, we're referencing your actions in blocking me on your election campaign page, which continues.



Next, please cite your specific exemption from *Lindke v. Freed* and which of my social media comments violated your social media policy by me reporting a crime committed by Tim Krueger of the Calem Shriners giving cash bribes to the Lewiston Police Department and Nez Perce Prosecutors Office Detective, and the exemption for which you are claiming.

Again, please cite the exact example of violating your social media policy by me reporting a crime committed by Tim Krueger of the Calem Shriners giving cash bribes to the Lewiston Police Department and Nez Perce Prosecutors Office Detective, and the exemption for which you are claiming.

8:06 AM  
Corey Switzer  
Active now  
feel justifies the refusal to release the information and notify me of the appeal procedures available to me under the law.

Thank you for your prompt consideration of my lawful request.

My address is [264 Kernodle Rd, Gibsonville NC, 27249](mailto:264 Kernodle Rd, Gibsonville NC, 27249)

My email address is [coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com)

My telephone number is irrelevant in context of this conversation, as we have already talked to the same people, established my identity and my grievances, of which I have many.

I will forward this on. Thank you for reaching out.

I shall expect a response within three business days, by Monday November 11th, 2024.

Next, please cite your specific exemption from *Lindke v. Freed* and which of my social media comments violated the terms and service of Facebook.

8:06 AM  
Corey Switzer  
Active now  
Again, please cite the exact example of violating your social media policy by me reporting a crime committed by Tim Krueger of the Calem Shriners giving cash bribes to the Lewiston Police Department and Nez Perce Prosecutors Office Detective, and the exemption for which you are claiming.

Finally, immediately unblock me and offer a full public apology for doing so, as well as inviting an open civil dialogue.

Again, I will stop at nothing less than a full apology and immediately forwarding of the criminal act of bribery to the State Prosecutors Office.

If you're convinced that I'm wrong, by all means necessary, make sure that I'm prosecuted to the full extent of the law.

You can always take your case to the state AG's office. We do not have a state prosecuting office. You can also take it to the local prosecuting attorney in Lewiston, ID since that is where things happened. You can also start civil litigation with those involved in Lewiston.

8:06 AM  
Corey Switzer  
Active now  
Finally, immediately unblock me and offer a full public apology for doing so, as well as inviting an open criminal (notice, not civil) investigation into the bribery of Rick Fuentes, Andrew Fox and Nick Woods on three occasions between September and December of 2020.

Here is my official page and you are welcome to complete participation to it, <https://www.facebook.com/profile.php?id=61567844806280>



Representative Brandon Mitchell  
Representative Brandon Mitchell, Maceo, Idaho, Idaho State Representative

I have sent off your FOIA request, so I

8:06 AM  
Corey Switzer  
Active now  
You can always take your case to the state AG's office. We do not have a state prosecuting office. You can also take it to the local prosecuting attorney in Lewiston, ID since that is where things happened. You can also start civil litigation with those involved in Lewiston.

Here is the violations to my policy and for the record, I believe in civil dialogue and will not be trying to prosecute you in anyway. I can tell that you are frustrated and it sounds like something bad happened, but I cannot help you if we cannot even discuss the main issue here.



The fact that you have taken substantial donations to your campaign from the FOP Lodge 10 is plenty enough to implicate you, Mr. Mitchell, but I'm sure you are also aware of that.

As it so happens, April Smith and Nick Woods have already given conflicting statements regarding the battery and bribery of which I'm referring.

8:06 AM  
Corey Switzer  
Active now  
I have sent off your FOIA request, so I have completed my end of what you are asking. As for the case, the page that you are talking about is not my official page and you violated my social media policy. <http://mitchellondaho.com/socialmedia.html>

That, unfortunately does not satisfy either of those requirements of an apology nor does it cite your exemption from blocking me on your official election page.

You can also email me at [mitchell@house.idaho.gov](mailto:mitchell@house.idaho.gov) for official information.

You have also failed to cite a single example of any violations of "your social media policy".

These messages are on my personal FB page, so thank you for contacting me. I will watch for your email.

I shall be waiting for your FOIA.

As your "personal" Facebook page includes political statements, it passes the tests established by SCOTUS, as well as establishes the same.

8:06 AM  
Corey Switzer  
Active now  
The fact that you have taken substantial donations to your campaign from the FOP Lodge 10 is plenty enough to implicate you, Mr. Mitchell, but I'm sure you are also aware of that.

As it so happens, April Smith and Nick Woods have already given conflicting statements regarding the battery and bribery of which I'm referring.

Here is the violations to my policy and for the record, I believe in civil dialogue and will not be trying to.

Again, your individual social media policy is unconstitutional as decided by *Lindke v. Freed* and *O'Connor-Radcliff v. Garner*.

It is meaningless.

As it is unconstitutional and meaningless, and you have just provided proof of it, again...

I maintain, unblock me immediately from your campaign page.

Please offer me a full public apology for blocking me.

Please announce you forwarding a

Exh B-30







Corey Switzer &lt;coreyswitzer@gmail.com&gt;

## January RICO Correspondence, Part 2

6 messages

**Corey Switzer** <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>

Tue, Jan 20, 2026 at 5:33 PM

To: Jessica Kline <jkline@isb.idaho.gov>, Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>, Lori Ostertag <lostertag@isb.idaho.gov>, Judahluke7@gmail.com, Lisa Webber <lwebber@asotincountywa.gov>, hliedkie@co.nezperce.id.us, Trae Turner <trae@clarkandfeeney.com>, jgish@co.nezperce.id.us, dhavens@co.nezperce.id.us, thirteentwelveforever@proton.me, info@southdakotatruth.com, 08mad10@gmail.com, city@Intribune.com, Civil.Fraud@usdoj.gov, justin rodriguez <jrodspeaks@gmail.com>, idahoauditors@gmail.com, tips@nbcuni.com, tips@inlander.com, news@theregister.com, news@kpbx.org, Newsdesk@krem.com, newsroom@idahopress.com, news@bigcountry977.com, News4@kxly.com, Ada Eldridge <Adaeldridge@hotmail.com>, brennonleaffy@gmail.com, "SUEANNSMITH32@YAHOO.COM" <sueannsmith32@yahoo.com>, Imccann@house.idaho.gov, nancececcarelli@co.nezperce.id.us, Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us, Monte Schmidt <monte.schmidt@itd.idaho.gov>, jklein@cityoflewiston.org, Brian.Donovan@usdoj.gov, Representative Brandon Mitchell <brmitchell@house.idaho.gov>, bryces@co.nezperce.id.us, ISPPIO@isp.idaho.gov, Brooke Burns <bburns@co.asotin.wa.us>, Stephanie Cuddihy <StephanieC@co.nezperce.id.us>, LPD Dispatch <dispatch@cityoflewiston.org>, Jason Kuzik <jkuzik@cityoflewiston.org>, Amy Ledgerwood <AmyLedgerwood@co.nezperce.id.us>, Constituent Mailbox <AGLabrador@ag.idaho.gov>, AGO Ombuds <AGOombuds@atg.wa.gov>, tsparks@clarkstonpolice.org, AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us, Dan Johnson <danjohnson@cityoflewiston.org>, Patty Weeks <PattyWeeks@co.nezperce.id.us>, Brian Shinn <bshinn@asotincountywa.gov>, Mariel Gates <Mariel.Gates@tax.idaho.gov>, Chris Seubert <cseubert@asotincountywa.gov>, Justin Coleman <JustinColeman@co.nezperce.id.us>, Charles Whitman <cwhitman@asotincountywa.gov>, Curt Liedkie <cliedkie@asotincountywa.gov>, Intake <intake@wsba.org>, Amanda Daylong <ADaylong@nwtrialattorneys.com>, apearance@nwtrialattorneys.com, Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>, legal@libertasfunding.com, Investigations Info Requests <InvestigationsInfoRequests@isp.idaho.gov>, dmckay@asotincountywa.gov, rlynch@asotincountywa.gov, Public Disclosure <PDisclosure@asotincountywa.gov>, Stacey Harman <SHarman@asotincountywa.gov>

Subject: Unified Narrative Summary: Switzer v. Idaho/Washington Legal Officials – Allegations of Systemic Corruption, Retaliation & Obstruction

To: [Relevant Parties, Media, Oversight Bodies]

From: Corey M. Switzer

Date: January 20, 2026

The following is a consolidated chronological narrative of events, allegations, and procedural conflicts detailed across three phases of correspondence. This summary is intended to provide a clear, unified overview of the core issues, which involve multi-jurisdiction allegations of misconduct, retaliatory acts, and systemic obstruction.

I use "Switzer", "I", "myself" and "he" interchangeably to represent myself.

### PART 1: FOUNDATION – INITIAL GRIEVANCES & IDAHO STATE BAR PROCEEDINGS

**Core Story:** This case originates from Corey Switzer's reports of alleged criminal activity—including police bribery and tax evasion involving Travelland RV, LLC—to Nez Perce County, Idaho officials. After what he describes as deliberate inaction and retaliation, Switzer filed formal ethics grievances with the Idaho State Bar (ISB) against:

1. Justin Coleman – Nez Perce County Prosecutor
  - Alleged failure to investigate credible evidence of bribery and tax fraud.
  - Retaliatory censorship (blocking Switzer on an official Facebook page).
  - Hiring of conflicted counsel (Trae Turner) to defend against Switzer's bar complaint.
  - Obstruction of public records requests.
2. Trae Turner – Private Attorney
  - Filing of a "false retaliatory police report" against Switzer in Clarkston, WA.
  - Representing Coleman despite an apparent conflict of interest.
3. April Smith – Deputy Prosecutor
  - Complicity in public records obstruction and failure to investigate, extramarital affair alleged with Rick Fuentes.

Ex B-32

Narrative: Fuentes is one of the Lewiston Police Department Captains Switzer watched taking cash bribes from Tim Krueger, (deceased) former City Council Member and former owner of Travelland RV represented by Trae Turner.

ISB Process: The ISB initially dismissed the grievances. After appeals, hearings were scheduled before separate Hearing Committees. Switzer engaged in protracted procedural conflict with the ISB to admit a voluminous evidentiary record (termed a "Hearing Study Guide"), arguing the ISB was itself obstructing justice by fragmenting review and initially rejecting his submissions.

## PART 2: CRITICAL ESCALATION – SYSTEMIC MISCONDUCT & FEDERAL ALLEGATIONS

In January 2026, my claims expanded from specific ethics violations to allegations of criminal conspiracy and institutional corruption.

- Motion for Mistrial: During the January 15, 2026 hearing for April Smith, a Bar Commissioner was overheard instructing the committee to "rush through this hearing." I had filed a Motion for Mistrial and Immediate Stay, arguing this proved supervisory misconduct and systemic bias.
- Unified Theory: I have formally linked all three grievances as parts of a single "pattern of institutional retaliation" and filed a Motion for Consolidated Due Process Review.
- Federal Criminal Allegations: I submitted a Memorandum Requesting Referral to Federal Prosecutor, alleging potential federal crimes:
  - Interstate wire/bank fraud related to loans to Travelland RV.
  - Conspiracy to deprive civil rights under color of law.
- New Corroboration: A sworn declaration from Sue Ann Meyers alleged an undisclosed marital relationship between prosecutor Kali Jo Parker and Trae Turner—a conflict allegedly known and ignored by Justin Coleman.
- Procedural Standoff: I have conditionally refused further participation in ISB hearings until the Bar:
  1. Accepted evidence of alleged high-level improper influence.
  2. Addressed the "rush through" misconduct.
  3. Stayed and consolidated all cases.

## PART 3: HEARINGS & EVIDENTIARY ONSLAUGHT – JANUARY 12–15, 2026

This phase covers the immediate pre-hearing and hearing events, showcasing my strategy of transparency, procedural objection, and real-time evidence submission.

- Pre-Hearing Motions: I opposed Coleman's last-minute motions as dilatory and prejudicial.
- Hearing for Trae Turner (Jan 14): I submitted new evidence of a campaign donation from Turner to Coleman and highlighted contradictions in the employment and identity status of Meghan McCreary/Vittoria Puccini, alleging disability fraud and perjury.
- Hearing for April Smith (Jan 15): I submitted recorded calls and video evidence. The hearing was interrupted by the Bar Commissioner's "rush through" instruction, leading me to file for mistrial.
- Previous Allegation Against Turner before the ISB: Switzer provided evidence that Turner had falsely told a federal investigator that I was "found guilty of solicitation of a juvenile"—a charge that does not exist in Switzer's record.
- Public & Media Outreach: Myself and supporting witnesses (Sue Ann Smith, Justin Rodriguez) copied vast recipient lists, including media outlets, to maintain transparency and build external pressure.

## PARALLEL NARRATIVE: WASHINGTON STATE (ASOTIN COUNTY) FRONT

Simultaneously, Switzer is engaged in a fierce dispute with Asotin County, Washington:

- Allegation: The county is unlawfully use public funds to defend Judge Brooke Burns in a judicial conduct case because of the familial relationship between Commissioner Shinn and Burns, Curtis Liedkie and Hannah Liedkie.
- Actions Taken: Formal complaint filed with the Washington State Auditor's Office (SAO Hotline H-25-795); multiple Public Records Act requests regarding Curtis Liedkie and Lisa Webber representing Judge Brooke Burns before the Washington State Supreme Court.
- Escalation: After missed deadlines, I announced intent to file state PRA lawsuits and a federal § 1983 civil rights lawsuit against Judge Burns for retaliatory social media blocking, in addition to the withheld Records of the same nature.

Ex B-33

## KEY THEMES &amp; CURRENT STATUS

- Evolution of Claims: From specific ethics complaints to allegations of a racketeering-style conspiracy involving attorneys, law enforcement, elected officials, and Bar administrators.
- Central Conflict: I am a whistleblower facing a unified system designed to protect its own. Oversight bodies (ISB, county governments) are rightfully being accused of being structurally incapable of impartial self-regulation.
- Tactics: Mass transparency, procedural aggression, and evidence bundling are used to force consolidated, high-level review.
- Immediate Impasse (as of Jan 20, 2026): The ISB has not ruled on my's renewed motions for stay, my motion for a mistrial, my renewed motions for consolidation, or my repeated requests for federal referral. I'm offering a conditional refusal to participate which creates a procedural logjam. The next steps depend on whether the ISB addresses its own demonstrable misconduct or proceeds with the scheduled hearings in an attempt to rubber stamp it and move forward.

---  
 This unified narrative illustrates my allegations of local corruption escalating into a multi-jurisdictional crisis of confidence in legal and ethical oversight, with the whistleblower now demanding federal intervention to address what I safely characterize as state-level ethical failures masking federal crimes.

Respectfully submitted,  
 And seeking transparency in Justice

Corey M. Switzer

---

Corey Switzer <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>

Tue, Jan 20, 2026 at 7:15 PM

To: Jessica Kline <jkline@isb.idaho.gov>, Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>, Lori Ostertag <lostertag@isb.idaho.gov>, Judahluke7@gmail.com, Lisa Webber <lwebber@asotincountywa.gov>, hliedkie@co.nezperce.id.us, Trae Turner <trae@clarkandfeeney.com>, jgish@co.nezperce.id.us, dhavens@co.nezperce.id.us, thirteentwelveforever@proton.me, info@southdakotatruth.com, 08mad10@gmail.com, city@lmtribune.com, Civil.Fraud@usdoj.gov, justin rodriguez <jrodspeaks@gmail.com>, idahoauditors@gmail.com, tips@nbcuni.com, tips@inlander.com, news@theregister.com, news@kpbx.org, Newsdesk@krem.com, newsroom@idahopress.com, news@bigcountry977.com, News4@kxly.com, Ada Eldridge <Adaeldridge@hotmail.com>, brennonleaffy@gmail.com, "SUEANNSMITH32@YAHOO.COM" <sueannsmith32@yahoo.com>, Imccann@house.idaho.gov, nancececcarelli@co.nezperce.id.us, Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us, Monte Schmidt <monte.schmidt@itd.idaho.gov>, jklein@cityoflewiston.org, Brian.Donovan@usdoj.gov, Representative Brandon Mitchell <bmittchell@house.idaho.gov>, bryces@co.nezperce.id.us, ISPPIO@isp.idaho.gov, Brooke Burns <bburns@co.asotin.wa.us>, Stephanie Cuddihy <StephanieC@co.nezperce.id.us>, LPD Dispatch <dispatch@cityoflewiston.org>, Jason Kuzik <jkuzik@cityoflewiston.org>, Amy Ledgerwood <AmyLedgerwood@co.nezperce.id.us>, Constituent Mailbox <AGLabrador@ag.idaho.gov>, AGO Ombuds <AGOombuds@atg.wa.gov>, tsparks@clarkstonpolice.org, AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us, Dan Johnson <danjohnson@cityoflewiston.org>, Patty Weeks <PattyWeeks@co.nezperce.id.us>, Brian Shinn <bshinn@asotincountywa.gov>, Mariel Gates <Mariel.Gates@tax.idaho.gov>, Chris Seubert <cseubert@asotincountywa.gov>, Justin Coleman <JustinColeman@co.nezperce.id.us>, Charles Whitman <cwhitman@asotincountywa.gov>, Curt Liedkie <cliedkie@asotincountywa.gov>, Intake <intake@wsba.org>, Amanda Daylong <ADaylong@nwtrialattorneys.com>, apearce@nwtrialattorneys.com, Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>, legal@libertasfunding.com, Investigations Info Requests <InvestigationsInfoRequests@isp.idaho.gov>, dmckay@asotincountywa.gov, rlynch@asotincountywa.gov, Public Disclosure <PDisclosure@asotincountywa.gov>, Stacey Harman <SHarman@asotincountywa.gov>

Subject: Reminder: Record Remains Open for ISB Case No. 25-187a (Trae Turner) Until EOB Today, 5:00pm MST, January 20, 2026

To: Idaho State Bar - Professional Conduct Board  
 Attn: Karen Carlisle, Clerk; Hearing Committee 25-187a; Bar Counsel  
 Cc: Trae Turner; All Parties of Record

Date: January 20, 2026

Dear Ms. Carlisle and Members of the Hearing Committee,

This email serves as a formal reminder that, pursuant to the notice provided in my email of January 19, 2026, and reiterated on January 20, 2026, the evidentiary record for ISB Case No. 25-187a (In re: Trae D. Turner) remains open for the receipt of supplemental submissions until the close of business today, Tuesday, January 20, 2026, at 5:00 PM Mountain Time.

*Ex B-34*



1/21/26, 2:06 PM

Gmail - January RICO Correspondence, Part 2

As previously advised, I have submitted all relevant supplemental materials via email attachment. The record should be considered complete upon the inclusion of these submissions.

Please confirm receipt of this reminder and the closure of the record at the stated deadline.

Should the record be improperly closed prior to this deadline, or should submissions be excluded without due cause, I will have no alternative but to seek immediate relief through a writ of mandamus to the Idaho Supreme Court to enforce this procedural right and ensure a complete record for review.

Respectfully,

Corey M. Switzer  
Complainant, ISB File No. 25-187a  
[coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com) | 218-461-9868  
[Quoted text hidden]

Karen Carlisle <[kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov)>  
To: Corey Switzer <[coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com)>

Wed, Jan 21, 2026 at 10:14 AM

This email was received at 5:16 p.m. and will not be included in the record.

**From:** Corey Switzer <[coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 20, 2026 5:15 PM  
**To:** Jessica Kline <[jkline@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:jkline@isb.idaho.gov)>; Joseph Pirtle <[jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov)>; Lori Ostertag <[lostertag@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:lostertag@isb.idaho.gov)>; Judahluke7@gmail.com; Lisa Webber <[lwebber@asotincountywa.gov](mailto:lwebber@asotincountywa.gov)>; hliedkie@co.nezperce.id.us; Trae Turner <[trae@clarkandfeeney.com](mailto:trae@clarkandfeeney.com)>; jgish@co.nezperce.id.us; dhavens@co.nezperce.id.us; thirteentwelveforever@proton.me; info@southdakotatruth.com; 08mad10@gmail.com; city@lmtribune.com; Civil.Fraud@usdoj.gov; justin rodriguez <[jrodspeaks@gmail.com](mailto:jrodspeaks@gmail.com)>; idahoauditors@gmail.com; tips@nbcuni.com; tips@inlander.com; news@theregister.com; news@kpbx.org; Newsdesk@krem.com; newsroom@idahopress.com; news@bigcountry977.com; News4@kxly.com; Ada Eldridge <[Adaeldridge@hotmail.com](mailto:Adaeldridge@hotmail.com)>; brennonlleafty@gmail.com; SUEANNSMITH32@YAHOO.COM; Imccann@house.idaho.gov; nancececcarelli@co.nezperce.id.us; Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us; Monte Schmidt <[monte.schmidt@itd.idaho.gov](mailto:monte.schmidt@itd.idaho.gov)>; jklein@cityoflewiston.org; Brian.Donovan@usdoj.gov; Representative Brandon Mitchell <[bmitchell@house.idaho.gov](mailto:bmitchell@house.idaho.gov)>; bryces@co.nezperce.id.us; ISPIO@isp.idaho.gov; Brooke Burns <[bburns@co.asotin.wa.us](mailto:bburns@co.asotin.wa.us)>; Stephanie Cuddihy <[StephanieC@co.nezperce.id.us](mailto:StephanieC@co.nezperce.id.us)>; LPD Dispatch <[dispatch@cityoflewiston.org](mailto:dispatch@cityoflewiston.org)>; Jason Kuzik <[jkuzik@cityoflewiston.org](mailto:jkuzik@cityoflewiston.org)>; Amy Ledgerwood <[AmyLedgerwood@co.nezperce.id.us](mailto:AmyLedgerwood@co.nezperce.id.us)>; Constituent Mailbox <[AGLabrador@ag.idaho.gov](mailto:AGLabrador@ag.idaho.gov)>; AGO Ombuds <[AGOombuds@atg.wa.gov](mailto:AGOombuds@atg.wa.gov)>; tsparks@clarkstonpolice.org; AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us; Dan Johnson <[danjohnson@cityoflewiston.org](mailto:danjohnson@cityoflewiston.org)>; Patty Weeks <[PattyWeeks@co.nezperce.id.us](mailto:PattyWeeks@co.nezperce.id.us)>; Brian Shinn <[bshinn@asotincountywa.gov](mailto:bshinn@asotincountywa.gov)>; Mariel Gates <[Mariel.Gates@tax.idaho.gov](mailto:Mariel.Gates@tax.idaho.gov)>; Chris Seubert <[cseubert@asotincountywa.gov](mailto:cseubert@asotincountywa.gov)>; Justin Coleman <[JustinColeman@co.nezperce.id.us](mailto:JustinColeman@co.nezperce.id.us)>; Charles Whitman <[cwhitman@asotincountywa.gov](mailto:cwhitman@asotincountywa.gov)>; Curt Liedkie <[cliedkie@asotincountywa.gov](mailto:cliedkie@asotincountywa.gov)>; Intake <[intake@wsba.org](mailto:intake@wsba.org)>; Amanda Daylong <[ADaylong@nwtrialattorneys.com](mailto:ADaylong@nwtrialattorneys.com)>; apearce@nwtrialattorneys.com; Karen Carlisle <[kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov)>; legal@libertasfunding.com; Investigations Info Requests <[InvestigationsInfoRequests@isp.idaho.gov](mailto:InvestigationsInfoRequests@isp.idaho.gov)>; dmckay@asotincountywa.gov; rlynch@asotincountywa.gov; Public Disclosure <[PDisclosure@asotincountywa.gov](mailto:PDisclosure@asotincountywa.gov)>; Stacey Harman <[SHarman@asotincountywa.gov](mailto:SHarman@asotincountywa.gov)>  
**Subject:** Re: January RICO Correspondence, Part 2

**CAUTION:** This email originated outside the State of Idaho network. Verify links and attachments BEFORE you click or open, even if you recognize and/or trust the sender. Contact your agency service desk with any concerns.

Ex B-35

1/21/26, 2:06 PM

Gmail - January RICO Correspondence, Part 2

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]

**Corey Switzer** <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>

Wed, Jan 21, 2026 at 10:28 AM

To: Jessica Kline <jkline@isb.idaho.gov>, Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>, Lori Ostertag <lostertag@isb.idaho.gov>, Judahl Luke7@gmail.com, Lisa Webber <lwebber@asotincountywa.gov>, hliedkie@co.nezperce.id.us, Trae Turner <trae@clarkandfeeney.com>, jgish@co.nezperce.id.us, dhavens@co.nezperce.id.us, thirteentwelveforever@proton.me, info@southdakotatruth.com, 08mad10@gmail.com, city@lmtribune.com, Civil.Fraud@usdoj.gov, justin rodriguez <jrodspeaks@gmail.com>, idahoauditors@gmail.com, tips@nbcuni.com, tips@inlander.com, news@theregister.com, news@kpbx.org, Newsdesk@krem.com, newsroom@idahopress.com, news@bigcountry977.com, News4@kxly.com, Ada Eldridge <Adaeldridge@hotmail.com>, brennonleafy@gmail.com, "SUEANNSMITH32@YAHOO.COM" <sueannsmith32@yahoo.com>, lmccann@house.idaho.gov, nancececcarelli@co.nezperce.id.us, Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us, Monte Schmidt <monte.schmidt@itd.idaho.gov>, jklein@cityofflewiston.org, Brian.Donovan@usdoj.gov, Representative Brandon Mitchell <bmitchell@house.idaho.gov>, bryces@co.nezperce.id.us, ISPIO@isp.idaho.gov, Brooke Burns <bburns@co.asotin.wa.us>, Stephanie Cuddihy <StephanieC@co.nezperce.id.us>, LPD Dispatch <dispatch@cityofflewiston.org>, Jason Kuzik <jkuzik@cityofflewiston.org>, Amy Ledgerwood <AmyLedgerwood@co.nezperce.id.us>, Constituent Mailbox <AGLabrador@ag.idaho.gov>, AGO Ombuds <AGOombuds@atg.wa.gov>, tsparks@clarkstonpolice.org, AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us, Dan Johnson <danjohnson@cityofflewiston.org>, Patty Weeks <PattyWeeks@co.nezperce.id.us>, Brian Shinn <bshinn@asotincountywa.gov>, Mariel Gates <Mariel.Gates@tax.idaho.gov>, Chris Seubert <cseubert@asotincountywa.gov>, Justin Coleman <JustinColeman@co.nezperce.id.us>, Charles Whitman <cwhitman@asotincountywa.gov>, Curt Liedkie <cliedkie@asotincountywa.gov>, Intake <intake@wsba.org>, Amanda Daylong <ADaylong@nwtrialattorneys.com>, apearance@nwtrialattorneys.com, Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>, legal@libertasfunding.com, Investigations Info Requests <InvestigationsInfoRequests@isp.idaho.gov>, dmckay@asotincountywa.gov, rlynnch@asotincountywa.gov, Public Disclosure <PDisclosure@asotincountywa.gov>, Stacey Harman <SHarman@asotincountywa.gov>

To all respondents,

As demonstrated, Idaho State Supreme Court Chief Justice C Richard Bevins has an intimate working relationship with the ISB and Idaho Community Foundation, chaired by Hannah Liedkie.

Ms. Carlisle, I am in receipt of your email stating that the email sent by me after 5 pm, "End of the Business Day", January 20th, 2026 will NOT be accepted.

There are still at least two unanswered pending motions in excess of six (6) days. I have attached those unanswered motions for you to immediately reference and address.

I have also included the Idaho State Supreme Court filing and ruling regarding the Motion for Disqualification, related exhibits and ruling in which Hon. C. Richard Bevins signs his own Denial of Motion for Disqualification.

This also highlights the internal protection mechanism inherent between the Idaho State Supreme Court and the Idaho State Bar through the association of Hannah Liedkie, Chairperson for the Idaho Community Foundation, related to Curtis Liedkie, Asotin County Prosecutor who has represented Washington State Superior Court Judge Hon. Brooke Burns before the Washington State Supreme Court and is the focus of Washington State Auditor for unlawful expenditures of taxpayer funding.

Respectfully  
Mr. Corey M Switzer  
[Quoted text hidden]

13 attachments

Exh B - 36





Exhibit C.jpg  
105K

-  **Gmail - January RICO Correspondence, Part 2.pdf**  
79K
-  **Exhibit B (3).pdf**  
88K
-  **G0 Case 2\_25-cv-00010-EFS (2).pdf**  
387K
-  **Bevins Signed (1).pdf**  
169K
-  **Exhibit A (3).pdf**  
2785K
-  **Exhibit D (1).pdf**  
1086K
-  **E Gmail - Troy munstermann statute of limitations (1).pdf**  
699K
-  **Gmail - January RICO Correspondence.pdf**  
59K
-  **Gmail - January RICO Correspondence (3).pdf**  
75K
-  **Gmail - January RICO Correspondence (2).pdf**  
85K
-  **Gmail - January RICO Correspondence (1).pdf**  
53K
-  **Gmail - January RICO Correspondence, Part 2.pdf**  
79K

**Corey Switzer** <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>

Wed, Jan 21, 2026 at 1:57 PM

To: Jessica Kline <jkline@isb.idaho.gov>, Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>, Lori Ostertag <lostertag@isb.idaho.gov>, Judahluke7@gmail.com, Lisa Webber <lwebber@asotincountywa.gov>, hliedkie@co.nezperce.id.us, Trae Turner <trae@clarkandfeeney.com>, jgish@co.nezperce.id.us, dhavens@co.nezperce.id.us, thirteentwelveforever@proton.me, info@southdakotatruth.com, 08mad10@gmail.com, city@lmtribune.com, Civil.Fraud@usdoj.gov, justin rodriguez <jrodspeaks@gmail.com>, idahoauditors@gmail.com, tips@nbcuni.com, tips@inlander.com, news@theregister.com, news@kpbx.org, Newsdesk@krem.com, newsroom@idahopress.com, news@bigcountry977.com, News4@kxly.com, Ada Eldridge <Adaeldridge@hotmail.com>, brennonleaffty@gmail.com, "SUEANNSMITH32@YAHOO.COM" <sueannsmith32@yahoo.com>, lmccann@house.idaho.gov, nancececcarelli@co.nezperce.id.us, Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us, Monte Schmidt <monte.schmidt@itd.idaho.gov>, jklein@cityofflewiston.org, Brian.Donovan@usdoj.gov, Representative Brandon Mitchell <bmitchell@house.idaho.gov>, bryces@co.nezperce.id.us, ISPPIO@isp.idaho.gov, Brooke Burns <bburns@co.asotin.wa.us>, Stephanie Cuddihy <StephanieC@co.nezperce.id.us>, LPD Dispatch <dispatch@cityofflewiston.org>, Jason Kuzik <jkuzik@cityofflewiston.org>, Amy Ledgerwood <AmyLedgerwood@co.nezperce.id.us>, Constituent Mailbox <AGLabrador@ag.idaho.gov>, AGO Ombuds <AGOombuds@atg.wa.gov>, tsparks@clarkstonpolice.org, AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us, Dan Johnson <danjohnson@cityofflewiston.org>, Patty Weeks <PattyWeeks@co.nezperce.id.us>, Brian Shinn <bshinn@asotincountywa.gov>, Mariel Gates <Mariel.Gates@tax.idaho.gov>, Chris Seubert <cseubert@asotincountywa.gov>, Justin Coleman <JustinColeman@co.nezperce.id.us>, Charles Whitman <cwhitman@asotincountywa.gov>, Curt Liedkie <cliedkie@asotincountywa.gov>, Intake <intake@wsba.org>, Amanda

Ex B-37



1/21/26, 2:06 PM

Gmail - January RICO Correspondence, Part 2

Daylong <ADaylong@nwtrialattorneys.com>, apearance@nwtrialattorneys.com, Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>, legal@libertasfunding.com, Investigations Info Requests <InvestigationsInfoRequests@isp.idaho.gov>, dmckay@asotincountywa.gov, rlynch@asotincountywa.gov, Public Disclosure <PDisclosure@asotincountywa.gov>, Stacey Harman <SHarman@asotincountywa.gov>, usdemsocialists@gmail.com, objectionoverruled2@gmail.com, whistleblower@startribune.com

Corey M. Switzer  
coreyswitzer@gmail.com | 218-461-9868

## BEFORE THE IDAHO STATE BAR PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT BOARD

In the Matter of: ) Case No. 25-187a (Turner)  
TRAE TURNER, ) Consolidated with:  
Attorney-Respondent. ) Case No. 25-169a (Coleman)  
) Case No. 25-168a (Smith)

EMERGENCY MOTION TO: (1) REOPEN WRITTEN RECORD; (2) COMPEL RULING ON PENDING MOTIONS; (3) STAY PROCEEDINGS; AND (4) CONSOLIDATE CASES FOR IMPARTIAL REVIEW

TO: The Idaho State Bar Professional Conduct Board  
Karen Carlisle, Clerk  
Joseph Pirtle, Bar Counsel  
Hearing Committee Chair Alycia T. Moss (25-169a)  
Hearing Committee Chair David P. Claiborne (25-187a)  
Hearing Committee Chair Carole I. Wesenberg (25-168a)

FROM: Corey M. Switzer, Pro Se Complainant

DATE: January 21, 2026

### I. INTRODUCTION & RELIEF REQUESTED

Complainant Corey M. Switzer files this Emergency Motion in response to a critical procedural impasse and newly evidenced institutional bias that irreparably taint these proceedings. The Idaho State Bar ("ISB") has: (1) improperly refused to accept timely supplemental evidence; (2) failed to rule on multiple pending motions for over six days; (3) demonstrated supervisory misconduct via a Bar Commissioner's instruction to "rush through" a hearing; and (4) is allegedly compromised by relationships between its overseeing Supreme Court and key figures in these matters. Furthermore, newly obtained public records provide documented proof of retaliatory conduct by a state official. Immediate action is required to prevent a denial of justice.

Complainant respectfully moves this Board for the following relief:

1. Reopen and maintain the written record for all three consolidated cases.
2. Issue immediate rulings on all pending motions.
3. Stay all further disciplinary proceedings.
4. Consolidate Cases 25-187a, 25-169a, and 25-168a.
5. Reassign the consolidated matter to a new, independent hearing committee with no ties to the current ISB apparatus or implicated officials.
6. Refer the accumulated evidence of potential federal crimes to the appropriate federal authorities.

### II. FACTUAL & PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

#### A. The Unified Allegations and Escalation to Federal Claims.

As detailed in the Unified Narrative Summary submitted January 20, 2026, these three grievances are inextricably linked parts of a single alleged pattern: retaliation and obstruction by legal officials against a whistleblower reporting corruption. The evidence has evolved to allege a multi-jurisdictional conspiracy involving wire fraud, deprivation of rights under color of law, and racketeering activity, warranting federal investigation.

#### B. Documentary Proof of Retaliatory Censorship.

On January 21, 2026, Complainant received an official public records response from the Idaho Legislative Services Office (attached). It contains the Facebook message thread between Complainant and Idaho State Representative Brandon Mitchell. In this thread, dated November 5, Complainant informs Rep. Mitchell that blocking him on a political Facebook page for reporting corruption violates the Supreme Court precedent in *Lindke v. Freed*. Rep. Mitchell's response—citing his "social media policy"—confirms the retaliatory censorship that is a central allegation in these proceedings. This is direct, documented evidence of the "pattern of institutional retaliation" that links all three cases.

*Eh B-38*

### C. The "Rush Through" Instruction and Motion for Mistrial.

On January 15, 2026, during the hearing for Case No. 25-168a (Smith), a Bar Commissioner was overheard instructing the committee to "rush through this hearing." This directive constitutes undeniable supervisory misconduct, proving prejudgment and a intent to deny a full and fair hearing. A Motion for Mistrial and Immediate Stay was filed that same day (January 15, 2026). No ruling has been issued.

### D. Improper Closure of the Record and Refusal of Evidence.

Complainant formally notified the Board that the record for Case No. 25-187a (Turner) would remain open for supplemental submissions until 5:00 PM MST on January 20, 2026. A critical supplemental filing was submitted at approximately 5:16 PM MST. On January 21, 2026, Clerk Karen Carlisle stated, "This email was received at 5:16 p.m. and will not be included in the record." This arbitrary refusal to accept evidence submitted within a publicly stated and reasonable deadline is a blatant procedural violation designed to exclude material evidence.

### E. Unreasonable Delay in Ruling on Pending Motions.

As of January 21, 2026, at least two critical motions have been pending without a ruling for over six (6) days, including the Motion for Mistrial and the Motion for Unified Judicial Notice & Consolidated Due Process Review filed January 16, 2026. This delay paralyzes the process and denies Complainant basic procedural rights.

### F. Evidence of Systemic Bias and Institutional Conflict.

New evidence suggests a profound conflict within the oversight structure itself. Idaho Supreme Court Chief Justice C. Richard Bevens, who oversees the ISB, has an intimate working relationship with the Idaho Community Foundation, chaired by Hannah Liedkie. Mrs. Liedkie is a central figure in the parallel Washington state allegations and is related to Asotin County Prosecutor Curtis Liedkie, who is implicated in the alleged misuse of public funds. This relationship creates an appearance of impropriety and suggests the state's highest judicial and disciplinary bodies are incapable of impartial review.

## III. ARGUMENT

### A. The Proceedings Are Irreparably Tainted by Procedural Misconduct and Bias.

The Clerk's capricious exclusion of evidence, combined with the Bar Commissioner's command to "rush," demonstrates a systemic effort to curtail a fair hearing. These acts validate Complainant's allegations of a corrupted process designed to protect connected officials rather than investigate serious misconduct. Due process cannot survive in such an environment.

### B. The Documented Pattern of Retaliation Demands Consolidated Review.

The newly obtained public record is not an isolated incident; it is a documented piece of the retaliatory pattern that forms the core of all three grievances. The ISB's continued fragmentation of these cases ignores this unified factual basis. Hearing them in isolation creates a misleading and incomplete picture, violating principles of fundamental fairness and judicial economy.

### C. The Gravity of the Allegations Demands Federal Scrutiny and a Stay.

The evidence now includes: (1) sworn testimony of undisclosed marital conflicts between a prosecutor and respondent; (2) allegations of felony fraud; (3) cross-jurisdictional retaliation; and (4) documented, unconstitutional censorship by a state legislator. These are not mere ethical violations but evidence of potential federal crimes and systemic corruption. The ISB's disciplinary process is ill-equipped to investigate this. Continuing state-level proceedings risks obstructing a federal investigation and sanctions a parody of due process.

## IV. CONCLUSION

The Idaho State Bar stands at a precipice. It can continue down a path of demonstrable procedural unfairness, exclusion of evidence, and disregard for its own rules, thereby eroding all public confidence. Or, it can act decisively to restore integrity by halting the current flawed process, consolidating the matters, and referring the serious criminal allegations to the proper authorities. The attached public records evidence only reinforces the urgent need for this course correction.

WHEREFORE, Complainant respectfully requests that the Idaho State Bar Professional Conduct Board immediately:

1. GRANT this Emergency Motion in its entirety.
2. ORDER the written record for ISB Cases 25-187a, 25-169a, and 25-168a REOPENED and maintained to include all submissions made prior to and including 5:16 PM MST on January 20, 2026, AND the Public Records Response from the Idaho Legislative Services Office dated January 21, 2026.
3. ISSUE RULINGS within forty-eight (48) hours on all pending motions, including but not limited to the Motion for Mistrial (Jan. 15) and Motion for Consolidated Review (Jan. 16).
4. STAY all further proceedings, including any scheduled hearings, in the above-captioned matters.
5. CONSOLIDATE Cases 25-187a, 25-169a, and 25-168a for a single, thorough review.

*Eh B-39*

1/21/26, 2:06 PM

Gmail - January RICO Correspondence, Part 2

6. REASSIGN the consolidated matter to a new Hearing Committee whose members have no prior involvement in these cases and no connection to the Bar Commissioner involved in the "rush through" instruction or the implicated network involving the Idaho Supreme Court and the Idaho Community Foundation.
7. DIRECT the Office of Bar Counsel to REFER the full record, including all evidence of potential federal crimes (wire fraud, conspiracy, deprivation of rights), to the U.S. Department of Justice, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, and the U.S. Attorney's Offices for the Districts of Idaho and Eastern Washington.
8. PROVIDE any other relief the Board deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

Corey M. Switzer, Pro Se Complainant

ATTACHMENTS:

- 1.) Public Records Request Response from Idaho Legislative Services Office (Elizabeth Bowen), dated January 21, 2026, including Facebook message thread with Representative Brandon Mitchell.
- 2.) Correspondence with Carlisle

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 21st day of January, 2026, a true and correct copy of the foregoing EMERGENCY MOTION and its attachment was served upon all parties of record via electronic mail.

Corey M. Switzer

---  
[Quoted text hidden]

2 attachments

 **Gmail - Public records request.pdf**  
2848K

 **Gmail - January RICO Correspondence, Part 2 (1).pdf**  
152K

Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>  
To: Corey Switzer <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>

Wed, Jan 21, 2026 at 2:00 PM

Mr. Switzer:

This is not the proper format to submit a Motion for an appeal case. This email will not be added to the record for the three appeal cases you referred to.

Respectfully,

**From:** Corey Switzer <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Wednesday, January 21, 2026 11:58 AM

**To:** Jessica Kline <jkline@isb.idaho.gov>; Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>; Lori Ostertag <lostertag@isb.idaho.gov>; Judahluke7@gmail.com; Lisa Webber <lwebber@asotincountywa.gov>; hliedkie@co.nezperce.id.us; Trae Turner <trae@clarkandfeeney.com>; jgish@co.nezperce.id.us; dhavens@co.nezperce.id.us; thirteentwelveforever@proton.me; info@southdakotatruth.com; 08mad10@gmail.com; city@lmtribune.com; Civil.Fraud@usdoj.gov; justin rodriguez <jrodspeaks@gmail.com>; idahoauditors@gmail.com; tips@nbcuni.com; tips@inlander.com; news@theregister.com; news@kpbx.org; Newsdesk@krem.com; newsroom@idahopress.com; news@bigcountry977.com; News4@kxly.com; Ada Eldridge <Adaeldridge@hotmail.com>; brennonlleafy@gmail.com; SUEANNSMITH32@YAHOO.COM; Imccann@house.idaho.gov; nancececcarelli@co.nezperce.id.us; Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us; Monte Schmidt <monte.schmidt@itd.idaho.gov>; jklein@cityoflewiston.org; Brian.Donovan@usdoj.gov; Representative Brandon Mitchell <bmittell@house.idaho.gov>; bryces@co.nezperce.id.us; ISPPIO@isp.idaho.gov;

<https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/?ik=f1dabbae55&view=pt&search=all&permthid=thread-a:r8484258418964578873&simpl=msg-a:r4274258...> 9/10

Eth B-40



1/21/26, 2:06 PM

Gmail - January RICO Correspondence, Part 2

Brooke Burns <bburns@co.asotin.wa.us>; Stephanie Cuddihy <StephanieC@co.nezperce.id.us>; LPD Dispatch <dispatch@cityoflewiston.org>; Jason Kuzik <jkuzik@cityoflewiston.org>; Amy Ledgerwood <AmyLedgerwood@co.nezperce.id.us>; Constituent Mailbox <AGLabrador@ag.idaho.gov>; AGO Ombuds <AGOombuds@atg.wa.gov>; tsparks@clarkstonpolice.org; AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us; Dan Johnson <danjohnson@cityoflewiston.org>; Patty Weeks <PattyWeeks@co.nezperce.id.us>; Brian Shinn <bshinn@asotincountywa.gov>; Mariel Gates <Mariel.Gates@tax.idaho.gov>; Chris Seubert <cseubert@asotincountywa.gov>; Justin Coleman <JustinColeman@co.nezperce.id.us>; Charles Whitman <cwhitman@asotincountywa.gov>; Curt Liedkie <cliedkie@asotincountywa.gov>; Intake <intake@wsba.org>; Amanda Daylong <ADaylong@nwtrialattorneys.com>; apearce@nwtrialattorneys.com; Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>; legal@libertasfunding.com; Investigations Info Requests <InvestigationsInfoRequests@isp.idaho.gov>; dmckay@asotincountywa.gov; rlynch@asotincountywa.gov; Public Disclosure <PDisclosure@asotincountywa.gov>; Stacey Harman <SHarman@asotincountywa.gov>; usdemsocialists@gmail.com; objectionoverruled2@gmail.com; whistleblower@startribune.com  
**Subject:** Re: January RICO Correspondence, Part 2

**CAUTION:** This email originated outside the State of Idaho network. Verify links and attachments BEFORE you click or open, even if you recognize and/or trust the sender. Contact your agency service desk with any concerns.

---

Corey M. Switzer

[Quoted text hidden]

Eth B-41

PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT BOARD  
P.O. Box 895  
Boise, Idaho 83701  
(208) 334-4500

**BEFORE THE PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT BOARD  
OF THE IDAHO STATE BAR**

In the matter of:


ISB Case No.: 25-168a

APRIL A. SMITH

**ORDER**

Attorney at Law.

Grievant's January 16, 2026 Motion for Mistrial and Immediate Stay is DENIED. Grievant's January 21, 2026 Emergency Motion to (1) to reopen the written record; (2) compel ruling on pending motions; (3) stay proceedings; (4) consolidate with related cases; and (5) refer for impartial review is DENIED.



Carole I. Wesenberg  
Committee Chairperson

# CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that on the 21st day of January, 2026, I served a true and correct copy of the Order upon the following recipients by depositing the same by email.

April A. Smith  
aprils@co.nezperce.id.us  
*via email*

Corey M. Switzer  
coreyswitzer@gmail.com  
*via email*

Carole I. Wesenberg  
*Professional Conduct Board*  
*via email*

Michaelina B. Murphy  
*Professional Conduct Board*  
*via email*

Sherry Montosa  
*Professional Conduct Board*  
*via email*



---

Karen Carlisle, Clerk  
Professional Conduct Board

Exh B-43





Office of Bar Counsel  
P.O. Box 895, Boise, Idaho 83701  
(208) 334-4500 Fax: (208) 334-2764  
[www.isb.idaho.gov](http://www.isb.idaho.gov)

**GRIEVANCE COVER SHEET**  
(Please read the attached information before completing)

Date: 1-21-2026

Your Name: Corey M Switzer  
Please Print Legibly

Mailing Address: 264 Kernodle Rd, Gibsonville NC 27249  
Street or Box No. City State Zip

Home Phone: 218-461-9868 Work Phone: \_\_\_\_\_ May we call you at work? No

Email Address: coreyswitzer@gmail.com

Attorney's Name: Karen Carlisle, Idaho State Bar

Name of attorney this grievance is directed against  
(If you are complaining about more than one attorney, copy this grievance form and complete a separate grievance for each attorney. We must have the name of the individual attorney to process your grievance.)

Mailing Address: \_\_\_\_\_  
Street or Box No. City State Zip

Date You Hired Attorney: \_\_\_\_\_  
(IF APPLICABLE)

Amount Initially Paid Attorney? \_\_\_\_\_ Total Amount Paid? \_\_\_\_\_  
(IF APPLICABLE)

Did You Sign a Fee or Retainer Agreement? \_\_\_\_\_  
(IF APPLICABLE, PLEASE INCLUDE A COPY OF THE AGREEMENT)

Do You Presently Owe The Attorney Any Fees? \_\_\_\_\_  
(IF APPLICABLE)

Type Of Legal Problem Attorney Was Hired To Handle (IF APPLICABLE):

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

Intake Number: 26 -

District & A#: ( )

Eth B-44

TO: Idaho State Bar - Office of Bar Counsel; Idaho State Bar Executive Director  
CC: Joseph Pirtle, Bar Counsel; Members of the Professional Conduct Board  
FROM: Corey M. Switzer, Pro Se Complainant  
DATE: January 21, 2026  
RE: FORMAL GRIEVANCE AGAINST KAREN CARLISLE, CLERK OF THE PROFESSIONAL  
CONDUCT BOARD, FOR PROCEDURAL MISCONDUCT, OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, AND  
VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS IN CASES 25-168a, 25-169a, AND 25-187a

---

## I. INTRODUCTION

1. This formal grievance is filed against Karen Carlisle, Clerk of the Idaho State Bar Professional Conduct Board, for a documented pattern of arbitrary, prejudicial, and procedurally obstructive conduct in the administration of the above-referenced disciplinary appeals. Her actions have materially prejudiced the integrity of these proceedings, denied the Complainant a fair opportunity to be heard, and demonstrate an abuse of her administrative authority that violates fundamental due process.
2. This grievance is based on Ms. Carlisle's own written communications dated January 20-21, 2026, which are attached as Exhibit A, and on newly disclosed evidence of her participation in improper ex parte communications, attached as Exhibit B.

---

## II. BACKGROUND

1. The Complainant is the pro se complainant in three interconnected Idaho State Bar disciplinary cases (25-168a, 25-169a, 25-187a) arising from a unified pattern of alleged retaliation and obstruction against a whistleblower. The factual and evidentiary overlap between these cases is substantial and documented.
2. Ms. Carlisle, as Clerk, holds a purely administrative and ministerial role: to accept filings, maintain the record, and facilitate the orderly process of the Board. She holds no adjudicative authority to rule on motions, exclude evidence, make substantive procedural determinations, or engage in substantive communications about a pending case outside the presence of all parties.

---

## III. SPECIFIC ALLEGATIONS OF MISCONDUCT

### A. ARBITRARY EXCLUSION OF TIMELY EVIDENCE

1. On January 20, 2026, at 5:16 PM MST, Complainant submitted supplemental materials for the record in Case No. 25-187a, within a publicly stated deadline of 5:00 PM that same day.

Ex B-45

2. At 5:16 PM, Ms. Carlisle responded: "This email was received at 5:16 p.m. and will not be included in the record." (Exhibit A, p.4).
3. This unilateral, arbitrary refusal to accept evidence submitted within a reasonable and communicated timeframe constitutes prejudicial gatekeeping without authority. It deprives the Hearing Committee of material evidence and violates the Complainant's right to a complete record.

#### B. PARTICIPATION IN IMPROPER EX PARTE COMMUNICATIONS

1. During the January 15, 2026 hearing for Case No. 25-168a (In re: April Smith), Hearing Committee Chair Carole I. Wesenberg confirmed that she, along with Karen Carlisle, held a closed-door meeting with the Community Advocate via Zoom prior to the hearing. A recording of Chair Wesenberg acknowledging this meeting is provided (Exhibit B).
2. This meeting was conducted without notice to, or participation of, the Complainant. It constitutes a clear violation of procedural fairness and the prohibition against ex parte communications in adjudicative proceedings. By facilitating and participating in this secret meeting, Ms. Carlisle ceased acting as a neutral clerk and became an active participant in a process designed to prejudice the Complainant's case outside of the open record.

#### C. ISSUING CONTRADICTORY, IMPOSSIBLE, AND SHIFTING FILING INSTRUCTIONS

1. On January 21, 2026, Complainant attempted to file an Emergency Motion. Ms. Carlisle engaged in a series of contradictory, hyper-technical instructions that served no purpose other than to obstruct filing:

- 1:57 PM: Motion rejected as "not the proper format." (Exhibit A, p.9)
- 2:10 PM: Instructed to submit in "one single pdf document." (Exhibit A, p.11)
- 2:34 PM: After compliance, motion rejected because "cases are not consolidated." (Exhibit A, p.13)
- 3:26-3:50 PM: Complainant submits three separate motions per her instruction. She then rejects them for containing "additional attachments" and "duplicative pages." (Exhibit A, pp.15-22)

1. These ever-changing, opaque requirements represent procedural bad faith and create an impossible labyrinth for a pro se complainant, effectively denying access to the tribunal.

#### D. USURPING THE ADJUDICATIVE ROLE OF THE BOARD

1. Ms. Carlisle repeatedly made substantive determinations far beyond her clerical authority:
  - "This will not be filed." (Exhibit A, p.17)
  - "The records for the appeals are closed." (Exhibit A, p.16)
  - "This is not the proper format to submit a Motion for an appeal case." (Exhibit A, p.9)

*Eth B-46*

2. These are substantive procedural rulings that only the Hearing Committee or Board may make. By making them, she has acted as an unappointed, unqualified, and biased gatekeeper, depriving the Complainant of review by the actual decision-makers.

#### E. REFUSAL TO ACKNOWLEDGE INTERCONNECTED CASES & FORCING PROCEDURAL ABSURDITY

1. The core of Complainant's pending motions is that these three cases arise from the same facts, involve the same actors, and must be consolidated for a fair review.
2. Ms. Carlisle's insistence on completely separate, non-duplicative filings is logically impossible given the overlapping evidence and creates a procedural catch-22: the Complainant cannot argue for consolidation within three entirely separate records without duplication. This elevates pedantic form over substantive justice and judicial economy.

---

#### IV. IMPACT AND PREJUDICE

1. Ms. Carlisle's conduct has caused direct and irreparable prejudice:
  - Evidence Suppression: Critical evidence and motions are being kept from the Hearing Committee.
  - Secret, Biased Communications: Her participation in closed-door meetings with the adjudicator and a third party irreparably taints the impartiality of the entire proceeding for Case 25-168a and calls into question her role in all related cases.
  - Resource Exhaustion: Complainant's time and effort are wasted on procedural games rather than case merits.
  - Validation of Systemic Bias: Her actions exemplify the very "rigged system" and institutional resistance alleged in the underlying complaints.
  - Denial of Due Process: A fair hearing cannot occur when the clerk administering the process arbitrarily blocks filings, engages in ex parte communications, and acts as a partisan gatekeeper.

---

#### V. VIOLATIONS

1. Ms. Carlisle's actions violate:
  - Idaho Bar Commission Rules governing fair procedure, the role of Bar staff, and prohibitions on ex parte communications.
  - The Duty of Impartiality and Neutrality owed by all Bar officials to parties before the Board.
  - Fundamental Due Process under the Idaho and U.S. Constitutions, including the right to be heard, to a neutral tribunal, and to a meaningful opportunity to present evidence free from secret, prejudicial communications.
  - The Public Trust in the Bar as an impartial disciplinary body.

*Eth B-47*

---

## VI. REQUESTED ACTION

1. Complainant respectfully demands that the Idaho State Bar immediately:
  1. Remove Karen Carlisle from any administrative role involving Cases 25-168a, 25-169a, and 25-187a, and from any future involvement with Complainant's matters.
  2. Reopen the Record in all three cases to include every submission she refused or excluded, particularly the January 20, 2026, 5:16 PM submission and all versions of the January 21, 2026, Emergency Motion.
  3. Declare a Mistrial in Case 25-168a (In re: Smith) due to the irreparable prejudice caused by the improper ex parte communications involving Ms. Carlisle, Chair Wesenberg, and the Community Advocate.
  4. Issue an Immediate Ruling on the pending Motion for Consolidated Due Process Review, as her conduct makes the necessity of consolidation undeniably clear.
  5. Launch a Formal Investigation into Ms. Carlisle's conduct in this matter, including her role in the January 15 ex parte meeting, to determine whether this represents a pattern of obstructive and biased behavior.
  6. Assign a New, Impartial Clerk to administer these proceedings, with written acknowledgment of the interconnected nature of the cases.
  7. Provide a Written Response to this grievance within seven (7) business days, outlining steps taken.

---


## VII. CONCLUSION

1. The attached evidence demonstrates a prima facie case of profound procedural misconduct and ethical breaches by a Bar official. Karen Carlisle has transitioned from a neutral clerk to an active participant in obstructing filings, engaging in secret communications, and acting as a substantive gatekeeper. The Idaho State Bar cannot credibly adjudicate ethics complaints against attorneys while allowing its own staff to engage in conduct that systematically denies a complainant a fair hearing. Her actions demand immediate and severe corrective action to preserve any shred of integrity remaining in the disciplinary process.

Respectfully submitted,

Corey M. Switzer  
Pro Se Complainant  
coreyswitzer@gmail.com | 218-461-9868

---



Eth B-48

## ATTACHMENTS

Exhibit A: Gmail - January RICO Correspondence, Part 2.pdf (Email thread of January 20-21, 2026, documenting Karen Carlisle's written communications and obstructive conduct)

Exhibit B: Recording of January 15, 2026 Hearing - Acknowledgement of Ex Parte Meeting (audio evidence in which Hearing Committee Chair Carole I. Wesenberg confirms the closed-door meeting with Karen Carlisle and the Community Advocate. Available at:

<https://youtu.be/OUSkpnLdNk8> ).


---

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 21st day of January, 2026, a true and correct copy of this FORMAL GRIEVANCE was served via electronic mail upon:

- Office of Bar Counsel (jcounsel@isb.idaho.gov)
- Executive Director, Idaho State Bar (executive@isb.idaho.gov)
- Joseph Pirtle, Bar Counsel (jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov)
- Professional Conduct Board Members (via general board email)

Corey M. Switzer

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Corey M. Switzer', with a stylized, flowing script.

Eth B-49





## January RICO Correspondence, Part 2

21 messages

**Corey Switzer** <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>

Tue, Jan 20, 2026 at 5:33 PM

To: Jessica Kline <jkline@isb.idaho.gov>, Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>, Lori Ostertag <lostertag@isb.idaho.gov>, Judahluke7@gmail.com, Lisa Webber <lwebber@asotincountywa.gov>, hliedkie@co.nezperce.id.us, Trae Turner <trae@clarkandfeeney.com>, jgish@co.nezperce.id.us, dhavens@co.nezperce.id.us, thirteentwelvebeforever@proton.me, info@southdakotatruth.com, 08mad10@gmail.com, city@lmtribune.com, Civil.Fraud@usdoj.gov, justin rodriguez <jrodspeaks@gmail.com>, idahoauditors@gmail.com, tips@nbcuni.com, tips@inlander.com, news@theregister.com, news@kpbx.org, Newsdesk@krem.com, newsroom@idahopress.com, news@bigcountry977.com, News4@kxly.com, Ada Eldridge <Adaeldridge@hotmail.com>, brennonlleafty@gmail.com, SUEANNSMITH32@YAHOO.COM <sueannsmith32@yahoo.com>, lmccann@house.idaho.gov, nancececcarelli@co.nezperce.id.us, Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us, Monte Schmidt <monte.schmidt@itd.idaho.gov>, jklein@cityoflewiston.org, Brian.Donovan@usdoj.gov, Representative Brandon Mitchell <bmitchell@house.idaho.gov>, bryces@co.nezperce.id.us, ISPPIO@isp.idaho.gov, Brooke Burns <bburns@co.asotin.wa.us>, Stephanie Cuddihy <StephanieC@co.nezperce.id.us>, LPD Dispatch <dispatch@cityoflewiston.org>, Jason Kuzik <jkuzik@cityoflewiston.org>, Amy Ledgerwood <AmyLedgerwood@co.nezperce.id.us>, Constituent Mailbox <AGLabrador@ag.idaho.gov>, AGO Ombuds <AGOombuds@atg.wa.gov>, tsparks@clarkstonpolice.org, AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us, Dan Johnson <danjohnson@cityoflewiston.org>, Patty Weeks <PattyWeeks@co.nezperce.id.us>, Brian Shinn <bshinn@asotincountywa.gov>, Mariel Gates <Mariel.Gates@tax.idaho.gov>, Chris Seubert <cseubert@asotincountywa.gov>, Justin Coleman <JustinColeman@co.nezperce.id.us>, Charles Whitman <cwhitman@asotincountywa.gov>, Curt Liedkie <cliedkie@asotincountywa.gov>, Intake <intake@wsba.org>, Amanda Daylong <ADaylong@nwtrialattorneys.com>, apearce@nwtrialattorneys.com, Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>, legal@libertasfunding.com, Investigations Info Requests <InvestigationsInfoRequests@isp.idaho.gov>, dmckay@asotincountywa.gov, rlynch@asotincountywa.gov, Public Disclosure <PDisclosure@asotincountywa.gov>, Stacey Harman <SHarman@asotincountywa.gov>

Subject: Unified Narrative Summary: Switzer v. Idaho/Washington Legal Officials – Allegations of Systemic Corruption, Retaliation & Obstruction

To: [Relevant Parties, Media, Oversight Bodies]

From: Corey M. Switzer

Date: January 20, 2026

The following is a consolidated chronological narrative of events, allegations, and procedural conflicts detailed across three phases of correspondence. This summary is intended to provide a clear, unified overview of the core issues, which involve multi-jurisdiction allegations of misconduct, retaliatory acts, and systemic obstruction.

I use "Switzer", "I", "myself" and "he" interchangeably to represent myself.

### PART 1: FOUNDATION – INITIAL GRIEVANCES & IDAHO STATE BAR PROCEEDINGS

Core Story: This case originates from Corey Switzer's reports of alleged criminal activity—including police bribery and tax evasion involving Travelland RV, LLC—to Nez Perce County, Idaho officials. After what he describes as deliberate inaction and retaliation, Switzer filed formal ethics grievances with the Idaho State Bar (ISB) against:

1. Justin Coleman – Nez Perce County Prosecutor
  - Alleged failure to investigate credible evidence of bribery and tax fraud.
  - Retaliatory censorship (blocking Switzer on an official Facebook page).
  - Hiring of conflicted counsel (Trae Turner) to defend against Switzer's bar complaint.
  - Obstruction of public records requests.
2. Trae Turner – Private Attorney
  - Filing of a "false retaliatory police report" against Switzer in Clarkston, WA.

ETH B-50

- Representing Coleman despite an apparent conflict of interest.
3. April Smith – Deputy Prosecutor
- Complicity in public records obstruction and failure to investigate, extramarital affair alleged with Rick Fuentes.
- Narrative: Fuentes is one of the Lewiston Police Department Captains Switzer watched taking cash bribes from Tim Krueger, (deceased) former City Council Member and former owner of Travelland RV represented by Trae Turner.

ISB Process: The ISB initially dismissed the grievances. After appeals, hearings were scheduled before separate Hearing Committees. Switzer engaged in protracted procedural conflict with the ISB to admit a voluminous evidentiary record (termed a "Hearing Study Guide"), arguing the ISB was itself obstructing justice by fragmenting review and initially rejecting his submissions.

—

## PART 2: CRITICAL ESCALATION – SYSTEMIC MISCONDUCT & FEDERAL ALLEGATIONS

In January 2026, my claims expanded from specific ethics violations to allegations of criminal conspiracy and institutional corruption.

- Motion for Mistrial: During the January 15, 2026 hearing for April Smith, a Bar Commissioner was overheard instructing the committee to "rush through this hearing." I had filed a Motion for Mistrial and Immediate Stay, arguing this proved supervisory misconduct and systemic bias.
  - Unified Theory: I have formally linked all three grievances as parts of a single "pattern of institutional retaliation" and filed a Motion for Consolidated Due Process Review.
  - Federal Criminal Allegations: I submitted a Memorandum Requesting Referral to Federal Prosecutor, alleging potential federal crimes:
    - Interstate wire/bank fraud related to loans to Travelland RV.
    - Conspiracy to deprive civil rights under color of law.
  - New Corroboration: A sworn declaration from Sue Ann Meyers alleged an undisclosed marital relationship between prosecutor Kali Jo Parker and Trae Turner—a conflict allegedly known and ignored by Justin Coleman.
  - Procedural Standoff: I have conditionally refused further participation in ISB hearings until the Bar:
    1. Accepted evidence of alleged high-level improper influence.
    2. Addressed the "rush through" misconduct.
    3. Stayed and consolidated all cases.
- 

## PART 3: HEARINGS & EVIDENTIARY ONSLAUGHT – JANUARY 12–15, 2026

This phase covers the immediate pre-hearing and hearing events, showcasing my strategy of transparency, procedural objection, and real-time evidence submission.

- Pre-Hearing Motions: I opposed Coleman's last-minute motions as dilatory and prejudicial.
  - Hearing for Trae Turner (Jan 14): I submitted new evidence of a campaign donation from Turner to Coleman and highlighted contradictions in the employment and identity status of Meghan McCreary/Vittoria Puccini, alleging disability fraud and perjury.
  - Hearing for April Smith (Jan 15): I submitted recorded calls and video evidence. The hearing was interrupted by the Bar Commissioner's "rush through" instruction, leading me to file for mistrial.
  - Previous Allegation Against Turner before the ISB: Switzer provided evidence that Turner had falsely told a federal investigator that I was "found guilty of solicitation of a juvenile"—a charge that does not exist in Switzer's record.
  - Public & Media Outreach: Myself and supporting witnesses (Sue Ann Smith, Justin Rodriguez) copied vast recipient lists, including media outlets, to maintain transparency and build external pressure.
- 

## PARALLEL NARRATIVE: WASHINGTON STATE (ASOTIN COUNTY) FRONT

Simultaneously, Switzer is engaged in a fierce dispute with Asotin County, Washington:

- Allegation: The county is unlawfully use public funds to defend Judge Brooke Burns in a judicial conduct case because of the familial relationship between Commissioner Shinn and Burns, Curtis Liedkie and Hannah Liedkie.

*En B-51*

- Actions Taken: Formal complaint filed with the Washington State Auditor's Office (SAO Hotline H-25-795); multiple Public Records Act requests regarding Curtis Liedkie and Lisa Webber representing Judge Brooke Burns before the Washington State Supreme Court.
- Escalation: After missed deadlines, I announced intent to file state PRA lawsuits and a federal § 1983 civil rights lawsuit against Judge Burns for retaliatory social media blocking, in addition to the withheld Records of the same nature.

## KEY THEMES & CURRENT STATUS

- Evolution of Claims: From specific ethics complaints to allegations of a racketeering-style conspiracy involving attorneys, law enforcement, elected officials, and Bar administrators.
- Central Conflict: I am a whistleblower facing a unified system designed to protect its own. Oversight bodies (ISB, county governments) are rightfully being accused of being structurally incapable of impartial self-regulation.
- Tactics: Mass transparency, procedural aggression, and evidence bundling are used to force consolidated, high-level review.
- Immediate Impasse (as of Jan 20, 2026): The ISB has not ruled on my's renewed motions for stay, my motion for a mistrial, my renewed motions for consolidation, or my repeated requests for federal referral. I'm offering a conditional refusal to participate which creates a procedural logjam. The next steps depend on whether the ISB addresses its own demonstrable misconduct or proceeds with the scheduled hearings in an attempt to rubber stamp it and move forward.

This unified narrative illustrates my allegations of local corruption escalating into a multi-jurisdictional crisis of confidence in legal and ethical oversight, with the whistleblower now demanding federal intervention to address what I safely characterize as state-level ethical failures masking federal crimes.

Respectfully submitted,  
And seeking transparency in Justice

Corey M. Switzer

**Corey Switzer** <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>

Tue, Jan 20, 2026 at 7:15 PM

To: Jessica Kline <jkline@isb.idaho.gov>, Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>, Lori Ostertag <lostertag@isb.idaho.gov>, Judahl Luke7@gmail.com, Lisa Webber <lwebber@asotincountywa.gov>, hliedkie@co.nezperce.id.us, Trae Turner <trae@clarkandfeeney.com>, jgish@co.nezperce.id.us, dhavens@co.nezperce.id.us, thirteentwelveforever@proton.me, info@southdakotatruth.com, 08mad10@gmail.com, city@lmtribune.com, Civil.Fraud@usdoj.gov, justin rodriguez <jrodspeaks@gmail.com>, idahoauditors@gmail.com, tips@nbcuni.com, tips@inlander.com, news@theregister.com, news@kpbx.org, Newsdesk@krem.com, newsroom@idahopress.com, news@bigcountry977.com, News4@kxly.com, Ada Eldridge <Adaeldridge@hotmail.com>, brennonlleafty@gmail.com, SUEANNSMITH32@YAHOO.COM <sueannsmith32@yahoo.com>, Imccann@house.idaho.gov, nancececcarelli@co.nezperce.id.us, Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us, Monte Schmidt <monte.schmidt@itd.idaho.gov>, jklein@cityoflewiston.org, Brian.Donovan@usdoj.gov, Representative Brandon Mitchell <bmitchell@house.idaho.gov>, bryces@co.nezperce.id.us, ISPIO@isp.idaho.gov, Brooke Burns <bburns@co.asotin.wa.us>, Stephanie Cuddihy <StephanieC@co.nezperce.id.us>, LPD Dispatch <dispatch@cityoflewiston.org>, Jason Kuzik <jkuzik@cityoflewiston.org>, Amy Ledgerwood <AmyLedgerwood@co.nezperce.id.us>, Constituent Mailbox <AGLabrador@ag.idaho.gov>, AGO Ombuds <AGOombuds@atg.wa.gov>, tsparks@clarkstonpolice.org, AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us, Dan Johnson <danjohnson@cityoflewiston.org>, Patty Weeks <PattyWeeks@co.nezperce.id.us>, Brian Shinn <bshinn@asotincountywa.gov>, Mariel Gates <Mariel.Gates@tax.idaho.gov>, Chris Seubert <cseubert@asotincountywa.gov>, Justin Coleman <JustinColeman@co.nezperce.id.us>, Charles Whitman <cwhitman@asotincountywa.gov>, Curt Liedkie <cliedkie@asotincountywa.gov>, Intake <intake@wsba.org>, Amanda Daylong <ADaylong@nwtrialattorneys.com>, apearance@nwtrialattorneys.com, Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>, legal@libertasfunding.com, Investigations Info Requests <InvestigationsInfoRequests@isp.idaho.gov>, dmckay@asotincountywa.gov, rlynch@asotincountywa.gov, Public Disclosure <PDisclosure@asotincountywa.gov>, Stacey Harman <SHarman@asotincountywa.gov>

Subject: Reminder: Record Remains Open for ISB Case No. 25-187a (Trae Turner) Until EOB Today, 5:00pm MST, January 20, 2026

*Exh B-52*



To: Idaho State Bar - Professional Conduct Board  
Attn: Karen Carlisle, Clerk; Hearing Committee 25-187a; Bar Counsel  
Cc: Trae Turner; All Parties of Record

Date: January 20, 2026

Dear Ms. Carlisle and Members of the Hearing Committee,

This email serves as a formal reminder that, pursuant to the notice provided in my email of January 19, 2026, and reiterated on January 20, 2026, the evidentiary record for ISB Case No. 25-187a (In re: Trae D. Turner) remains open for the receipt of supplemental submissions until the close of business today, Tuesday, January 20, 2026, at 5:00 PM Mountain Time.

As previously advised, I have submitted all relevant supplemental materials via email attachment. The record should be considered complete upon the inclusion of these submissions.

Please confirm receipt of this reminder and the closure of the record at the stated deadline.

Should the record be improperly closed prior to this deadline, or should submissions be excluded without due cause, I will have no alternative but to seek immediate relief through a writ of mandamus to the Idaho Supreme Court to enforce this procedural right and ensure a complete record for review.

Respectfully,

Corey M. Switzer  
Complainant, ISB File No. 25-187a  
[coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com) | 218-461-9868  
[Quoted text hidden]

Karen Carlisle <[kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov)>  
To: Corey Switzer <[coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com)>

Wed, Jan 21, 2026 at 10:15 AM

This email was received at 5:16 p.m. and will not be included in the record.

**From:** Corey Switzer <[coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 20, 2026 5:15 PM  
**To:** Jessica Kline <[jkline@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:jkline@isb.idaho.gov)>; Joseph Pirtle <[jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov)>; Lori Ostertag <[lostertag@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:lostertag@isb.idaho.gov)>; Judahl Luke <[judahl7@gmail.com](mailto:judahl7@gmail.com)>; Lisa Webber <[lwebber@asotincountywa.gov](mailto:lwebber@asotincountywa.gov)>; hliedkie@co.nezperce.id.us; Trae Turner <[trae@clarkandfeeney.com](mailto:trae@clarkandfeeney.com)>; jgish@co.nezperce.id.us; dhavens@co.nezperce.id.us; thirteentwelveforever@proton.me; info@southdakotatruth.com; 08mad10@gmail.com; city@lmtribune.com; Civil.Fraud@usdoj.gov; justin rodriguez <[jrodspeaks@gmail.com](mailto:jrodspeaks@gmail.com)>; idahoauditors@gmail.com; tips@nbcuni.com; tips@inlander.com; news@theregister.com; news@kpbx.org; Newsdesk@krem.com; newsroom@idahopress.com; news@bigcountry977.com; News4@kxly.com; Ada Eldridge <[Adaeldridge@hotmail.com](mailto:Adaeldridge@hotmail.com)>; brennonlleafty@gmail.com; SUEANNSMITH32@YAHOO.COM; lmccann@house.idaho.gov; nancececcarelli@co.nezperce.id.us; Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us; Monte Schmidt <[monte.schmidt@itd.idaho.gov](mailto:monte.schmidt@itd.idaho.gov)>; jklein@cityoflewiston.org; Brian.Donovan@usdoj.gov; Representative Brandon Mitchell <[bmitchell@house.idaho.gov](mailto:bmitchell@house.idaho.gov)>; bryces@co.nezperce.id.us; ISPIO@isp.idaho.gov; Brooke Burns <[bburns@co.asotin.wa.us](mailto:bburns@co.asotin.wa.us)>; Stephanie Cuddihy <[StephanieC@co.nezperce.id.us](mailto:StephanieC@co.nezperce.id.us)>; LPD Dispatch <[dispatch@cityoflewiston.org](mailto:dispatch@cityoflewiston.org)>; Jason Kuzik <[jkuzik@cityoflewiston.org](mailto:jkuzik@cityoflewiston.org)>; Amy Ledgerwood <[AmyLedgerwood@co.nezperce.id.us](mailto:AmyLedgerwood@co.nezperce.id.us)>; Constituent Mailbox <[AGLabrador@ag.idaho.gov](mailto:AGLabrador@ag.idaho.gov)>; AGO Ombuds <[AGOombuds@atg.wa.gov](mailto:AGOombuds@atg.wa.gov)>; tsparks@clarkstonpolice.org; AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us; Dan Johnson <[danjohnson@cityoflewiston.org](mailto:danjohnson@cityoflewiston.org)>; Patty Weeks <[PattyWeeks@co.nezperce.id.us](mailto:PattyWeeks@co.nezperce.id.us)>; Brian Shinn <[bshinn@asotincountywa.gov](mailto:bshinn@asotincountywa.gov)>; Mariel Gates <[Mariel.Gates@tax.idaho.gov](mailto:Mariel.Gates@tax.idaho.gov)>; Chris Seubert

EA B-B3



<cseubert@asotincountywa.gov>; Justin Coleman <JustinColeman@co.nezperce.id.us>; Charles Whitman <cwhitman@asotincountywa.gov>; Curt Liedkie <cliedkie@asotincountywa.gov>; Intake <intake@wsba.org>; Amanda Daylong <ADaylong@nwtrialattorneys.com>; apearce@nwtrialattorneys.com; Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>; legal@libertasfunding.com; Investigations Info Requests <InvestigationsInfoRequests@isp.idaho.gov>; dmckay@asotincountywa.gov; rlynch@asotincountywa.gov; Public Disclosure <PDisclosure@asotincountywa.gov>; Stacey Harman <SHarman@asotincountywa.gov>  
**Subject:** Re: January RICO Correspondence, Part 2

**CAUTION: This email originated outside the State of Idaho network. Verify links and attachments BEFORE you click or open, even if you recognize and/or trust the sender. Contact your agency service desk with any concerns.**

[Quoted text hidden]  
[Quoted text hidden]

**Corey Switzer** <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>

Wed, Jan 21, 2026 at 10:28 AM

To: Jessica Kline <jkline@isb.idaho.gov>, Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>, Lori Ostertag <lostertag@isb.idaho.gov>, Judahluke7@gmail.com, Lisa Webber <lwebber@asotincountywa.gov>, hliedkie@co.nezperce.id.us, Trae Turner <trae@clarkandfeeney.com>, jgish@co.nezperce.id.us, dhavens@co.nezperce.id.us, thirteentwelveforever@proton.me, info@southdakotatruth.com, 08mad10@gmail.com, city@lmtribune.com, Civil.Fraud@usdoj.gov, justin rodriguez <jrodspeaks@gmail.com>, idahoauditors@gmail.com, tips@nbcuni.com, tips@inlander.com, news@theregister.com, news@kpbx.org, Newsdesk@krem.com, newsroom@idahopress.com, news@bigcountry977.com, News4@kxly.com, Ada Eldridge <Adaeldridge@hotmail.com>, brennonlleafty@gmail.com, SUEANNSMITH32@YAHOO.COM <sueannsmith32@yahoo.com>, lmccann@house.idaho.gov, nancececcarelli@co.nezperce.id.us, Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us, Monte Schmidt <monte.schmidt@itd.idaho.gov>, jklein@cityoflewiston.org, Brian.Donovan@usdoj.gov, Representative Brandon Mitchell <bmitchell@house.idaho.gov>, bryces@co.nezperce.id.us, ISPPPIO@isp.idaho.gov, Brooke Burns <bburns@co.asotin.wa.us>, Stephanie Cuddihy <StephanieC@co.nezperce.id.us>, LPD Dispatch <dispatch@cityoflewiston.org>, Jason Kuzik <jkuzik@cityoflewiston.org>, Amy Ledgerwood <AmyLedgerwood@co.nezperce.id.us>, Constituent Mailbox <AGLabrador@ag.idaho.gov>, AGO Ombuds <AGOombuds@atg.wa.gov>, tsparks@clarkstonpolice.org, AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us, Dan Johnson <danjohnson@cityoflewiston.org>, Patty Weeks <PattyWeeks@co.nezperce.id.us>, Brian Shinn <bshinn@asotincountywa.gov>, Mariel Gates <Mariel.Gates@tax.idaho.gov>, Chris Seubert <cseubert@asotincountywa.gov>, Justin Coleman <JustinColeman@co.nezperce.id.us>, Charles Whitman <cwhitman@asotincountywa.gov>, Curt Liedkie <cliedkie@asotincountywa.gov>, Intake <intake@wsba.org>, Amanda Daylong <ADaylong@nwtrialattorneys.com>, apearce@nwtrialattorneys.com, Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>, legal@libertasfunding.com, Investigations Info Requests <InvestigationsInfoRequests@isp.idaho.gov>, dmckay@asotincountywa.gov, rlynch@asotincountywa.gov, Public Disclosure <PDisclosure@asotincountywa.gov>, Stacey Harman <SHarman@asotincountywa.gov>

To all respondents,

As demonstrated, Idaho State Supreme Court Chief Justice C Richard Bevins has an intimate working relationship with the ISB and Idaho Community Foundation, chaired by Hannah Liedkie.

Ms. Carlisle, I am in receipt of your email stating that the email sent by me after 5 pm, "End of the Business Day", January 20th, 2026 will NOT be accepted.

There are still at least two unanswered pending motions in excess of six (6) days. I have attached those unanswered motions for you to immediately reference and address.

I have also included the Idaho State Supreme Court filing and ruling regarding the Motion for Disqualification, related exhibits and ruling in which Hon. C. Richard Bevins signs his own Denial of Motion for Disqualification.

ELB-54



This also highlights the internal protection mechanism inherent between the Idaho State Supreme Court and the Idaho State Bar through the association of Hannah Liedkie, Chairperson for the Idaho Community Foundation, related to Curtis Liedkie, Asotin County Prosecutor who has represented Washington State Superior Court Judge Hon. Brooke Burns before the Washington State Supreme Court and is the focus of Washington State Auditor for unlawful expenditures of taxpayer funding.

Respectfully  
Mr. Corey M Switzer  
[Quoted text hidden]

---

## 12 attachments

-  **Exhibit C.jpg**  
106 KB
-  **Gmail - January RICO Correspondence, Part 2.pdf**  
80 KB
-  **Exhibit B (3).pdf**  
90 KB
-  **G0 Case 2\_25-cv-00010-EFS (2).pdf**  
395 KB
-  **Bevins Signed (1).pdf**  
172 KB
-  **Exhibit A (3).pdf**  
2.9 MB
-  **Exhibit D (1).pdf**  
1.1 MB
-  **E Gmail - Troy munstermann statute of limitations (1).pdf**  
714 KB
-  **Gmail - January RICO Correspondence.pdf**  
59 KB
-  **Gmail - January RICO Correspondence (3).pdf**  
75 KB
-  **Gmail - January RICO Correspondence (2).pdf**  
86 KB
-  **Gmail - January RICO Correspondence (1).pdf**  
54 KB

---

**Corey Switzer** <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>

Wed, Jan 21, 2026 at 1:57 PM

To: Jessica Kline <jkline@isb.idaho.gov>, Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>, Lori Ostertag <lostertag@isb.idaho.gov>, Judahluke7@gmail.com, Lisa Webber <lwebber@asotincountywa.gov>, hliedkie@co.nezperce.id.us, Trae Turner <trae@clarkandfeeney.com>, jgish@co.nezperce.id.us, dhavens@co.nezperce.id.us, thirteentwelveforever@proton.me, info@southdakotatruth.com, 08mad10@gmail.com, city@lmtribune.com, Civil.Fraud@usdoj.gov, justin rodriguez <jrodspeaks@gmail.com>, idahoauditors@gmail.com, tips@nbcuni.com, tips@inlander.com, news@theregister.com, news@kpbx.org, Newsdesk@krem.com, newsroom@idahopress.com, news@bigcountry977.com, News4@kxly.com, Ada Eldridge <Adaeldridge@hotmail.com>, brennonlleafy@gmail.com, SUEANNSMITH32@YAHOO.COM <sueannsmith32@yahoo.com>, Imccann@house.idaho.gov, nancececcarelli@co.nezperce.id.us, Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us, Monte Schmidt <monte.schmidt@itd.idaho.gov>, jklein@cityoflewiston.org, Brian.Donovan@usdoj.gov, Representative Brandon Mitchell <bmittchell@house.idaho.gov>, bryces@co.nezperce.id.us, ISPIO@isp.idaho.gov, Brooke Burns <bburns@co.asotin.wa.us>, Stephanie Cuddihy <StephanieC@co.nezperce.id.us>, LPD Dispatch <dispatch@cityoflewiston.org>, Jason Kuzik <jkuzik@cityoflewiston.org>, Amy Ledgerwood <AmyLedgerwood@co.nezperce.id.us>, Constituent Mailbox <AGLabrador@ag.idaho.gov>, AGO Ombuds <AGOombuds@atg.wa.gov>, tsparks@clarkstonpolice.org, AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us, Dan Johnson <danjohnson@cityoflewiston.org>, Patty Weeks <PattyWeeks@co.nezperce.id.us>, Brian Shinn <bshinn@asotincountywa.gov>, Mariel Gates

*Eth B-55*



<Mariel.Gates@tax.idaho.gov>, Chris Seubert <cseubert@asotincountywa.gov>, Justin Coleman <JustinColeman@co.nezperce.id.us>, Charles Whitman <cwhitman@asotincountywa.gov>, Curt Liedkie <cliedkie@asotincountywa.gov>, Intake <intake@wsba.org>, Amanda Daylong <ADaylong@nwtrialattorneys.com>, apearce@nwtrialattorneys.com, Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>, legal@libertasfunding.com, Investigations Info Requests <InvestigationsInfoRequests@isp.idaho.gov>, dmckay@asotincountywa.gov, rlynch@asotincountywa.gov, Public Disclosure <PDisclosure@asotincountywa.gov>, Stacey Harman <SHarman@asotincountywa.gov>, usdemsocialists@gmail.com, objectionoverruled2@gmail.com, whistleblower@startribune.com

Corey M. Switzer  
coreyswitzer@gmail.com | 218-461-9868

## BEFORE THE IDAHO STATE BAR PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT BOARD

In the Matter of: ) Case No. 25-187a (Turner)  
TRAE TURNER, ) Consolidated with:  
Attorney-Respondent. ) Case No. 25-169a (Coleman)  
) Case No. 25-168a (Smith)

EMERGENCY MOTION TO: (1) REOPEN WRITTEN RECORD; (2) COMPEL RULING ON PENDING MOTIONS; (3) STAY PROCEEDINGS; AND (4) CONSOLIDATE CASES FOR IMPARTIAL REVIEW

TO: The Idaho State Bar Professional Conduct Board  
Karen Carlisle, Clerk  
Joseph Pirtle, Bar Counsel  
Hearing Committee Chair Alycia T. Moss (25-169a)  
Hearing Committee Chair David P. Claiborne (25-187a)  
Hearing Committee Chair Carole I. Wesenberg (25-168a)

FROM: Corey M. Switzer, Pro Se Complainant

DATE: January 21, 2026

### I. INTRODUCTION & RELIEF REQUESTED

Complainant Corey M. Switzer files this Emergency Motion in response to a critical procedural impasse and newly evidenced institutional bias that irreparably taint these proceedings. The Idaho State Bar ("ISB") has: (1) improperly refused to accept timely supplemental evidence; (2) failed to rule on multiple pending motions for over six days; (3) demonstrated supervisory misconduct via a Bar Commissioner's instruction to "rush through" a hearing; and (4) is allegedly compromised by relationships between its overseeing Supreme Court and key figures in these matters. Furthermore, newly obtained public records provide documented proof of retaliatory conduct by a state official. Immediate action is required to prevent a denial of justice.

Complainant respectfully moves this Board for the following relief:

1. Reopen and maintain the written record for all three consolidated cases.
2. Issue immediate rulings on all pending motions.
3. Stay all further disciplinary proceedings.
4. Consolidate Cases 25-187a, 25-169a, and 25-168a.
5. Reassign the consolidated matter to a new, independent hearing committee with no ties to the current ISB apparatus or implicated officials.
6. Refer the accumulated evidence of potential federal crimes to the appropriate federal authorities.

### II. FACTUAL & PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

#### A. The Unified Allegations and Escalation to Federal Claims.

As detailed in the Unified Narrative Summary submitted January 20, 2026, these three grievances are inextricably linked parts of a single alleged pattern: retaliation and obstruction by legal officials against a whistleblower reporting corruption. The evidence has evolved to allege a multi-jurisdictional conspiracy involving wire fraud, deprivation of rights under color of law, and racketeering activity, warranting federal investigation.

#### B. Documentary Proof of Retaliatory Censorship.

Exh B-56

On January 21, 2026, Complainant received an official public records response from the Idaho Legislative Services Office (attached). It contains the Facebook message thread between Complainant and Idaho State Representative Brandon Mitchell. In this thread, dated November 5, Complainant informs Rep. Mitchell that blocking him on a political Facebook page for reporting corruption violates the Supreme Court precedent in *Lindke v. Freed*. Rep. Mitchell's response—citing his "social media policy"—confirms the retaliatory censorship that is a central allegation in these proceedings. This is direct, documented evidence of the "pattern of institutional retaliation" that links all three cases.

C. The "Rush Through" Instruction and Motion for Mistrial.

On January 15, 2026, during the hearing for Case No. 25-168a (Smith), a Bar Commissioner was overheard instructing the committee to "rush through this hearing." This directive constitutes undeniable supervisory misconduct, proving prejudgment and a intent to deny a full and fair hearing. A Motion for Mistrial and Immediate Stay was filed that same day (January 15, 2026). No ruling has been issued.

D. Improper Closure of the Record and Refusal of Evidence.

Complainant formally notified the Board that the record for Case No. 25-187a (Turner) would remain open for supplemental submissions until 5:00 PM MST on January 20, 2026. A critical supplemental filing was submitted at approximately 5:16 PM MST. On January 21, 2026, Clerk Karen Carlisle stated, "This email was received at 5:16 p.m. and will not be included in the record." This arbitrary refusal to accept evidence submitted within a publicly stated and reasonable deadline is a blatant procedural violation designed to exclude material evidence.

E. Unreasonable Delay in Ruling on Pending Motions.

As of January 21, 2026, at least two critical motions have been pending without a ruling for over six (6) days, including the Motion for Mistrial and the Motion for Unified Judicial Notice & Consolidated Due Process Review filed January 16, 2026. This delay paralyzes the process and denies Complainant basic procedural rights.

F. Evidence of Systemic Bias and Institutional Conflict.

New evidence suggests a profound conflict within the oversight structure itself. Idaho Supreme Court Chief Justice C. Richard Bevens, who oversees the ISB, has an intimate working relationship with the Idaho Community Foundation, chaired by Hannah Liedkie. Mrs. Liedkie is a central figure in the parallel Washington state allegations and is related to Asotin County Prosecutor Curtis Liedkie, who is implicated in the alleged misuse of public funds. This relationship creates an appearance of impropriety and suggests the state's highest judicial and disciplinary bodies are incapable of impartial review.

### III. ARGUMENT

A. The Proceedings Are Irreparably Tainted by Procedural Misconduct and Bias.

The Clerk's capricious exclusion of evidence, combined with the Bar Commissioner's command to "rush," demonstrates a systemic effort to curtail a fair hearing. These acts validate Complainant's allegations of a corrupted process designed to protect connected officials rather than investigate serious misconduct. Due process cannot survive in such an environment.

B. The Documented Pattern of Retaliation Demands Consolidated Review.

The newly obtained public record is not an isolated incident; it is a documented piece of the retaliatory pattern that forms the core of all three grievances. The ISB's continued fragmentation of these cases ignores this unified factual basis. Hearing them in isolation creates a misleading and incomplete picture, violating principles of fundamental fairness and judicial economy.

C. The Gravity of the Allegations Demands Federal Scrutiny and a Stay.

The evidence now includes: (1) sworn testimony of undisclosed marital conflicts between a prosecutor and respondent; (2) allegations of felony fraud; (3) cross-jurisdictional retaliation; and (4) documented, unconstitutional censorship by a state legislator. These are not mere ethical violations but evidence of potential federal crimes and systemic corruption. The ISB's disciplinary process is ill-equipped to investigate this. Continuing state-level proceedings risks obstructing a federal investigation and sanctions a parody of due process.

### IV. CONCLUSION

The Idaho State Bar stands at a precipice. It can continue down a path of demonstrable procedural unfairness, exclusion of evidence, and disregard for its own rules, thereby eroding all public confidence. Or, it can act decisively to restore integrity by halting the current flawed process, consolidating the matters, and referring the

*Keth B-57*

serious criminal allegations to the proper authorities. The attached public records evidence only reinforces the urgent need for this course correction.

WHEREFORE, Complainant respectfully requests that the Idaho State Bar Professional Conduct Board immediately:

1. GRANT this Emergency Motion in its entirety.
2. ORDER the written record for ISB Cases 25-187a, 25-169a, and 25-168a REOPENED and maintained to include all submissions made prior to and including 5:16 PM MST on January 20, 2026, AND the Public Records Response from the Idaho Legislative Services Office dated January 21, 2026.
3. ISSUE RULINGS within forty-eight (48) hours on all pending motions, including but not limited to the Motion for Mistrial (Jan. 15) and Motion for Consolidated Review (Jan. 16).
4. STAY all further proceedings, including any scheduled hearings, in the above-captioned matters.
5. CONSOLIDATE Cases 25-187a, 25-169a, and 25-168a for a single, thorough review.
6. REASSIGN the consolidated matter to a new Hearing Committee whose members have no prior involvement in these cases and no connection to the Bar Commissioner involved in the "rush through" instruction or the implicated network involving the Idaho Supreme Court and the Idaho Community Foundation.
7. DIRECT the Office of Bar Counsel to REFER the full record, including all evidence of potential federal crimes (wire fraud, conspiracy, deprivation of rights), to the U.S. Department of Justice, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, and the U.S. Attorney's Offices for the Districts of Idaho and Eastern Washington.
8. PROVIDE any other relief the Board deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

Corey M. Switzer, Pro Se Complainant

ATTACHMENTS:

- 1.) Public Records Request Response from Idaho Legislative Services Office (Elizabeth Bowen), dated January 21, 2026, including Facebook message thread with Representative Brandon Mitchell.
- 2.) Correspondence with Carlisle

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 21st day of January, 2026, a true and correct copy of the foregoing EMERGENCY MOTION and its attachment was served upon all parties of record via electronic mail.

Corey M. Switzer

[Quoted text hidden]

2 attachments

 **Gmail - Public records request.pdf**  
2.9 MB

 **Gmail - January RICO Correspondence, Part 2 (1).pdf**  
155 KB

**Karen Carlisle** <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>  
To: Corey Switzer <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>

Wed, Jan 21, 2026 at 2:01 PM

Mr. Switzer:

This is not the proper format to submit a Motion for an appeal case. This email will not be added to the record for the three appeal cases you referred to.

Respectfully,

E+h B-58



**From:** Corey Switzer <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Wednesday, January 21, 2026 11:58 AM

**To:** Jessica Kline <jkline@isb.idaho.gov>; Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>; Lori Ostertag <lostertag@isb.idaho.gov>; Judahluke7@gmail.com; Lisa Webber <lwebber@asotincountywa.gov>; hliedkie@co.nezperce.id.us; Trae Turner <trae@clarkandfeeney.com>; jgish@co.nezperce.id.us; dhavens@co.nezperce.id.us; thirteentwelfeforever@proton.me; info@southdakotatruth.com; 08mad10@gmail.com; city@lmtribune.com; Civil.Fraud@usdoj.gov; justin rodriguez <jrodspeaks@gmail.com>; idahoauditors@gmail.com; tips@nbcuni.com; tips@inlander.com; news@theregister.com; news@kpbx.org; Newsdesk@krem.com; newsroom@idahopress.com; news@bigcountry977.com; News4@kxly.com; Ada Eldridge <Adaeldridge@hotmail.com>; brennonlleafty@gmail.com; SUEANNSMITH32@YAHOO.COM; Imccann@house.idaho.gov; nancececcarelli@co.nezperce.id.us; Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us; Monte Schmidt <monte.schmidt@itd.idaho.gov>; jklein@cityoflewiston.org; Brian.Donovan@usdoj.gov; Representative Brandon Mitchell <bmittchell@house.idaho.gov>; bryces@co.nezperce.id.us; ISPPPIO@isp.idaho.gov; Brooke Burns <bburns@co.asotin.wa.us>; Stephanie Cuddihy <StephanieC@co.nezperce.id.us>; LPD Dispatch <dispatch@cityoflewiston.org>; Jason Kuzik <jkuzik@cityoflewiston.org>; Amy Ledgerwood <AmyLedgerwood@co.nezperce.id.us>; Constituent Mailbox <AGLabrador@ag.idaho.gov>; AGO Ombuds <AGOombuds@atg.wa.gov>; tsparks@clarkstonpolice.org; AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us; Dan Johnson <danjohnson@cityoflewiston.org>; Patty Weeks <PattyWeeks@co.nezperce.id.us>; Brian Shinn <bshinn@asotincountywa.gov>; Mariel Gates <Mariel.Gates@tax.idaho.gov>; Chris Seubert <cseubert@asotincountywa.gov>; Justin Coleman <JustinColeman@co.nezperce.id.us>; Charles Whitman <cwhitman@asotincountywa.gov>; Curt Liedkie <cliedkie@asotincountywa.gov>; Intake <intake@wsba.org>; Amanda Daylong <ADaylong@nwtrialattorneys.com>; apearce@nwtrialattorneys.com; Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>; legal@libertasfunding.com; Investigations Info Requests <InvestigationsInfoRequests@isp.idaho.gov>; dmckay@asotincountywa.gov; rlynch@asotincountywa.gov; Public Disclosure <PDisclosure@asotincountywa.gov>; Stacey Harman <SHarman@asotincountywa.gov>; usdemsocialists@gmail.com; objectionoverruled2@gmail.com; whistleblower@startribune.com

**Subject:** Re: January RICO Correspondence, Part 2

**CAUTION:** This email originated outside the State of Idaho network. Verify links and attachments BEFORE you click or open, even if you recognize and/or trust the sender. Contact your agency service desk with any concerns.

Corey M. Switzer

[Quoted text hidden]

**Corey Switzer** <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>

Wed, Jan 21, 2026 at 2:10 PM

**To:** Jessica Kline <jkline@isb.idaho.gov>; Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>; Lori Ostertag <lostertag@isb.idaho.gov>; Judahluke7@gmail.com; Lisa Webber <lwebber@asotincountywa.gov>; hliedkie@co.nezperce.id.us; Trae Turner <trae@clarkandfeeney.com>; jgish@co.nezperce.id.us; dhavens@co.nezperce.id.us; thirteentwelfeforever@proton.me; info@southdakotatruth.com; 08mad10@gmail.com; city@lmtribune.com; Civil.Fraud@usdoj.gov; justin rodriguez <jrodspeaks@gmail.com>; idahoauditors@gmail.com; tips@nbcuni.com; tips@inlander.com; news@theregister.com; news@kpbx.org; Newsdesk@krem.com; newsroom@idahopress.com; news@bigcountry977.com; News4@kxly.com; Ada Eldridge <Adaeldridge@hotmail.com>; brennonlleafty@gmail.com; SUEANNSMITH32@YAHOO.COM <sueannsmith32@yahoo.com>; Imccann@house.idaho.gov; nancececcarelli@co.nezperce.id.us; Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us; Monte Schmidt <monte.schmidt@itd.idaho.gov>; jklein@cityoflewiston.org; Brian.Donovan@usdoj.gov; Representative Brandon Mitchell <bmittchell@house.idaho.gov>; bryces@co.nezperce.id.us; ISPPPIO@isp.idaho.gov; Brooke Burns <bburns@co.asotin.wa.us>; Stephanie Cuddihy <StephanieC@co.nezperce.id.us>; LPD Dispatch <dispatch@cityoflewiston.org>; Jason Kuzik

Eth B-59



<jkuzik@cityoflewiston.org>, Amy Ledgerwood <AmyLedgerwood@co.nezperce.id.us>, Constituent Mailbox <AGLabrador@ag.idaho.gov>, AGO Ombuds <AGOOmbuds@atg.wa.gov>, tsparks@clarkstonpolice.org, AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us, Dan Johnson <danjohnson@cityoflewiston.org>, Patty Weeks <PattyWeeks@co.nezperce.id.us>, Brian Shinn <bshinn@asotincountywa.gov>, Mariel Gates <Mariel.Gates@tax.idaho.gov>, Chris Seubert <cseubert@asotincountywa.gov>, Justin Coleman <JustinColeman@co.nezperce.id.us>, Charles Whitman <cwhitman@asotincountywa.gov>, Curt Liedkie <cliedkie@asotincountywa.gov>, Intake <intake@wsba.org>, Amanda Daylong <ADaylong@nwtrialattorneys.com>, apearce@nwtrialattorneys.com, Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>, legal@libertasfunding.com, Investigations Info Requests <InvestigationsInfoRequests@isp.idaho.gov>, dmckay@asotincountywa.gov, rlynch@asotincountywa.gov, Public Disclosure <PDisclosure@asotincountywa.gov>, Stacey Harman <SHarman@asotincountywa.gov>, usdemsocialists@gmail.com, objectionoverruled2@gmail.com, whistleblower@startribune.com

Ms. Carlisle,

Here is the signed sworn copy of the previous Emergency Motion and attachments.

Respectfully,  
Mr. Corey M Switzer  
[Quoted text hidden]

---

### 3 attachments

-  **Gmail - January RICO Correspondence, Part 2.pdf**  
405 KB
-  **Gmail - Public records request (1).pdf**  
2.9 MB
-  **Emergency Motion.pdf**  
186 KB

---

**Karen Carlisle** <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>  
To: Corey Switzer <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>

Wed, Jan 21, 2026 at 2:21 PM

Mr. Switzer:

Please submit your motion with any exhibits/attachments you desire to be included with your filing in one single pdf document.

Respectfully,

**From:** Corey Switzer <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Wednesday, January 21, 2026 12:11 PM

**To:** Jessica Kline <jkline@isb.idaho.gov>; Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>; Lori Ostertag <lostertag@isb.idaho.gov>; Judahluke7@gmail.com; Lisa Webber <lwebber@asotincountywa.gov>; hliedkie@co.nezperce.id.us; Trae Turner <trae@clarkandfeeney.com>; jgish@co.nezperce.id.us; dhavens@co.nezperce.id.us; thirteentwelveforever@proton.me; info@southdakotatruth.com; 08mad10@gmail.com; city@lmtribune.com; Civil.Fraud@usdoj.gov; justin rodriguez <jrodspeaks@gmail.com>; idahoauditors@gmail.com; tips@nbcuni.com; tips@inlander.com; news@theregister.com; news@kpbx.org; Newsdesk@krem.com; newsroom@idahopress.com; news@bigcountry977.com; News4@kxly.com; Ada Eldridge <Adaeldridge@hotmail.com>; brennonlleafty@gmail.com; SUEANNSMITH32@YAHOO.COM; Imccann@house.idaho.gov; nancececcarelli@co.nezperce.id.us; Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us; Monte Schmidt <monte.schmidt@itd.idaho.gov>; jklein@cityoflewiston.org; Brian.Donovan@usdoj.gov; Representative Brandon Mitchell <bmittchell@house.idaho.gov>; bryces@co.nezperce.id.us; *Eth B-60*



ISPPIO@isp.idaho.gov; Brooke Burns <bburns@co.asotin.wa.us>; Stephanie Cuddihy <StephanieC@co.nezperce.id.us>; LPD Dispatch <dispatch@cityoflewiston.org>; Jason Kuzik <jkuzik@cityoflewiston.org>; Amy Ledgerwood <AmyLedgerwood@co.nezperce.id.us>; Constituent Mailbox <AGLabrador@ag.idaho.gov>; AGO Ombuds <AGOombuds@atg.wa.gov>; tsparks@clarkstonpolice.org; AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us; Dan Johnson <danjohnson@cityoflewiston.org>; Patty Weeks <PattyWeeks@co.nezperce.id.us>; Brian Shinn <bshinn@asotincountywa.gov>; Mariel Gates <Mariel.Gates@tax.idaho.gov>; Chris Seubert <cseubert@asotincountywa.gov>; Justin Coleman <JustinColeman@co.nezperce.id.us>; Charles Whitman <cwhitman@asotincountywa.gov>; Curt Liedkie <cliedkie@asotincountywa.gov>; Intake <intake@wsba.org>; Amanda Daylong <ADaylong@nwtrialattorneys.com>; apearce@nwtrialattorneys.com; Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>; legal@libertasfunding.com; Investigations Info Requests <InvestigationsInfoRequests@isp.idaho.gov>; dmckay@asotincountywa.gov; rlynch@asotincountywa.gov; Public Disclosure <PDisclosure@asotincountywa.gov>; Stacey Harman <SHarman@asotincountywa.gov>; usdemsocialists@gmail.com; objectionoverruled2@gmail.com; whistleblower@startribune.com  
**Subject:** Re: January RICO Correspondence, Part 2

**CAUTION:** This email originated outside the State of Idaho network. Verify links and attachments BEFORE you click or open, even if you recognize and/or trust the sender. Contact your agency service desk with any concerns.

Ms. Carlisle,

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]

**Corey Switzer** <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>

Wed, Jan 21, 2026 at 2:34 PM

To: Jessica Kline <jkline@isb.idaho.gov>, Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>, Lori Ostertag <lostertag@isb.idaho.gov>, Judahluke7@gmail.com, Lisa Webber <lwebber@asotincountywa.gov>, hlitedkie@co.nezperce.id.us, Trae Turner <trae@clarkandfeeney.com>, jgish@co.nezperce.id.us, dhavens@co.nezperce.id.us, thirteentwelveforever@proton.me, info@southdakotatruth.com, 08mad10@gmail.com, city@lmtribune.com, Civil.Fraud@usdoj.gov, justin rodriguez <jrodspeaks@gmail.com>, idahoauditors@gmail.com, tips@nbcuni.com, tips@inlander.com, news@theregister.com, news@kpbx.org, Newsdesk@krem.com, newsroom@idahopress.com, news@bigcountry977.com, News4@kxly.com, Ada Eldridge <Adaeldridge@hotmail.com>, brennonlleafy@gmail.com, SUEANNSMITH32@YAHOO.COM <sueannsmith32@yahoo.com>, lmccann@house.idaho.gov, nancececcarelli@co.nezperce.id.us, Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us, Monte Schmidt <monte.schmidt@itd.idaho.gov>, jklein@cityoflewiston.org, Brian.Donovan@usdoj.gov, Representative Brandon Mitchell <bmitchell@house.idaho.gov>, bryces@co.nezperce.id.us, ISPPIO@isp.idaho.gov, Brooke Burns <bburns@co.asotin.wa.us>, Stephanie Cuddihy <StephanieC@co.nezperce.id.us>, LPD Dispatch <dispatch@cityoflewiston.org>, Jason Kuzik <jkuzik@cityoflewiston.org>, Amy Ledgerwood <AmyLedgerwood@co.nezperce.id.us>, Constituent Mailbox <AGLabrador@ag.idaho.gov>, AGO Ombuds <AGOombuds@atg.wa.gov>, tsparks@clarkstonpolice.org, AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us, Dan Johnson <danjohnson@cityoflewiston.org>, Patty Weeks <PattyWeeks@co.nezperce.id.us>, Brian Shinn <bshinn@asotincountywa.gov>, Mariel Gates <Mariel.Gates@tax.idaho.gov>, Chris Seubert <cseubert@asotincountywa.gov>, Justin Coleman <JustinColeman@co.nezperce.id.us>, Charles Whitman <cwhitman@asotincountywa.gov>, Curt Liedkie <cliedkie@asotincountywa.gov>, Intake <intake@wsba.org>, Amanda Daylong <ADaylong@nwtrialattorneys.com>, apearce@nwtrialattorneys.com, Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>, legal@libertasfunding.com, Investigations Info Requests <InvestigationsInfoRequests@isp.idaho.gov>, dmckay@asotincountywa.gov, rlynch@asotincountywa.gov, Public Disclosure <PDisclosure@asotincountywa.gov>, Stacey Harman <SHarman@asotincountywa.gov>, usdemsocialists@gmail.com, objectionoverruled2@gmail.com, whistleblower@startribune.com

Ms. Carlisle,

Upon your request, this has been merged into one document for submission and immediate disbursement.

*Eth B-61*



Respectfully,  
Mr. Corey M Switzer  
[Quoted text hidden]

---

2 attachments

 **Emergency Motion\_merged.pdf**  
3.5 MB

 **Gmail - January RICO Correspondence, Part 2 (2).pdf**  
83 KB

---

Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>  
To: Corey Switzer <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>

Wed, Jan 21, 2026 at 2:45 PM

Mr. Switzer:

The Motion cannot be filed as it is. As the cases are not consolidated, you will need to do a separate Motion for each case. Please email for each case separately with no additional attachments please.

Respectfully,

**From:** Corey Switzer <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Wednesday, January 21, 2026 12:34 PM

**To:** Jessica Kline <jkline@isb.idaho.gov>; Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>; Lori Ostertag <lostertag@isb.idaho.gov>; Judahluke7@gmail.com; Lisa Webber <lwebber@asotincountywa.gov>; hliedkie@co.nezperce.id.us; Trae Turner <trae@clarkandfeeney.com>; jgish@co.nezperce.id.us; dhavens@co.nezperce.id.us; thirteentwelveforever@proton.me; info@southdakotatruth.com; 08mad10@gmail.com; city@lmtribune.com; Civil.Fraud@usdoj.gov; justin rodriguez <jrodspeaks@gmail.com>; idahoauditors@gmail.com; tips@nbcuni.com; tips@inlander.com; news@theregister.com; news@kpbx.org; Newsdesk@krem.com; newsroom@idahopress.com; news@bigcountry977.com; News4@kxly.com; Ada Eldridge <Adaeldridge@hotmail.com>; brennonlleafty@gmail.com; SUEANNSMITH32@YAHOO.COM; lmccann@house.idaho.gov; nancececcarelli@co.nezperce.id.us; Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us; Monte Schmidt <monte.schmidt@itd.idaho.gov>; jklein@cityoflewiston.org; Brian.Donovan@usdoj.gov; Representative Brandon Mitchell <bmittchell@house.idaho.gov>; bryces@co.nezperce.id.us; ISPPIO@isp.idaho.gov; Brooke Burns <bburns@co.asotin.wa.us>; Stephanie Cuddihy <StephanieC@co.nezperce.id.us>; LPD Dispatch <dispatch@cityoflewiston.org>; Jason Kuzik <jkuzik@cityoflewiston.org>; Amy Ledgerwood <AmyLedgerwood@co.nezperce.id.us>; Constituent Mailbox <AGLabrador@ag.idaho.gov>; AGO Ombuds <AGOombuds@atg.wa.gov>; tsparks@clarkstonpolice.org; AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us; Dan Johnson <danjohnson@cityoflewiston.org>; Patty Weeks <PattyWeeks@co.nezperce.id.us>; Brian Shinn <bshinn@asotincountywa.gov>; Mariel Gates <Mariel.Gates@tax.idaho.gov>; Chris Seubert <cseubert@asotincountywa.gov>; Justin Coleman <JustinColeman@co.nezperce.id.us>; Charles Whitman <cwhitman@asotincountywa.gov>; Curt Liedkie <cliedkie@asotincountywa.gov>; Intake <intake@wsba.org>; Amanda Daylong <ADaylong@nwtrialattorneys.com>; apearce@nwtrialattorneys.com; Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>; legal@libertasfunding.com; Investigations Info Requests <InvestigationsInfoRequests@isp.idaho.gov>; dmckay@asotincountywa.gov; rlynch@asotincountywa.gov; Public Disclosure <PDisclosure@asotincountywa.gov>; Stacey Harman <SHarman@asotincountywa.gov>; usdemsocialists@gmail.com; objectionoverruled2@gmail.com; whistleblower@startribune.com

**Subject:** Re: January RICO Correspondence, Part 2

*Eth B-62*



**CAUTION:** This email originated outside the State of Idaho network. Verify links and attachments BEFORE you click or open, even if you recognize and/or trust the sender. Contact your agency service desk with any concerns.

Ms. Carlisle,

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]

**Corey Switzer** <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>

Wed, Jan 21, 2026 at 3:26 PM

To: Jessica Kline <jkline@isb.idaho.gov>, Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>, Lori Ostertag <lostertag@isb.idaho.gov>, Judahluke7@gmail.com, Lisa Webber <lwebber@asotincountywa.gov>, hliedkie@co.nezperce.id.us, Trae Turner <trae@clarkandfeeney.com>, jgish@co.nezperce.id.us, dhavens@co.nezperce.id.us, thirteentwelveforever@proton.me, info@southdakotatruth.com, 08mad10@gmail.com, city@lmtribune.com, Civil.Fraud@usdoj.gov, justin rodriguez <jrodspeaks@gmail.com>, idahoauditors@gmail.com, tips@nbcuni.com, tips@inlander.com, news@theregister.com, news@kpbx.org, Newsdesk@krem.com, newsroom@idahopress.com, news@bigcountry977.com, News4@kxly.com, Ada Eldridge <Adaeldridge@hotmail.com>, brennonlleafty@gmail.com, SUEANNSMITH32@YAHOO.COM <sueannsmith32@yahoo.com>, Imccann@house.idaho.gov, nancececcarelli@co.nezperce.id.us, Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us, Monte Schmidt <monte.schmidt@itd.idaho.gov>, jklein@cityoflewiston.org, Brian.Donovan@usdoj.gov, Representative Brandon Mitchell <bmittchell@house.idaho.gov>, bryces@co.nezperce.id.us, ISPIO@isp.idaho.gov, Brooke Burns <bburns@co.asotin.wa.us>, Stephanie Cuddihy <StephanieC@co.nezperce.id.us>, LPD Dispatch <dispatch@cityoflewiston.org>, Jason Kuzik <jkuzik@cityoflewiston.org>, Amy Ledgerwood <AmyLedgerwood@co.nezperce.id.us>, Constituent Mailbox <AGLabrador@ag.idaho.gov>, AGO Ombuds <AGOombuds@atg.wa.gov>, tsparks@clarkstonpolice.org, AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us, Dan Johnson <danjohnson@cityoflewiston.org>, Patty Weeks <PattyWeeks@co.nezperce.id.us>, Brian Shinn <bshinn@asotincountywa.gov>, Mariel Gates <Mariel.Gates@tax.idaho.gov>, Chris Seubert <cseubert@asotincountywa.gov>, Justin Coleman <JustinColeman@co.nezperce.id.us>, Charles Whitman <cwhitman@asotincountywa.gov>, Curt Liedkie <cliedkie@asotincountywa.gov>, Intake <intake@wsba.org>, Amanda Daylong <ADaylong@nwtrialattorneys.com>, apearce@nwtrialattorneys.com, Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>, legal@libertasfunding.com, Investigations Info Requests <InvestigationsInfoRequests@isp.idaho.gov>, dmckay@asotincountywa.gov, rlynch@asotincountywa.gov, Public Disclosure <PDisclosure@asotincountywa.gov>, Stacey Harman <SHarman@asotincountywa.gov>, usdemsocialists@gmail.com, objectionoverruled2@gmail.com, whistleblower@startribune.com

January 21, 2026

Ms. Carlisle,

Per your request, each document has been separated, despite my objections and motions for consolation.

Each document contains attachments to reference.

Additionally, I am supplying the Supplemental Study Guide for the Idaho State Bar to prepare for this emergency Hearing as the Study Guide shall be relevant in all three hearings.

Respectfully,

Mr. Corey M Switzer

[Quoted text hidden]

#### 5 attachments

 **Gmail - January RICO Correspondence, Part 2 (1).pdf**  
83 KB

 **Emergency Motion Coleman\_merged.pdf**  
3.1 MB

Eth B-63



 **Emergency Motion Turner\_merged.pdf**  
3.1 MB

 **Emergency Motion Smith\_merged.pdf**  
3.1 MB

 **LEGAL QUESTION & ANSWER SHEET.pdf**  
73 KB

**Corey Switzer** <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>

Wed, Jan 21, 2026 at 3:37 PM

To: Jessica Kline <jkline@isb.idaho.gov>, Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>, Lori Ostertag <lostertag@isb.idaho.gov>, Judahluke7@gmail.com, Lisa Webber <lwebber@asotincountywa.gov>, hliedkie@co.nezperce.id.us, Trae Turner <trae@clarkandfeeney.com>, jgish@co.nezperce.id.us, dhavens@co.nezperce.id.us, thirteentwelveforever@proton.me, info@southdakotatruth.com, 08mad10@gmail.com, city@lmtribune.com, Civil.Fraud@usdoj.gov, justin rodriguez <jrodspeaks@gmail.com>, idahoauditors@gmail.com, tips@nbcuni.com, tips@inlander.com, news@theregister.com, news@kpbx.org, Newsdesk@krem.com, newsroom@idahopress.com, news@bigcountry977.com, News4@kxly.com, Ada Eldridge <Adaeldridge@hotmail.com>, brennonlleafty@gmail.com, SUEANNSMITH32@YAHOO.COM <sueannsmith32@yahoo.com>, Imccann@house.idaho.gov, nancececcarelli@co.nezperce.id.us, Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us, Monte Schmidt <monte.schmidt@itd.idaho.gov>, jklein@cityoflewiston.org, Brian.Donovan@usdoj.gov, Representative Brandon Mitchell <bmitchell@house.idaho.gov>, bryces@co.nezperce.id.us, ISPPIO@isp.idaho.gov, Brooke Burns <bburns@co.asotin.wa.us>, Stephanie Cuddihy <StephanieC@co.nezperce.id.us>, LPD Dispatch <dispatch@cityoflewiston.org>, Jason Kuzik <jkuzik@cityoflewiston.org>, Amy Ledgerwood <AmyLedgerwood@co.nezperce.id.us>, Constituent Mailbox <AGLabrador@ag.idaho.gov>, AGO Ombuds <AGOombuds@atg.wa.gov>, tsparks@clarkstonpolice.org, AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us, Dan Johnson <danjohnson@cityoflewiston.org>, Patty Weeks <PattyWeeks@co.nezperce.id.us>, Brian Shinn <bshinn@asotincountywa.gov>, Mariel Gates <Mariel.Gates@tax.idaho.gov>, Chris Seubert <cseubert@asotincountywa.gov>, Justin Coleman <JustinColeman@co.nezperce.id.us>, Charles Whitman <cwhitman@asotincountywa.gov>, Curt Liedkie <cliedkie@asotincountywa.gov>, Intake <intake@wsba.org>, Amanda Daylong <ADaylong@nwtrialattorneys.com>, apearce@nwtrialattorneys.com, Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>, legal@libertasfunding.com, Investigations Info Requests <InvestigationsInfoRequests@isp.idaho.gov>, dmckay@asotincountywa.gov, rlynch@asotincountywa.gov, Public Disclosure <PDisclosure@asotincountywa.gov>, Stacey Harman <SHarman@asotincountywa.gov>, usdemsocialists@gmail.com, objectionoverruled2@gmail.com, whistleblower@startribune.com

Ms Carlisle,

It has come to my attention that each filing has the wrong attachments as exhibits.

Here are the corrected filings for the record.

Respectfully,

Mr. Corey M Switzer

[Quoted text hidden]

---

### 3 attachments

 **SMITH\_merged-Corrected.pdf**  
3.5 MB

 **Turner\_merged- Corrected.pdf**  
3.2 MB

 **Coleman\_merged-Corrected.pdf**  
6.3 MB

---

**Karen Carlisle** <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>

To: Corey Switzer <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>

Wed, Jan 21, 2026 at 3:42 PM

Mr. Switzer:

*Eth B-64*



The records for the appeals are closed. No further documents will be added to the Appeal Records. The Idaho Bar Commission Rules which govern the grievance/appeals dictates that pleadings should conform to the Idaho Rules of Civil Procedure.

If you wish to file a Motion or other pleading in the case, you will need to follow the Idaho Rules of Civil Procedure as they pertain to form of pleadings to be filed.

Please submit filings for each case in separate emails. The email should contain only the document you wish to file, with no additional attachments. The document, including any exhibits, you wish to file should be attached as a single document.

Respectfully,

**From:** Corey Switzer <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Wednesday, January 21, 2026 1:27 PM

**To:** Jessica Kline <jkline@isb.idaho.gov>; Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>; Lori Ostertag <lostertag@isb.idaho.gov>; Judahl Luke <judahl7@gmail.com>; Lisa Webber <lwebber@asotincountywa.gov>; hliedkie@co.nezperce.id.us; Trae Turner <trae@clarkandfeeney.com>; jgish@co.nezperce.id.us; dhavens@co.nezperce.id.us; thirteentwelveforever@proton.me; info@southdakotatruth.com; 08mad10@gmail.com; city@lmtribune.com; Civil.Fraud@usdoj.gov; justin rodriguez <jrodspeaks@gmail.com>; idahoauditors@gmail.com; tips@nbcuni.com; tips@inlander.com; news@theregister.com; news@kpbx.org; Newsdesk@krem.com; newsroom@idahopress.com; news@bigcountry977.com; News4@kxly.com; Ada Eldridge <Adaeldridge@hotmail.com>; brennonleaffy@gmail.com; SUEANNSMITH32@YAHOO.COM; lmccann@house.idaho.gov; nancececcarelli@co.nezperce.id.us; Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us; Monte Schmidt <monte.schmidt@itd.idaho.gov>; jklein@cityoflewiston.org; Brian.Donovan@usdoj.gov; Representative Brandon Mitchell <bmmitchell@house.idaho.gov>; bryces@co.nezperce.id.us; ISPPIO@isp.idaho.gov; Brooke Burns <bburns@co.asotin.wa.us>; Stephanie Cuddihy <StephanieC@co.nezperce.id.us>; LPD Dispatch <dispatch@cityoflewiston.org>; Jason Kuzik <jkuzik@cityoflewiston.org>; Amy Ledgerwood <AmyLedgerwood@co.nezperce.id.us>; Constituent Mailbox <AGLabrador@ag.idaho.gov>; AGO Ombuds <AGOombuds@atg.wa.gov>; tsparks@clarkstonpolice.org; AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us; Dan Johnson <danjohnson@cityoflewiston.org>; Patty Weeks <PattyWeeks@co.nezperce.id.us>; Brian Shinn <bshinn@asotincountywa.gov>; Mariel Gates <Mariel.Gates@tax.idaho.gov>; Chris Seubert <cseubert@asotincountywa.gov>; Justin Coleman <JustinColeman@co.nezperce.id.us>; Charles Whitman <cwhitman@asotincountywa.gov>; Curt Liedkie <cliedkie@asotincountywa.gov>; Intake <intake@wsba.org>; Amanda Daylong <ADaylong@nwtrialattorneys.com>; apearce@nwtrialattorneys.com; Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>; legal@libertasfunding.com; Investigations Info Requests <InvestigationsInfoRequests@isp.idaho.gov>; dmckay@asotincountywa.gov; rlynch@asotincountywa.gov; Public Disclosure <PDisclosure@asotincountywa.gov>; Stacey Harman <SHarman@asotincountywa.gov>; usdemsocialists@gmail.com; objectionoverruled2@gmail.com; whistleblower@startribune.com

**Subject:** Re: January RICO Correspondence, Part 2

Eth B-65



**CAUTION:** This email originated outside the State of Idaho network. Verify links and attachments BEFORE you click or open, even if you recognize and/or trust the sender. Contact your agency service desk with any concerns.

January 21, 2026

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]

**Karen Carlisle** <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>  
To: Corey Switzer <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>

Wed, Jan 21, 2026 at 3:46 PM

Mr. Switzer:

This will not be filed, as it does keep each case separate in the email.

**From:** Corey Switzer <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 21, 2026 1:38 PM  
**To:** Jessica Kline <jkline@isb.idaho.gov>; Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>; Lori Ostertag <lostertag@isb.idaho.gov>; Judahluke7@gmail.com; Lisa Webber <lwebber@asotincountywa.gov>; hliedkie@co.nezperce.id.us; Trae Turner <trae@clarkandfeeney.com>; jgish@co.nezperce.id.us; dhavens@co.nezperce.id.us; thirteentwelfeforever@proton.me; info@southdakotatruth.com; 08mad10@gmail.com; city@lmtribune.com; Civil.Fraud@usdoj.gov; justin rodriguez <jrodspeaks@gmail.com>; idahoauditors@gmail.com; tips@nbcuni.com; tips@inlander.com; news@theregister.com; news@kpbx.org; Newsdesk@krem.com; newsroom@idahopress.com; news@bigcountry977.com; News4@kxly.com; Ada Eldridge <Adaeldridge@hotmail.com>; brennonlleafty@gmail.com; SUEANNSMITH32@YAHOO.COM; lmccann@house.idaho.gov; nancececcarelli@co.nezperce.id.us; Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us; Monte Schmidt <monte.schmidt@itd.idaho.gov>; jklein@cityoflewiston.org; Brian.Donovan@usdoj.gov; Representative Brandon Mitchell <bmitchell@house.idaho.gov>; bryces@co.nezperce.id.us; ISPPIO@isp.idaho.gov; Brooke Burns <bburns@co.asotin.wa.us>; Stephanie Cuddihy <StephanieC@co.nezperce.id.us>; LPD Dispatch <dispatch@cityoflewiston.org>; Jason Kuzik <jkuzik@cityoflewiston.org>; Amy Ledgerwood <AmyLedgerwood@co.nezperce.id.us>; Constituent Mailbox <AGLabrador@ag.idaho.gov>; AGO Ombuds <AGOombuds@atg.wa.gov>; tsparks@clarkstonpolice.org; AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us; Dan Johnson <danjohnson@cityoflewiston.org>; Patty Weeks <PattyWeeks@co.nezperce.id.us>; Brian Shinn <bshinn@asotincountywa.gov>; Mariel Gates <Mariel.Gates@tax.idaho.gov>; Chris Seubert <cseubert@asotincountywa.gov>; Justin Coleman <JustinColeman@co.nezperce.id.us>; Charles Whitman <cwhitman@asotincountywa.gov>; Curt Liedkie <cliedkie@asotincountywa.gov>; Intake <intake@wsba.org>; Amanda Daylong <ADaylong@nwtrialattorneys.com>; apearce@nwtrialattorneys.com; Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>; legal@libertasfunding.com; Investigations Info Requests <InvestigationsInfoRequests@isp.idaho.gov>; dmckay@asotincountywa.gov; rlynch@asotincountywa.gov; Public Disclosure <PDisclosure@asotincountywa.gov>; Stacey Harman <SHarman@asotincountywa.gov>; usdemsocialists@gmail.com; objectionoverruled2@gmail.com; whistleblower@startribune.com  
**Subject:** Re: January RICO Correspondence, Part 2

Exh B-66

**CAUTION:** This email originated outside the State of Idaho network. Verify links and attachments BEFORE you click or open, even if you recognize and/or trust the sender. Contact your agency service desk with any concerns.



Ms Carlisle,

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]

**Corey Switzer** <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>

Wed, Jan 21, 2026 at 3:48 PM

To: Jessica Kline <jkline@isb.idaho.gov>, Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>, Lori Ostertag <lostertag@isb.idaho.gov>, Judahluke7@gmail.com, Lisa Webber <lwebber@asotincountywa.gov>, hliedkie@co.nezperce.id.us, Trae Turner <trae@clarkandfeeney.com>, jgish@co.nezperce.id.us, dhavens@co.nezperce.id.us, thirteentwelveforever@proton.me, info@southdakotatruth.com, 08mad10@gmail.com, city@lmtribune.com, Civil.Fraud@usdoj.gov, justin rodriguez <jrodspeaks@gmail.com>, idahoauditors@gmail.com, tips@nbcuni.com, tips@inlander.com, news@theregister.com, news@kpbx.org, Newsdesk@krem.com, newsroom@idahopress.com, news@bigcountry977.com, News4@kxly.com, Ada Eldridge <Adaeldridge@hotmail.com>, brennonlleafty@gmail.com, SUEANNSMITH32@YAHOO.COM <sueannsmith32@yahoo.com>, Imccann@house.idaho.gov, nancececcarelli@co.nezperce.id.us, Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us, Monte Schmidt <monte.schmidt@itd.idaho.gov>, jklein@cityoflewiston.org, Brian.Donovan@usdoj.gov, Representative Brandon Mitchell <bmittchell@house.idaho.gov>, bryces@co.nezperce.id.us, ISPPIO@isp.idaho.gov, Brooke Burns <bburns@co.asotin.wa.us>, Stephanie Cuddihy <StephanieC@co.nezperce.id.us>, LPD Dispatch <dispatch@cityoflewiston.org>, Jason Kuzik <jkuzik@cityoflewiston.org>, Amy Ledgerwood <AmyLedgerwood@co.nezperce.id.us>, Constituent Mailbox <AGLabrador@ag.idaho.gov>, AGO Ombuds <AGOombuds@atg.wa.gov>, tsparks@clarkstonpolice.org, AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us, Dan Johnson <danjohnson@cityoflewiston.org>, Patty Weeks <PattyWeeks@co.nezperce.id.us>, Brian Shinn <bshinn@asotincountywa.gov>, Mariel Gates <Mariel.Gates@tax.idaho.gov>, Chris Seubert <cseubert@asotincountywa.gov>, Justin Coleman <JustinColeman@co.nezperce.id.us>, Charles Whitman <cwhitman@asotincountywa.gov>, Curt Liedkie <cliedkie@asotincountywa.gov>, Intake <intake@wsba.org>, Amanda Daylong <ADaylong@nwtrialattorneys.com>, apearce@nwtrialattorneys.com, Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>, legal@libertasfunding.com, Investigations Info Requests <InvestigationsInfoRequests@isp.idaho.gov>, dmckay@asotincountywa.gov, rlynch@asotincountywa.gov, Public Disclosure <PDisclosure@asotincountywa.gov>, Stacey Harman <SHarman@asotincountywa.gov>, usdemsocialists@gmail.com, objectionoverruled2@gmail.com, whistleblower@startribune.com

**\*\*To:\*\*** Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>

**\*\*Cc:\*\*** Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>; Hearing Committee Chair David P. Claiborne; Trae Turner <trae@clarkandfeeney.com>

**\*\*Subject:\*\*** Motion to Reopen Record and for Emergency Relief - ISB Case No. 25-187a

Dear Ms. Carlisle:

Pursuant to the Idaho Bar Commission Rules and Idaho Rules of Civil Procedure, please find attached the following motion for filing in Case No. 25-187a, \*In re: Trae Turner\*.

**\*\*Document Attached:\*\*** "EMERGENCY MOTION TO REOPEN RECORD AND FOR RELIEF - Case No. 25-187a.pdf"

This motion is submitted separately as a single document per your instructions. No additional attachments are included in this email.

Respectfully,

Corey M. Switzer  
Complainant, Pro Se  
[coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com)  
218-461-9868  
[Quoted text hidden]

2 attachments

 Gmail - January RICO Correspondence, Part 2.pdf  
85 KB

*Eh B-67*



**Corey Switzer** <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>

Wed, Jan 21, 2026 at 3:50 PM

To: Jessica Kline <jkline@isb.idaho.gov>, Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>, Lori Ostertag <lostertag@isb.idaho.gov>, Judahluke7@gmail.com, Lisa Webber <lwebber@asotincountywa.gov>, hliedkie@co.nezperce.id.us, Trae Turner <trae@clarkandfeeney.com>, jgish@co.nezperce.id.us, dhavens@co.nezperce.id.us, thirteentwelveforever@proton.me, info@southdakotatruth.com, 08mad10@gmail.com, city@lmtribune.com, Civil.Fraud@usdoj.gov, justin rodriguez <jrodspeaks@gmail.com>, idahoauditors@gmail.com, tips@nbcuni.com, tips@inlander.com, news@theregister.com, news@kpbx.org, Newsdesk@krem.com, newsroom@idahopress.com, news@bigcountry977.com, News4@kxly.com, Ada Eldridge <Adaeldridge@hotmail.com>, brennonlleafy@gmail.com, SUEANNSMITH32@YAHOO.COM <sueannsmith32@yahoo.com>, lmccann@house.idaho.gov, nancececcarelli@co.nezperce.id.us, Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us, Monte Schmidt <monte.schmidt@itd.idaho.gov>, jklein@cityoflewiston.org, Brian.Donovan@usdoj.gov, Representative Brandon Mitchell <bmmitchell@house.idaho.gov>, bryces@co.nezperce.id.us, ISPIO@isp.idaho.gov, Brooke Burns <bburns@co.asotin.wa.us>, Stephanie Cuddihy <StephanieC@co.nezperce.id.us>, LPD Dispatch <dispatch@cityoflewiston.org>, Jason Kuzik <jkuzik@cityoflewiston.org>, Amy Ledgerwood <AmyLedgerwood@co.nezperce.id.us>, Constituent Mailbox <AGLabrador@ag.idaho.gov>, AGO Ombuds <AGOombuds@atg.wa.gov>, tsparks@clarkstonpolice.org, AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us, Dan Johnson <danjohnson@cityoflewiston.org>, Patty Weeks <PattyWeeks@co.nezperce.id.us>, Brian Shinn <bshinn@asotincountywa.gov>, Mariel Gates <Mariel.Gates@tax.idaho.gov>, Chris Seubert <cseubert@asotincountywa.gov>, Justin Coleman <JustinColeman@co.nezperce.id.us>, Charles Whitman <cwhitman@asotincountywa.gov>, Curt Liedkie <cliedkie@asotincountywa.gov>, Intake <intake@wsba.org>, Amanda Daylong <ADaylong@nwtrialattorneys.com>, apearce@nwtrialattorneys.com, Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>, legal@libertasfunding.com, Investigations Info Requests <InvestigationsInfoRequests@isp.idaho.gov>, dmckay@asotincountywa.gov, rlynch@asotincountywa.gov, Public Disclosure <PDisclosure@asotincountywa.gov>, Stacey Harman <SHarman@asotincountywa.gov>, usdemsocialists@gmail.com, objectionoverruled2@gmail.com, whistleblower@startribune.com

**\*\*To:\*\*** Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>

**\*\*Cc:\*\*** Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>; Hearing Committee Chair Carole I. Wesenberg; April Smith <AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us>

**\*\*Subject:\*\*** Motion to Reopen Record and for Emergency Relief - ISB Case No. 25-168a

Dear Ms. Carlisle:

Pursuant to the Idaho Bar Commission Rules and Idaho Rules of Civil Procedure, please find attached the following motion for filing in Case No. 25-168a, \*In re: April Smith\*.

**\*\*Document Attached:\*\*** "EMERGENCY MOTION TO REOPEN RECORD AND FOR RELIEF - Case No. 25-168a.pdf"

This motion is submitted separately as a single document per your instructions. No additional attachments are included in this email.

Respectfully,

Corey M. Switzer  
Complainant, Pro Se  
[coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com)  
218-461-9868  
[Quoted text hidden]

*Eh B-68*

**Corey Switzer** <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>

Wed, Jan 21, 2026 at 3:50 PM

To: Jessica Kline <jkline@isb.idaho.gov>, Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>, Lori Ostertag <lostertag@isb.idaho.gov>, Judahluke7@gmail.com, Lisa Webber <lwebber@asotincountywa.gov>, hliedkie@co.nezperce.id.us, Trae Turner <trae@clarkandfeeney.com>, jgish@co.nezperce.id.us,



dhavens@co.nezperce.id.us, thirteentwelvebefore@proton.me, info@southdakotatruth.com, 08mad10@gmail.com, city@lmtribune.com, Civil.Fraud@usdoj.gov, justin rodriguez <jrodspeaks@gmail.com>, idahoauditors@gmail.com, tips@nbcuni.com, tips@inlander.com, news@theregister.com, news@kpbx.org, Newsdesk@krem.com, newsroom@idahopress.com, news@bigcountry977.com, News4@kxly.com, Ada Eldridge <Adaeldridge@hotmail.com>, brennonlleafty@gmail.com, SUEANNSMITH32@YAHOO.COM <sueannsmith32@yahoo.com>, Imccann@house.idaho.gov, nancececcarelli@co.nezperce.id.us, Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us, Monte Schmidt <monte.schmidt@itd.idaho.gov>, jklein@cityoflewiston.org, Brian.Donovan@usdoj.gov, Representative Brandon Mitchell <bmitchell@house.idaho.gov>, bryces@co.nezperce.id.us, ISPPIO@isp.idaho.gov, Brooke Burns <bburns@co.asotin.wa.us>, Stephanie Cuddihy <StephanieC@co.nezperce.id.us>, LPD Dispatch <dispatch@cityoflewiston.org>, Jason Kuzik <jkuzik@cityoflewiston.org>, Amy Ledgerwood <AmyLedgerwood@co.nezperce.id.us>, Constituent Mailbox <AGLabrador@ag.idaho.gov>, AGO Ombuds <AGOOmbuds@atg.wa.gov>, tsparks@clarkstonpolice.org, AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us, Dan Johnson <danjohnson@cityoflewiston.org>, Patty Weeks <PattyWeeks@co.nezperce.id.us>, Brian Shinn <bshinn@asotincountywa.gov>, Mariel Gates <Mariel.Gates@tax.idaho.gov>, Chris Seubert <cseubert@asotincountywa.gov>, Justin Coleman <JustinColeman@co.nezperce.id.us>, Charles Whitman <cwhitman@asotincountywa.gov>, Curt Liedkie <cliedkie@asotincountywa.gov>, Intake <intake@wsba.org>, Amanda Daylong <ADaylong@nwtrialattorneys.com>, apearce@nwtrialattorneys.com, Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>, legal@libertasfunding.com, Investigations Info Requests <InvestigationsInfoRequests@isp.idaho.gov>, dmckay@asotincountywa.gov, rlynch@asotincountywa.gov, Public Disclosure <PDisclosure@asotincountywa.gov>, Stacey Harman <SHarman@asotincountywa.gov>, usdemsocialists@gmail.com, objectionoverruled2@gmail.com, whistleblower@startribune.com

**\*\*To:\*\*** Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>

**\*\*Cc:\*\*** Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>; Hearing Committee Chair Alycia T. Moss; Justin Coleman <JustinColeman@co.nezperce.id.us>

**\*\*Subject:\*\*** Motion to Reopen Record and for Emergency Relief - ISB Case No. 25-169a

Dear Ms. Carlisle:

Pursuant to the Idaho Bar Commission Rules and Idaho Rules of Civil Procedure, please find attached the following motion for filing in Case No. 25-169a, \*In re: Justin Coleman\*.

**\*\*Document Attached:\*\*** "EMERGENCY MOTION TO REOPEN RECORD AND FOR RELIEF - Case No. 25-169a.pdf"

This motion is submitted separately as a single document per your instructions. No additional attachments are included in this email.

Respectfully,

Corey M. Switzer  
Complainant, Pro Se  
[coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com)

218-461-9868

[Quoted text hidden]



**Coleman\_merged-Corrected (1).pdf**

6.3 MB

**Karen Carlisle** <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>  
To: Corey Switzer <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>


Wed, Jan 21, 2026 at 3:52 PM

Mr. Switzer:

Your email contains an additional attachment. Please resubmit the email with only the Motion for each case. The subject line should reference which case you wish to file into.

*Eth B-69*





Respectfully,

**From:** Corey Switzer <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Wednesday, January 21, 2026 1:49 PM

**To:** Jessica Kline <jkline@isb.idaho.gov>; Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>; Lori Ostertag <lostertag@isb.idaho.gov>; Judahluke7@gmail.com; Lisa Webber <lwebber@asotincountywa.gov>; hliedkie@co.nezperce.id.us; Trae Turner <trae@clarkandfeeney.com>; jgish@co.nezperce.id.us; dhavens@co.nezperce.id.us; thirteentwelveforever@proton.me; info@southdakotatruth.com; 08mad10@gmail.com; city@lmtribune.com; Civil.Fraud@usdoj.gov; justin rodriguez <jrodspeaks@gmail.com>; idahoauditors@gmail.com; tips@nbcuni.com; tips@inlander.com; news@theregister.com; news@kpbx.org; Newsdesk@krem.com; newsroom@idahopress.com; news@bigcountry977.com; News4@kxly.com; Ada Eldridge <Adaeldridge@hotmail.com>; brennonlleafy@gmail.com; SUEANNSMITH32@YAHOO.COM; Imccann@house.idaho.gov; nancececcarelli@co.nezperce.id.us; Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us; Monte Schmidt <monte.schmidt@itd.idaho.gov>; jklein@cityoflewiston.org; Brian.Donovan@usdoj.gov; Representative Brandon Mitchell <bmittchell@house.idaho.gov>; bryces@co.nezperce.id.us; ISPPIO@isp.idaho.gov; Brooke Burns <bburns@co.asotin.wa.us>; Stephanie Cuddihy <StephanieC@co.nezperce.id.us>; LPD Dispatch <dispatch@cityoflewiston.org>; Jason Kuzik <jkuzik@cityoflewiston.org>; Amy Ledgerwood <AmyLedgerwood@co.nezperce.id.us>; Constituent Mailbox <AGLabrador@ag.idaho.gov>; AGO Ombuds <AGOombuds@atg.wa.gov>; tsparks@clarkstonpolice.org; AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us; Dan Johnson <danjohnson@cityoflewiston.org>; Patty Weeks <PattyWeeks@co.nezperce.id.us>; Brian Shinn <bshinn@asotincountywa.gov>; Mariel Gates <Mariel.Gates@tax.idaho.gov>; Chris Seubert <cseubert@asotincountywa.gov>; Justin Coleman <JustinColeman@co.nezperce.id.us>; Charles Whitman <cwhitman@asotincountywa.gov>; Curt Liedkie <cliedkie@asotincountywa.gov>; Intake <intake@wsba.org>; Amanda Daylong <ADaylong@nwtrialattorneys.com>; apearce@nwtrialattorneys.com; Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>; legal@libertasfunding.com; Investigations Info Requests <InvestigationsInfoRequests@isp.idaho.gov>; dmckay@asotincountywa.gov; rlynch@asotincountywa.gov; Public Disclosure <PDisclosure@asotincountywa.gov>; Stacey Harman <SHarman@asotincountywa.gov>; usdemsocialists@gmail.com; objectionoverruled2@gmail.com; whistleblower@startribune.com

**Subject:** Re: January RICO Correspondence, Part 2

**CAUTION:** This email originated outside the State of Idaho network. Verify links and attachments BEFORE you click or open, even if you recognize and/or trust the sender. Contact your agency service desk with any concerns.

\*\*To:\*\* Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>

[Quoted text hidden]  
[Quoted text hidden]

Eh B-70



Mr. Switzer:

Please revise your pleading for filing, as it appears that several pages are duplicative.

Respectfully,

**From:** Corey Switzer <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Wednesday, January 21, 2026 1:51 PM

**To:** Jessica Kline <jkline@isb.idaho.gov>; Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>; Lori Ostertag <lostertag@isb.idaho.gov>; Judahluke7@gmail.com; Lisa Webber <lwebber@asotincountywa.gov>; hliedkie@co.nezperce.id.us; Trae Turner <trae@clarkandfeeney.com>; jgish@co.nezperce.id.us; dhavens@co.nezperce.id.us; thirteentwelveforever@proton.me; info@southdakotatruth.com; 08mad10@gmail.com; city@lmtribune.com; Civil.Fraud@usdoj.gov; justin rodriguez <jrodspeaks@gmail.com>; idahoauditors@gmail.com; tips@nbcuni.com; tips@inlander.com; news@theregister.com; news@kpbx.org; Newsdesk@krem.com; newsroom@idahopress.com; news@bigcountry977.com; News4@kxly.com; Ada Eldridge <Adaeldridge@hotmail.com>; brennonlleafy@gmail.com; SUEANNSMITH32@YAHOO.COM; Imccann@house.idaho.gov; nancececcarelli@co.nezperce.id.us; Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us; Monte Schmidt <monte.schmidt@itd.idaho.gov>; jklein@cityoflewiston.org; Brian.Donovan@usdoj.gov; Representative Brandon Mitchell <bmitchell@house.idaho.gov>; bryces@co.nezperce.id.us; ISPPIO@isp.idaho.gov; Brooke Burns <bburns@co.asotin.wa.us>; Stephanie Cuddihy <StephanieC@co.nezperce.id.us>; LPD Dispatch <dispatch@cityoflewiston.org>; Jason Kuzik <jkuzik@cityoflewiston.org>; Amy Ledgerwood <AmyLedgerwood@co.nezperce.id.us>; Constituent Mailbox <AGLabrador@ag.idaho.gov>; AGO Ombuds <AGOombuds@atg.wa.gov>; tsparks@clarkstonpolice.org; AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us; Dan Johnson <danjohnson@cityoflewiston.org>; Patty Weeks <PattyWeeks@co.nezperce.id.us>; Brian Shinn <bshinn@asotincountywa.gov>; Mariel Gates <Mariel.Gates@tax.idaho.gov>; Chris Seubert <cseubert@asotincountywa.gov>; Justin Coleman <JustinColeman@co.nezperce.id.us>; Charles Whitman <cwhitman@asotincountywa.gov>; Curt Liedkie <cliedkie@asotincountywa.gov>; Intake <intake@wsba.org>; Amanda Daylong <ADaylong@nwtrialattorneys.com>; apearce@nwtrialattorneys.com; Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>; legal@libertasfunding.com; Investigations Info Requests <InvestigationsInfoRequests@isp.idaho.gov>; dmckay@asotincountywa.gov; rlynch@asotincountywa.gov; Public Disclosure <PDisclosure@asotincountywa.gov>; Stacey Harman <SHarman@asotincountywa.gov>; usdemsocialists@gmail.com; objectionoverruled2@gmail.com; whistleblower@startribune.com  
**Subject:** Re: January RICO Correspondence, Part 2

**CAUTION:** This email originated outside the State of Idaho network. Verify links and attachments BEFORE you click or open, even if you recognize and/or trust the sender. Contact your agency service desk with any concerns.

**\*\*To:\*\*** Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>

[Quoted text hidden]  
[Quoted text hidden]

Eth B-71



**Corey Switzer** <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>

Wed, Jan 21, 2026 at 4:00 PM

To: Jessica Kline <jkline@isb.idaho.gov>, Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>, Lori Ostertag <lostertag@isb.idaho.gov>, Judahluke7@gmail.com, Lisa Webber <lwebber@asotincountywa.gov>, hliedkie@co.nezperce.id.us, Trae Turner <trae@clarkandfeeney.com>, jgish@co.nezperce.id.us, dhavens@co.nezperce.id.us, thirteentwelveforever@proton.me, info@southdakotatruth.com, 08mad10@gmail.com, city@lmtribune.com, Civil.Fraud@usdoj.gov, justin rodriguez <jrodspeaks@gmail.com>, idahoauditors@gmail.com, tips@nbcuni.com, tips@inlander.com, news@theregister.com, news@kpbx.org, Newsdesk@krem.com, newsroom@idahopress.com, news@bigcountry977.com, News4@kxly.com, Ada Eldridge <Adaeldridge@hotmail.com>, brennonlleafty@gmail.com, SUEANNSMITH32@YAHOO.COM <sueannsmith32@yahoo.com>, Imccann@house.idaho.gov, nancececcarelli@co.nezperce.id.us, Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us, Monte Schmidt <monte.schmidt@itd.idaho.gov>, jklein@cityoflewiston.org, Brian.Donovan@usdoj.gov, Representative Brandon Mitchell <bmittchell@house.idaho.gov>, bryces@co.nezperce.id.us, ISPPPIO@isp.idaho.gov, Brooke Burns <bburns@co.asotin.wa.us>, Stephanie Cuddihy <StephanieC@co.nezperce.id.us>, LPD Dispatch <dispatch@cityoflewiston.org>, Jason Kuzik <jkuzik@cityoflewiston.org>, Amy Ledgerwood <AmyLedgerwood@co.nezperce.id.us>, Constituent Mailbox <AGLabrador@ag.idaho.gov>, AGO Ombuds <AGOombuds@atg.wa.gov>, tsparks@clarkstonpolice.org, AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us, Dan Johnson <danjohnson@cityoflewiston.org>, Patty Weeks <PattyWeeks@co.nezperce.id.us>, Brian Shinn <bshinn@asotincountywa.gov>, Mariel Gates <Mariel.Gates@tax.idaho.gov>, Chris Seubert <cseubert@asotincountywa.gov>, Justin Coleman <JustinColeman@co.nezperce.id.us>, Charles Whitman <cwhitman@asotincountywa.gov>, Curt Liedkie <cliedkie@asotincountywa.gov>, Intake <intake@wsba.org>, Amanda Daylong <ADaylong@nwtrialattorneys.com>, apearce@nwtrialattorneys.com, Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>, legal@libertasfunding.com, Investigations Info Requests <InvestigationsInfoRequests@isp.idaho.gov>, dmckay@asotincountywa.gov, rlynch@asotincountywa.gov, Public Disclosure <PDisclosure@asotincountywa.gov>, Stacey Harman <SHarman@asotincountywa.gov>, usdemsocialists@gmail.com, objectionoverruled2@gmail.com, whistleblower@startribune.com

Subject: Formal Request for Procedural Guidance & Argument Against Forced Duplicative Filings – Cases 25-168a, 25-169a, 25-187a

To: Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>

Cc: Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>, Hearing Committee Chairs, Bar Counsel

Date: January 21, 2026

Dear Ms. Carlisle,

I am writing in response to your instructions requiring separate motions for each of the above-referenced cases, with each filing to contain only a single PDF document. I have made good-faith efforts to comply with these formatting requirements.

However, a significant procedural and substantive issue has arisen that I must bring to the Board's attention, as it affects the integrity and efficiency of these proceedings.

The Core Issue: Inextricably Interlinked Facts & Evidence

The three cases (25-168a, 25-169a, 25-187a) are not isolated incidents. They arise from a single, unified course of conduct—a reported pattern of retaliation and obstruction against a whistleblower. The Idaho State Bar's own records now contain evidence (such as the Bar Commissioner's "rush through" instruction on January 15, 2026) that demonstrates these matters are administratively and factually connected.

Consequently, the central evidence—including the public records response from the Idaho Legislative Services Office, sworn witness declarations, and documentation of alleged systemic bias—is identical and relevant to all three cases. Forcing the submission of separate motions per case does not create three distinct records; it creates three copies of the same essential record, with only the respondent's name and a handful of tailored paragraphs changed.

The Practical and Due Process Concerns of Forced Duplication

1. Judicial Economy: This requirement generates unnecessary volume, complicating the Board's review rather than streamlining it.
2. Risk of Inconsistent Records: If motions or evidence are filed separately but not identically, it could create procedural confusion or an incomplete record in one or more cases.

FLB 1/27

3. Substance Over Form: The Idaho Rules of Civil Procedure and the Idaho Bar Commission Rules prioritize fair and efficient adjudication. Requiring hundreds of pages of identical exhibits to be filed in triplicate elevates form over substance and places a undue burden on a pro se complainant.
4. Prejudice: The core of my pending motions is that these cases must be consolidated to be fairly evaluated. Being forced to argue for consolidation within three separate, duplicative filings is a procedural contradiction that undermines the argument itself.

#### Respectful Request for Guidance or Exception

I have complied with the formatting instructions for three separate motions. However, I respectfully request that the Board or the Clerk's Office:

1. Formally Acknowledge the Duplication: Recognize that the record in these three cases is substantially unified, and that future filings will inherently contain significant overlap.
2. Grant an Exception or Provide Alternate Instructions: Allow for a single set of core exhibits to be referenced across all three case files, or provide clear guidance on how to avoid prejudicial duplication while technically complying with the "separate case" rule.
3. Prioritize Ruling on the Consolidation Motions: The most logical solution to this procedural impasse is to rule on the pending Motion for Consolidated Due Process Review. If the cases are consolidated, the issue of duplicative filings becomes moot.

I am prepared to comply with all valid procedural rules. My goal is to ensure a complete and fair record for the Board's review. I believe addressing this duplication issue is a necessary step toward that end.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Respectfully,

Corey M. Switzer  
Complainant, Pro Se  
coreyswitzer@gmail.com | 218-461-9868

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**Corey Switzer** <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>

Wed, Jan 21, 2026 at 4:18 PM

To: Jessica Kline <jkline@isb.idaho.gov>, Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>, Lori Ostertag <lostertag@isb.idaho.gov>, Judahluke7@gmail.com, Lisa Webber <lwebber@asotincountywa.gov>, hliedkie@co.nezperce.id.us, Trae Turner <trae@clarkandfeeney.com>, jgish@co.nezperce.id.us, dhavens@co.nezperce.id.us, thirteentwelveforever@proton.me, info@southdakotatruth.com, 08mad10@gmail.com, city@lmtribune.com, Civil.Fraud@usdoj.gov, justin rodriguez <jrodspeaks@gmail.com>, idahoauditors@gmail.com, tips@nbcuni.com, tips@inlander.com, news@theregister.com, news@kpbx.org, Newsdesk@krem.com, newsroom@idahopress.com, news@bigcountry977.com, News4@kxly.com, Ada Eldridge <Adaeldridge@hotmail.com>, brennonlleafty@gmail.com, SUEANNSMITH32@YAHOO.COM <sueannsmith32@yahoo.com>, Imccann@house.idaho.gov, nancececcarelli@co.nezperce.id.us, Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us, Monte Schmidt <monte.schmidt@itd.idaho.gov>, jklein@cityoflewiston.org, Brian.Donovan@usdoj.gov, Representative Brandon Mitchell <bmmitchell@house.idaho.gov>, bryces@co.nezperce.id.us, ISPPPIO@isp.idaho.gov, Brooke Burns <bburns@co.asotin.wa.us>, Stephanie Cuddihy <StephanieC@co.nezperce.id.us>, LPD Dispatch <dispatch@cityoflewiston.org>, Jason Kuzik <jkuzik@cityoflewiston.org>, Amy Ledgerwood <AmyLedgerwood@co.nezperce.id.us>, Constituent Mailbox <AGLabrador@ag.idaho.gov>, AGO Ombuds <AGOombuds@atg.wa.gov>, tsparks@clarkstonpolice.org, AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us, Dan Johnson <danjohnson@cityoflewiston.org>, Patty Weeks <PattyWeeks@co.nezperce.id.us>, Brian Shinn <bshinn@asotincountywa.gov>, Mariel Gates <Mariel.Gates@tax.idaho.gov>, Chris Seubert <cseubert@asotincountywa.gov>, Justin Coleman <JustinColeman@co.nezperce.id.us>, Charles Whitman <cwhitman@asotincountywa.gov>, Curt Liedkie <cliedkie@asotincountywa.gov>, Intake <intake@wsba.org>, Amanda Daylong <ADaylong@nwtrialattorneys.com>, apearce@nwtrialattorneys.com, Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>, legal@libertasfunding.com, Investigations Info Requests <InvestigationsInfoRequests@isp.idaho.gov>, dmckay@asotincountywa.gov, rlynch@asotincountywa.gov, Public Disclosure <PDisclosure@asotincountywa.gov>, Stacey Harman <SHarman@asotincountywa.gov>, usdemsocialists@gmail.com, objectionoverruled2@gmail.com, whistleblower@startribune.com

To everyone else listed,  
Keep these documents for the records.

*Eth B-73*



# SUPPLEMENTAL SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF GRIEVANCE AGAINST CAROLE I. WESENBERG

---

## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

---

Petition for Grievance Against Attorney Jane Doe, I.B.C.R. 508(a).

JOHN DOE (2026-05), Petitioner,

v.

JANE DOE, Attorney, Respondent.

## SUPPLEMENTAL SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF GRIEVANCE

Supreme Court Docket No. 53669-2026

Idaho State Bar No. 26-026C

---

**Submitted to:** Senior Judge Juneal C. Kerrick Canyon County Courthouse 1115 Albany  
Caldwell, Idaho 83605 [jkerrick@idcourts.net](mailto:jkerrick@idcourts.net)

**Submitted by:** John Doe (2026-05), Petitioner Pro Se [Address on file with Court] [Email on  
file with Court] [Phone on file with Court]

**Submitted on:** February 23rd, 2026

---

Ex C-1



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Section | Description                                                                                                  | Page |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| I.      | INTRODUCTION                                                                                                 | 1    |
| II.     | PROCEDURAL<br>BACKGROUND                                                                                     | 2    |
| III.    | FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS<br>AGAINST RESPONDENT<br>WESENBERG                                                       | 3    |
|         | A. The January 15, 2026<br>Hearing and Ex Parte<br>Communication                                             | 3    |
|         | B. The Pending Grievance<br>Against Respondent<br>Wesenberg                                                  | 4    |
|         | C. The "Rush to Judgment" –<br>February 6, 2026 Dismissal                                                    | 5    |
| IV.     | LEGAL ARGUMENT                                                                                               | 7    |
|         | A. Respondent Wesenberg<br>Violated IRPC 8.4(d) –<br>Conduct Prejudicial to the<br>Administration of Justice | 7    |
|         | B. Respondent Wesenberg<br>Operated Under an Actual<br>Conflict of Interest Requiring<br>Recusal             | 8    |
|         | C. The Timing of the<br>February 6, 2026 Dismissal<br>Demonstrates Bad Faith                                 | 10   |
| V.      | EXHIBIT LIST                                                                                                 | 12   |

Exh C-2

| Section | Description                       | Page |
|---------|-----------------------------------|------|
| VI.     | CONCLUSION AND REQUESTED FINDINGS | 13   |
| VII.    | CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE            | 14   |

## I. INTRODUCTION

1. Petitioner Corey M. Switzer, proceeding pro se and designated by this Court as John Doe (2026-05), respectfully submits this Supplement in Support of his Grievance against Respondent Carole I. Wesenberg (designated as Jane Doe), filed with this Court on January 28, 2026, and referred to the undersigned Hearing Officer for investigation and report pursuant to Idaho Bar Commission Rule 508(a).
2. This Supplement is submitted in accordance with the Scheduling Letter issued by Hearing Officer Juneal C. Kerrick on February 18, 2026, and is intended to provide additional statements, arguments, documentation, and support for Petitioner's claim that Respondent Wesenberg violated particular rules of the Idaho Rules of Professional Conduct in her capacity as Hearing Committee Chair in Idaho State Bar Case No. 25-168a (In re: April Smith).
3. The evidence submitted herewith demonstrates that Respondent Wesenberg:
  - a. Engaged in improper ex parte communication with a Community Advocate prior to the January 15, 2026 hearing;
  - b. Presided over and issued a final decision in ISB Case No. 25-168a while she herself was the subject of a pending, formal grievance filed by Petitioner (ISB No. 26-026C), which had been referred to and sealed by this Court;
  - c. Signed a final dismissal order on February 6, 2026—approximately two days after the Idaho State Bar was formally served with notice of Petitioner's filings in this Court, including newly discovered evidence of a \$554,000 embezzlement indictment that judicially confirmed Petitioner's core allegations; and
  - d. Participated in denying Petitioner's motions for mistrial, stay, and consolidation without addressing the substantial evidence of systemic bias and procedural irregularities.

Exh C-3

4. Collectively, this conduct violates Idaho Rules of Professional Conduct 8.4(d) (conduct prejudicial to the administration of justice) and demonstrates an actual conflict of interest that rendered the February 6, 2026 dismissal constitutionally infirm.
- 

## II. PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

5. On or about May 9, 2025, Petitioner filed a grievance against Respondent April Smith with the Idaho State Bar, which was assigned ISB Case No. 25-168a.
6. Following initial boilerplate dismissal and appeal, a hearing was scheduled before a Hearing Committee chaired by Respondent Carole I. Wesenberg.
7. On January 15, 2026, the hearing in ISB Case No. 25-168a was conducted via videoconference.
8. On January 21, 2026, Petitioner filed a formal grievance against Respondent Wesenberg with the Idaho State Bar, alleging misconduct in her role as Hearing Committee Chair. See Exhibit B (Wesenberg Grievance).
9. On January 27, 2026, the Idaho State Bar transmitted that grievance to this Court pursuant to Idaho Bar Commission Rule 508(a). See Exhibit C (ISB Notice to Court).
10. On January 29, 2026, this Court issued an Order to Retain as Confidential and Amend Title, sealing the matter and establishing Supreme Court Docket No. 53669-2026. See Exhibit D (Sealing Order).
11. On February 3, 2026, the Lewiston Tribune reported that Di Ann R. Geagley, bookkeeper for Travelland RV, had been criminally charged with Grand Theft for embezzling \$554,000 between 2021 and 2025. See Exhibit E (Lewiston Tribune Article).
12. On February 4, 2026, Petitioner filed a Supplemental Notice and Emergency Stay with this Court and served the same on the Idaho State Bar, including the newly discovered embezzlement indictment.
13. On February 6, 2026, at approximately 4:00 PM, Respondent Wesenberg signed a final dismissal order in ISB Case No. 25-168a. See Exhibit F (Smith Dismissal Order).

Exh C-4

14. On February 9, 2026, the Appeal Committee issued a final dismissal in ISB Case No. 25-187a (Turner). See Exhibit G (Turner Dismissal Order).
  15. On February 13, 2026, this Court issued orders denying Petitioner's emergency motions. See Exhibit H (February 13 Orders).
  16. On February 17, 2026, this Court entered an Order appointing Senior Judge Juneal C. Kerrick to complete an investigation and report facts and recommendations. See Exhibit I (Kerrick Appointment Order).
- 

### III. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS AGAINST RESPONDENT WESENBERG

#### A. The January 15, 2026 Hearing and Ex Parte Communication

17. On January 15, 2026, Petitioner participated in a hearing before the Hearing Committee in ISB Case No. 25-168a, chaired by Respondent Wesenberg.
18. During that hearing, Respondent Wesenberg acknowledged that she, along with Clerk Karen Carlisle, had conducted a closed-door meeting with a Community Advocate via Zoom prior to the hearing. See Exhibit A (Video Recording at 9:55 timestamp).
19. This meeting was conducted without notice to Petitioner and without Petitioner's presence or participation.
20. Such ex parte communication between an adjudicator and a third party, under the supervision of Clerk Karen Carlisle, outside the presence of a party to the proceeding, violates fundamental principles of procedural fairness and the prohibition against ex parte communications in adjudicative proceedings.
21. Also during the January 15, 2026 hearing, a Bar Commissioner—the supervisory authority over these proceedings—was overheard instructing a member of the Hearing Committee to "rush through this hearing." See Exhibit A (Video Recording); Exhibit J (Motion for Mistrial).
22. This directive, issued in the presence of Respondent Wesenberg, while in dispute of the substance of that dialogue, created an indelible appearance of bias and undue influence over the Committee's deliberative independence.



23. Petitioner immediately filed a Motion for Mistrial and Immediate Stay on January 15, 2026, which Respondent Wesenberg and the Committee never properly addressed. See Exhibit J.

#### B. The Pending Grievance Against Respondent Wesenberg

24. On January 21, 2026, Petitioner filed a formal grievance against Respondent Wesenberg and Clerk Carlisle with the Idaho State Bar. See Exhibit B.
25. The grievance alleged, inter alia, that Respondent Wesenberg had engaged in ex parte communication, prejudged pending motions, and participated in denying Petitioner's motions for mistrial, stay, and consolidation despite the appearance of bias.
26. On January 27, 2026, the Idaho State Bar acknowledged receipt of that grievance and, because Respondent Wesenberg is a lawyer member of the Professional Conduct Board, referred it directly to this Court pursuant to Idaho Bar Commission Rule 508(a). See Exhibit C.
27. On January 29, 2026, this Court issued an Order sealing the Wesenberg grievance and establishing Supreme Court Docket No. 53669-2026. See Exhibit D.
28. Critically, at the time Respondent Wesenberg chaired the Hearing Committee and issued the February 6, 2026 dismissal order in ISB Case No. 25-168a, she was actively under a formal grievance filed by the same Petitioner—a grievance that the Idaho State Bar itself deemed serious enough to warrant direct referral to this Court.
29. This created an actual, not merely apparent, conflict of interest. A decision-maker cannot preside over matters involving an individual who has formally accused her of misconduct, particularly where that accusation is pending before the highest court of the state.

#### C. The "Rush to Judgment" – February 6, 2026 Dismissal

30. On February 3, 2026, the Lewiston Tribune published an article reporting that Di Ann R. Geagley had been criminally charged with embezzling \$554,000 from Travelland RV between 2021 and 2025, with \$233,000 funneled to online gambling debts. See Exhibit E.
31. This criminal indictment judicially confirmed the accuracy of Petitioner's core allegations—allegations that Respondents in the underlying bar proceedings had repeatedly characterized as "delusional" and lacking "any evidence."

*Exh C-6*

32. On February 4, 2026, Petitioner filed a Supplemental Notice and Emergency Stay with this Court, attaching the embezzlement indictment as newly discovered evidence, and served the same on the Idaho State Bar and all hearing committees. See Exhibit K (Supplemental Notice).
  33. On February 6, 2026, at approximately 8:00 AM, Petitioner emailed the Idaho State Bar requesting confirmation of receipt and noting the pending Supreme Court filings. See Exhibit L (Email Chain).
  34. On February 6, 2026, at approximately 4:00 PM—roughly eight hours after notice of Petitioner's Supreme Court filings—Respondent Wesenberg signed a final dismissal order in ISB Case No. 25-168a. See Exhibit F.
  35. The February 6, 2026 dismissal order makes no mention of:
    - a. The \$554,000 embezzlement indictment; b. Petitioner's pending grievance against Respondent Wesenberg; c. The ex parte communication on January 15, 2026; d. The Bar Commissioner's "rush through" instruction; e. Petitioner's Motion for Mistrial and Immediate Stay.
  36. On February 9, 2026, the Appeal Committee issued a final dismissal in ISB Case No. 25-187a (Turner), also without any reference to the embezzlement indictment, despite Petitioner having served it on all parties six days earlier. See Exhibit G.
  37. Both dismissals were issued while Petitioner's Emergency Stay motion was pending before this Court—a motion specifically requesting that all bar proceedings be stayed pending review.
  38. The timing of these dismissals, issued within hours and days of Petitioner's Supreme Court filings, and without any acknowledgment of dispositive newly discovered evidence, demonstrates a coordinated effort to preempt this Court's jurisdiction and create a fait accompli.
-

## IV. LEGAL ARGUMENT

### A. Respondent Wesenberg Violated IRPC 8.4(d) – Conduct Prejudicial to the Administration of Justice

39. Idaho Rule of Professional Conduct 8.4(d) provides that it is professional misconduct for a lawyer to "engage in conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice."
40. "Conduct prejudicial to the administration of justice" includes actions that undermine the integrity of judicial or quasi-judicial proceedings, that demonstrate bias or lack of impartiality, or that otherwise erode public confidence in the fairness of legal processes.
41. Respondent Wesenberg's participation in ex parte communication with a Community Advocate on January 15, 2026, outside the presence of Petitioner, constitutes conduct prejudicial to the administration of justice.
42. Ex parte communications between an adjudicator and a third party concerning a pending matter deprive the opposing party of the opportunity to be heard and create an appearance of partiality that undermines public confidence in the tribunal.
43. As the Idaho Supreme Court has recognized, "The preservation of public confidence in the judicial system requires that judges avoid even the appearance of partiality." *State v. Lankford*, 162 Idaho 477, 489 (2017).
44. The same principle applies to lawyer members of the Professional Conduct Board serving in adjudicative capacities.
45. By engaging in secret, off-record communications with a third party about the proceeding over which she presided, Respondent Wesenberg violated this fundamental tenet and brought the disciplinary process into disrepute.

### B. Respondent Wesenberg Operated Under an Actual Conflict of Interest Requiring Recusal

46. Due process requires an impartial tribunal. *Withrow v. Larkin*, 421 U.S. 35, 46 (1975); ID CONST. ART. I, § 13.
47. A decision-maker must be free from personal interest in the outcome. A pending grievance filed by a party against the adjudicator creates an actual conflict that mandates recusal.

En C-8

48. Here, Respondent Wesenberg chaired the Hearing Committee deciding Petitioner's grievance against April Smith while she herself was under a pending, formal grievance filed by the same Petitioner—a grievance that the Idaho State Bar had referred directly to this Court pursuant to Rule 508(a).
49. This Court's January 29, 2026 Order confirms that this Court was actively seized of that grievance at the exact moment Respondent Wesenberg issued the February 6, 2026 dismissal.
50. This is not a situation requiring speculation about bias. It is a documented fact that the grievance against Wesenberg was deemed serious enough to warrant direct Supreme Court review, yet Wesenberg proceeded to adjudicate the same grievant's other case.
51. For Wesenberg to then sit in judgment of the individual who accused her is a textbook violation of procedural fairness that renders the Committee's decision constitutionally infirm and void ab initio.
52. The Idaho Rules of Professional Conduct, through Rule 1.7 (Conflict of Interest: Current Clients), and the Idaho Code of Judicial Conduct, through Rule 2.11 (Disqualification), reinforce this fundamental principle.
53. While Rule 1.7 governs client representation, its core principle—that a lawyer must avoid representing a client if there is a significant risk that the lawyer's personal interests will materially limit the representation—applies with equal force to adjudicative roles.
54. Respondent Wesenberg's personal interest in defending herself against Petitioner's grievance created a significant risk that she could not impartially adjudicate Petitioner's other matters. Her failure to recuse was therefore a violation of the ethical duties owed by all lawyers serving in adjudicative capacities.

#### C. The Timing of the February 6, 2026 Dismissal Demonstrates Bad Faith

55. The proximity between Petitioner's Supreme Court filings (February 4, 2026) and Respondent Wesenberg's dismissal order (February 6, 2026)—filed approximately eight hours after the Bar confirmed receipt of notice—creates an inescapable inference of bad faith and an intent to preempt judicial review.
56. The dismissal order's complete silence regarding:

Exh C-9



a. The \$554,000 embezzlement indictment; b. Petitioner's pending grievance against Wesenberg; c. The Bar Commissioner's "rush through" instruction; d. Petitioner's Motion for Mistrial;

demonstrates that Respondent Wesenberg either ignored material evidence or issued a pre-determined outcome without proper consideration.

57. The February 9, 2026 Turner dismissal, issued while Petitioner's Emergency Stay motion was pending and without any reference to the embezzlement indictment, further evidences a coordinated "rush to judgment" designed to insulate these matters from meaningful review.

58. Such conduct violates IRPC 8.4(c) (conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit or misrepresentation) and 8.4(d) (conduct prejudicial to the administration of justice), as it represents a deliberate effort to manipulate the timing and finality of disciplinary decisions to avoid oversight.

---

## V. EXHIBIT LIST

| Exhibit   | Description                                                                                                                          |
|-----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Exhibit A | Video Recording of January 15, 2026 Hearing (available at: <a href="https://youtu.be/OUskpnLdNk8">https://youtu.be/OUskpnLdNk8</a> ) |
| Exhibit B | Formal Grievance Submission Against Attorneys Carole I. Wesenberg and Karen Carlisle (January 21, 2026)                              |
| Exhibit C | ISB Notice to Supreme Court re: Grievance Against Carole I. Wesenberg (January 27, 2026)                                             |
| Exhibit D | Order to Retain as Confidential and Amend Title (January 29, 2026)                                                                   |
| Exhibit E | Lewiston Tribune Article: "Lewiston bookkeeper accused of embezzling" (February 3, 2026)                                             |

Exh C-10

| <b>Exhibit</b>   | <b>Description</b>                                                              |
|------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Exhibit F</b> | Final Dismissal Order, ISB Case No. 25-168a (Smith) (February 6, 2026)          |
| <b>Exhibit G</b> | Final Dismissal Order, ISB Case No. 25-187a (Turner) (February 9, 2026)         |
| <b>Exhibit H</b> | Idaho Supreme Court Orders Denying Relief (February 13, 2026)                   |
| <b>Exhibit I</b> | Order Appointing Senior Judge Juneal Kerrick (February 17, 2026)                |
| <b>Exhibit J</b> | Motion for Mistrial and Immediate Stay (January 15, 2026)                       |
| <b>Exhibit K</b> | Supplemental Notice and Emergency Stay (February 4, 2026)                       |
| <b>Exhibit L</b> | Email Chain re: Notice of Supreme Court Filings (February 6, 2026)              |
| <b>Exhibit M</b> | Carlisle Email Chain Demonstrating Procedural Obstruction (January 20-21, 2026) |

## VI. CONCLUSION AND REQUESTED FINDINGS

59. The evidence submitted herewith demonstrates that Respondent Carole I. Wesenberg engaged in serious professional misconduct warranting investigation and, upon proof, sanction.
60. Petitioner respectfully requests that the Hearing Officer make the following findings and recommendations to the Idaho Supreme Court:
- Find** that Respondent Wesenberg engaged in improper ex parte communication on January 15, 2026, in violation of fundamental due process and the prohibition against ex parte contacts in adjudicative proceedings;

*Ex C-11*

b. **Find** that Respondent Wesenberg presided over ISB Case No. 25-168a while under a pending, formal grievance filed by Petitioner, creating an actual conflict of interest that required her recusal;

c. **Find** that Respondent Wesenberg's failure to recuse and her continued participation in the proceeding violated Idaho Rule of Professional Conduct 8.4(d) as conduct prejudicial to the administration of justice;

d. **Find** that the February 6, 2026 dismissal order was issued without proper consideration of material, newly discovered evidence (the embezzlement indictment) and in bad faith to preempt this Court's jurisdiction;

e. **Recommend** that this Court:

i. Vacate the February 6, 2026 dismissal order in ISB Case No. 25-168a; ii. Remand that matter for a new hearing before an impartial committee; iii. Refer Respondent Wesenberg's conduct to the appropriate disciplinary authority for further investigation and potential sanction; and iv. Grant such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted this [ 23 ] day of February, 2026.

---

John Doe (2026-05) Petitioner, Pro Se [Address on file with Court] [Email on file with Court]  
[Phone on file with Court]

---

## VII. CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this [ 23 ] day of February, 2026, I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing **SUPPLEMENTAL SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF GRIEVANCE**, including all exhibits, upon the following parties via the methods indicated:

| Party                          | Method of Service | Address                                                          |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Senior Judge Juneal C. Kerrick | Email             | <a href="mailto:jkerrick@idcourts.net">jkerrick@idcourts.net</a> |

*Eth C-12*

| Party                                      | Method of Service   | Address                                                                                  |
|--------------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Carole I. Wesenberg,<br>Respondent         | Email               | <a href="mailto:carole_wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov">carole_wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov</a> |
| Joseph Pirtle, Bar Counsel                 | Email               | <a href="mailto:jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov">jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov</a>                         |
| Karen Carlisle, Clerk                      | Email               | <a href="mailto:kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov">kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov</a>                     |
| Idaho Supreme Court, Clerk<br>of the Court | U.S. Certified Mail | 451 W. State Street, Boise, ID<br>83702                                                  |

Additional courtesy copies were served via electronic mail upon all parties of record in the underlying bar proceedings.

Executed 23rd day of February, 2026, in Gibsonville, North Carolina.

---

John Doe (2026-05)

---

*Eth C-13*



**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO**

---

JOHN DOE (2026-05),  
Petitioner,  
v.  
JANE DOE, Attorney,  
Respondent.

**CONSOLIDATED APPENDIX IN SUPPORT OF  
SUPPLEMENTAL SUBMISSION**

Supreme Court Docket No. 53669-2026  
Idaho State Bar No. 26-026C

Submitted to:  
Senior Judge Juneal C. Kerrick  
Canyon County Courthouse  
1115 Albany  
Caldwell, Idaho 83605 (via email)  
[jkerrick@idcourts.net](mailto:jkerrick@idcourts.net)

Submitted by:  
John Doe (2026-05), Petitioner Pro Se  
[Address on file with Court]  
[Email on file with Court]  
[Phone on file with Court]

February 23, 2026

**TABLE OF CONTENTS OF CONSOLIDATED APPENDIX**

| Tab   | Description                                                 | Page |
|-------|-------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| Tab 1 | Exhibit A – Video Recording<br>Cover Sheet                  | 1    |
| Tab 2 | Exhibit B – Formal Grievance<br>Against Carole I. Wesenberg | 2    |

*Exh C-14*

| Tab   | Description                                                                                                                                          | Page |
|-------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
|       | and Karen Carlisle (selected pages)                                                                                                                  |      |
| Tab 3 | Exhibit C – ISB Notice to Supreme Court (Jan. 27, 2026) & Exhibit D – Sealing Order (Jan. 29, 2026)                                                  | 18   |
| Tab 4 | Exhibit E – Lewiston Tribune Article: “Lewiston bookkeeper accused of embezzling” (Feb. 3, 2026)                                                     | 20   |
| Tab 5 | Exhibit F – Dismissal Order, ISB Case No. 25-168a (Smith) (Feb. 6, 2026) & Exhibit G – Dismissal Order, ISB Case No. 25-187a (Turner) (Feb. 9, 2026) | 22   |
| Tab 6 | Exhibit H – Idaho Supreme Court Orders Denying Relief (Feb. 13, 2026) & Exhibit I – Order Appointing Senior Judge Juneal Kerrick (Feb. 17, 2026)     | 30   |
| Tab 7 | Exhibit J – Motion for Mistrial and Immediate Stay (Jan. 15, 2026)                                                                                   | 32   |
| Tab 8 | Exhibit K – Supplemental Notice and Emergency Stay (Feb. 4, 2026) (selected pages)                                                                   | 35   |
| Tab 9 | Exhibit L & M – Representative Email Sampler Demonstrating Bad                                                                                       | 41   |

*Eth C-15*

| Tab | Description                      | Page |
|-----|----------------------------------|------|
|     | Faith and Procedural Obstruction |      |

## **TAB 1: EXHIBIT A – VIDEO RECORDING COVER SHEET**

### **EXHIBIT A**

**Description:** Video Recording of January 15, 2026 Hearing before the Hearing Committee in ISB Case No. 25-168a (In re: April Smith).

**Access:** The recording is publicly available at the following YouTube link:

<https://youtu.be/c-kbxKMneUc?si=ObXhFOrDcoXAhML9&t=584>

#### **Relevant Timestamps:**

- **9:44** – Hearing Committee Chair Carole I. Wesenberg acknowledges that she and Clerk Karen Carlisle conducted a closed-door meeting with a Community Advocate prior to the hearing (ex parte communication).
- Bar Commissioner is attempting to correct the record with in response to the overheard instructing a committee member to “rush through this hearing.”

A printed transcript of these portions is not included here but can be provided upon request. The video itself constitutes the best evidence of the events described in the Supplemental Submission.

## **TAB 2: EXHIBIT B – FORMAL GRIEVANCE AGAINST CAROLE I. WESENBERG AND KAREN CARLISLE (SELECTED PAGES)**

### **EXHIBIT B**

**Instructions for assembly:** From the file “Exhibit B (4).pdf,” include the following pages in this order:

1. **Page 1** – Grievance form (identifies Respondent Wesenberg).
2. **Pages 2–4** – Grievance narrative (allegations of ex parte communication, prejudgment, and denial of due process).

*Ex C-16*

3. **Pages 5–7** – Motion for Mistrial (filed Jan. 15, 2026) – incorporated by reference to show context of the “rush through” instruction.
4. **Pages 8–10** – Emergency Motion to Reopen Record and for Relief (filed Jan. 21, 2026).
5. **Pages 11–14** – Public Records Request Response from Idaho Legislative Services Office (Facebook thread with Rep. Brandon Mitchell) – demonstrates pattern of retaliation.
6. **Pages 27–29 – January 21, 2026 Order** (denying all pending motions, including mistrial and consolidation) – critical to show that Wesenberg ruled while under grievance.
7. **Page 30** – Grievance against Karen Carlisle (brief mention; optional).

(Total pages: approximately 16.)

### **TAB 3: EXHIBITS C & D – ISB NOTICE AND SEALING ORDER**

#### **EXHIBIT C**

From “Exhibit C (1).pdf”:

- **Page 1** – Letter from Idaho State Bar to Corey M. Switzer, dated January 28, 2026, transmitting grievance against Carole I. Wesenberg to the Idaho Supreme Court pursuant to I.B.C.R. 508(a).

#### **EXHIBIT D**

From “Exhibit D (2).pdf”:

- **Page 1** – Order to Retain as Confidential and Amend Title, dated January 29, 2026, establishing Supreme Court Docket No. 53669-2026 and sealing the Wesenberg grievance.

(Total pages: 2.)

### **TAB 4: EXHIBIT E – LEWISTON TRIBUNE ARTICLE**

#### **EXHIBIT E**

From “Exhibit E (2).pdf”:

*Exh C-17*



- **Pages 1–2** – Full article: “Lewiston bookkeeper accused of embezzling,” published February 3, 2026, reporting the grand theft indictment of Di Ann R. Geagley for embezzling \$554,000 from Travelland RV.

(Total pages: 2.)

## **TAB 5: EXHIBITS F & G – DISMISSAL ORDERS (SMITH AND TURNER)**

### **EXHIBIT F**

From “Exhibit F (1).pdf”:

- **Pages 1–5** – Decision of Hearing Committee in ISB Case No. 25-168a (April A. Smith), signed by Chair Carole I. Wesenberg on February 6, 2026. (Includes certification of service on page 5.)

### **EXHIBIT G**

From “Exhibit G.pdf”:

- **Pages 1–3** – Appeal/Review Committee Decision in ISB Case No. 25-187a (Trae D. Turner), dated February 9, 2026.

(Total pages: 8.)

## **TAB 6: EXHIBITS H & I – SUPREME COURT ORDERS**

### **EXHIBIT H**

From “Exhibit H (1).pdf”:

- **Page 1** – Order Denying Petition for Writ of Mandamus, Emergency Stay, Protective Order, and Referral to Federal Prosecutor, dated February 13, 2026.

### **EXHIBIT I**

From “Exhibit I.pdf”:

*Eth Q-18*

- **Page 1** – Order Appointing Senior Judge Juneal Kerrick to complete an investigation and report, dated February 17, 2026.

(Total pages: 2.)

## **TAB 7: EXHIBIT J – MOTION FOR MISTRIAL**

### **EXHIBIT J**

From “Exhibit J.pdf”:

- **Pages 1–3** – Motion for Mistrial and Immediate Stay, filed January 15, 2026, in ISB Case No. 25-168a. (Includes description of Bar Commissioner’s “rush through” instruction.)

(Total pages: 3.)

## **TAB 8: EXHIBIT K – SUPPLEMENTAL NOTICE AND EMERGENCY STAY (SELECTED PAGES)**

### **EXHIBIT K**

From “Exhibit K.pdf,” include the following pages:

- **Pages 1–2** – Supplemental Notice of Authority (filed Feb. 4, 2026, with the Idaho Supreme Court).
- **Pages 5–8** – Motion for Emergency Stay and Supporting Memorandum (filed Feb. 4, 2026).  
*(Pages 3–4 duplicate the Geagley article; omit if already included in Tab 4. Pages 9–26 (IRS Form 990s, nonprofit articles) are omitted as not essential to the Wesenberg grievance.)*

(Total pages: 6.)

## **TAB 9: EXHIBITS L & M – REPRESENTATIVE EMAIL SAMPLER**

### **EXHIBITS L & M**

*E+h C-19*

This tab contains a representative selection of emails from the extensive correspondence in Exhibits L and M. These emails demonstrate bad faith, the timing of the dismissal, and procedural obstruction by Bar officials.

**From Exhibit L.pdf (February RICO Correspondence):**

- **Page 1** – Email dated Feb. 2, 2026 (Legal Analysis re: Switzer v. Coleman).
- **Pages 3–4** – Email dated Feb. 3, 2026: “URGENT: NOTICE OF NEWLY DISCOVERED EVIDENCE” (Geagley indictment).
- **Pages 6–7** – Email dated Feb. 4, 2026: “Notification of Filing with Idaho Supreme Court.”
- **Pages 7–8** – **Email dated Feb. 6, 2026, 8:01 AM:** Request for confirmation of receipt; notes pending Supreme Court filings. (Key to show 8-hour gap before dismissal.)
- **Pages 9–10** – Email dated Feb. 6, 2026, 3:09 PM: “Immediate Notice of Hearing Committee Decision in ISB Case No. 25-168a.”
- **Pages 10–11** – Email dated Feb. 6, 2026, 5:10 PM: Declaration of Electronic Service (Emergency Motion to Vacate).
- **Pages 15–17** – **Email dated Feb. 11, 2026:** “FINAL REQUEST: Confirmation of Receipt – Good Faith Required” (demonstrates Bar Counsel’s non-response).
- **Pages 19–26** – Email dated Feb. 11, 2026: “Strategic Assessment & Realistic Expectations” (optional; summarizes Petitioner’s position).
- **Pages 30–31** – Email dated Feb. 17, 2026: Update on Coleman decision.

**From Exhibit M.pdf (Carlisle Email Chain – Procedural Obstruction):**

- **Pages 1–3** – Jan. 20, 2026: “Unified Narrative Summary” (background).
- **Pages 3–4** – Jan. 20, 2026, 5:15 PM email: Supplemental submission (shows timing).
- **Page 4** – **Jan. 21, 2026, 10:15 AM email from Karen Carlisle:** “This email was received at 5:16 p.m. and will not be included in the record.” (Critical evidence of obstruction.)
- **Pages 19–26** – Jan. 21, 2026 email chain (2:00 PM – 4:18 PM): Carlisle’s shifting, contradictory filing instructions.
- **Page 27** – Jan. 21, 2026 Order (duplicate of Tab 2, page 28; omit if already included).

(Total pages from this sampler: approximately 20.)

*ETH 0-20*

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 23rd day of February, 2026, I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing **CONSOLIDATED APPENDIX IN SUPPORT OF SUPPLEMENTAL SUBMISSION** upon the following parties via the methods indicated:

| Party                                   | Method of Service   | Address                                                                                  |
|-----------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Senior Judge Juneal C. Kerrick          | Email               | <a href="mailto:jkerrick@idcourts.net">jkerrick@idcourts.net</a>                         |
| Carole I. Wesenberg, Respondent         | Email               | <a href="mailto:carole_wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov">carole_wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov</a> |
| Joseph Pirtle, Bar Counsel              | Email               | <a href="mailto:jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov">jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov</a>                         |
| Karen Carlisle, Clerk                   | Email               | <a href="mailto:kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov">kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov</a>                     |
| Idaho Supreme Court, Clerk of the Court | U.S. Certified Mail | 451 W. State Street, Boise, ID 83702                                                     |

Additional courtesy copies were served via electronic mail upon all parties of record in the underlying bar proceedings.

Executed this 23rd day of February, 2026, in Gibsonville, North Carolina.

---

John Doe (2026-05), Petitioner Pro Se  
[Address on file with Court]  
[Email on file with Court]  
[Phone on file with Court]

ETH C-21





**Office of Bar Counsel**  
P.O. Box 895, Boise, Idaho 83701  
(208) 334-4500 Fax: (208) 334-2764  
[www.isb.idaho.gov](http://www.isb.idaho.gov)

**GRIEVANCE COVER SHEET**  
(Please read the attached information before completing)

Date: 1-21-2026

Your Name: Corey M Switzer  
Please Print Legibly

Mailing Address: 264 Kernodle Rd, Gibsonville NC 27249  
Street or Box No. City State Zip

Home Phone: 218-461-9868 Work Phone: \_\_\_\_\_ May we call you at work? No

Email Address: coreyswitzer@gmail.com

Attorney's Name: CAROLE I. WESENBERG , Idaho State Bar

Name of attorney this grievance is directed against

*(If you are complaining about more than one attorney, copy this grievance form and complete a separate grievance for each attorney. We must have the name of the individual attorney to process your grievance.)*

Mailing Address: \_\_\_\_\_  
Street or Box No. City State Zip

Date You Hired Attorney: \_\_\_\_\_  
(IF APPLICABLE)

Amount Initially Paid Attorney? \_\_\_\_\_ Total Amount Paid? \_\_\_\_\_  
(IF APPLICABLE)

Did You Sign a Fee or Retainer Agreement? \_\_\_\_\_  
(IF APPLICABLE, PLEASE INCLUDE A COPY OF THE AGREEMENT)

Do You Presently Owe The Attorney Any Fees? \_\_\_\_\_  
(IF APPLICABLE)

Type Of Legal Problem Attorney Was Hired To Handle (IF APPLICABLE):

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

Intake Number: 26 -

District & A#: ( )

*Eth C-22*

Corey M. Switzer  
coreyswitzer@gmail.com | 218-461-9868

Date: January 21, 2026

To: Idaho State Bar – Office of Bar Counsel  
Joseph Pirtle, Bar Counsel  
P.O. Box 895  
Boise, ID 83701

Via Email: jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov  
Cc: Idaho State Supreme Court, Clerk of the Court

RE: FORMAL GRIEVANCE AND NOTICE OF IMPENDING SUPREME COURT REVIEW  
Against Hearing Committee Chair Carole I. Wesenberg  
For Misconduct, Ex Parte Communication, Prejudgment, and Denial of Due Process  
In ISB Case No. 25-168a (In re: April Smith)

Dear Bar Counsel and Members of the Professional Conduct Board:

I, Corey M. Switzer, pro se complainant in the above-referenced matter, hereby submit this formal grievance against Hearing Committee Chair Carole I. Wesenberg for serious misconduct, engagement in improper ex parte communication, prejudgment of pending motions, and violation of fundamental due process.

This grievance is filed with the understanding that the Idaho State Bar is structurally incapable of impartially adjudicating its own misconduct. Accordingly, this matter will be presented to the Idaho State Supreme Court for immediate review.

#### I. FACTUAL BACKGROUND & NEW EVIDENCE

On January 21, 2026, the Professional Conduct Board issued an Order (attached as Exhibit 4) denying the following motions:

1. Motion for Mistrial and Immediate Stay (filed January 16, 2026)
2. Emergency Motion to:
  - Reopen the written record
  - Compel ruling on pending motions
  - Stay proceedings
  - Consolidate with related cases
  - Refer for impartial review

This Order was issued after Chair Wesenberg engaged in ex parte communication with Community Advocate (Sherry Montoza) on January 15, 2026, at 9:55 time stamp of the

*Eh C-23*

published YouTube video and after I transmitted a formal grievance against Clerk Karen Carlisle to the Idaho State Bar.

The timing and content of this Order demonstrate:

- Prejudgment and retaliation for prior grievances
- Refusal to permit independent oversight or consolidation
- Complicity in a "rush through" directive issued during the January 15, 2026 hearing

## II. ALLEGATIONS OF MISCONDUCT AGAINST CAROLE I. WESENBERG

1. Ex Parte Communication – Chair Wesenberg communicated with Bar staff regarding pending motions without notice to the complainant.
2. Prejudgment of Motions – She participated in denying motions seeking impartial review, consolidation, and a stay, thereby blocking oversight.
3. Failure to Preserve Hearing Integrity – She admits to her ex parte communication. Bar Commissioner instructed the committee to "rush through" the hearing. She admits that a dialogue was had and we shall disagree with what she said exactly, and for only they know for how long.
4. Acting While Under a Conflict – She ruled on motions while personally implicated in ongoing grievances regarding procedural fairness.
5. Denial of Due Process – By denying consolidation, stay, and external review, she has ensured that these matters cannot be fairly heard within the current tainted system.

## III. EVIDENCE ATTACHED

1. Exhibit 1: Video evidence of Bar Commissioner misconduct – <https://youtu.be/c-kbxKMneUc?t=9m55s>
2. Exhibit 2: Motion for Mistrial and Immediate Stay (January 16, 2026)
3. Exhibit 3: Emergency Motion (January 21, 2026)
4. Exhibit 4: January 21, 2026 Order denying all motions
5. Exhibit 5: Prior grievance against Karen Carlisle (previously submitted)

## IV. ARGUMENT FOR SUPREME COURT INTERVENTION

The Board's January 21, 2026 Order is not a neutral ruling—it is a reactive, defensive maneuver intended to shield the Bar and Attorney General from scrutiny. By denying:

- A stay
- Consolidation
- Impartial review
- Federal referral

*Eth C - 24*

The Board has confirmed its institutional inability to self-regulate. Chair Wesenberg's role in this denial, coupled with her ex parte communication, given her profession as a Clerk to the 9th Circuit Federal Court, proves she is not an impartial arbiter. Rather someone who feels comfortable gaming the system to her advantage.

This grievance is therefore being filed not for internal review, but as a formal notice of pending Supreme Court action. The Idaho State Bar may not judge its own fairness.

#### V. DEMANDED ACTION

Given the Board's demonstrated bias, I demand:


1. Immediate recusal of Carole I. Wesenberg from all Bar proceedings involving the undersigned.
2. Full disclosure of all ex parte communications involving Wesenberg, Montoza, Carlisle, and any Bar Commissioners.
3. A public acknowledgment that the January 21, 2026 Order was issued under a conflict of interest.
4. Stay of all ISB proceedings pending Supreme Court review.

#### VI. NOTICE OF SUPREME COURT FILING

This grievance, along with all exhibits and a writ of mandamus or petition for supervisory control, will be filed with the Idaho State Supreme Court no later than January 29th, 2026. The Bar is hereby notified that any further "orders" issued in the interim will be deemed void and retaliatory.

Respectfully submitted,

Corey M. Switzer  
Complainant, ISB Case No. 25-168a




---

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 21st day of January 2026, I served a true and correct copy of this Grievance and all exhibits upon the Idaho State Bar Office of Bar Counsel, the Professional Conduct Board, and the Idaho State Supreme Court Clerk via electronic mail.

Corey M. Switzer



Eth C-25



MOTION FOR MISTRIAL AND DEMAND FOR IMMEDIATE STAY OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE IDAHO STATE BAR  
PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT BOARD

In re: April A. Smith  
ISB File No. 25-168a

Grievant: Corey M. Switzer, Pro Se

MOTION FOR MISTRIAL AND DEMAND FOR IMMEDIATE STAY OF PROCEEDINGS

NOW COMES Corey M. Switzer, Grievant ("Movant"), and respectfully moves this Hearing Committee for a Declaration of Mistrial and Immediate Stay of Proceedings in the above-referenced matter. In support thereof, Movant states as follows:

---

INTRODUCTION

1. This disciplinary proceeding has been irreparably tainted by supervisory misconduct, procedural bad faith, and the appearance of impropriety. During the hearing of January 15, 2026, the Bar Commissioner—the supervisory authority over these proceedings—was overheard instructing a member of this Hearing Committee to "rush through the hearing." This directive, coupled with a documented pattern of procedural obstruction, deprives Movant of a fair and impartial hearing and violates fundamental due process.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

1. The Bar Commissioner's Impermissible Instruction: Entering into the Zoom proceedings on January 15, 2026, the Bar Commissioner directly instructed a member of this Committee to expedite the hearing in a manner that prioritized speed over thoroughness and fairness with the exact verbage "We're gonna rush through this hearing." This instruction was audible and witnessed, creating an indelible appearance of bias and undue influence over the Committee's deliberative independence.

2. Systemic Procedural Violations: This incident is not isolated. It is the culmination of a pattern of procedural unfairness that has plagued all three interconnected grievances (Coleman, Turner, Smith), including:

- Refusal to consolidate related matters despite overlapping facts and parties.
- Boilerplate denials of a complete, integrated evidentiary record as a "data dump."
- Boilerplate denials at the initial evidentiary stages for four interconnected individuals.
- Failure to address profound conflicts of interest, including Trae Turner's campaign donation to Justin Coleman and the employment of Turner's wife within the Nez Perce County Prosecutor's Office.

*ETH C-26*

3. The Appearance of Impropriety: The Commissioner's instruction to "rush" creates an inescapable appearance that the Bar's disciplinary process is more concerned with expediency than justice, particularly in a case involving allegations of high-level corruption within the local justice system.

#### LEGAL ARGUMENT

1. Violation of Due Process: The Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the U.S. Constitution, and Article I, § 13 of the Idaho Constitution, guarantee the right to a fair and impartial hearing. A supervisory official's directive to "rush through" a hearing inherently undermines the Committee's duty to deliberate thoroughly and fairly, depriving Movant of his right to be fully heard.

2. Breach of Idaho Bar Commission Rules: The Commissioner's conduct violates the spirit and purpose of the Idaho Bar Commission Rules, which are designed to ensure "fair and consistent" disciplinary processes (See IBCR Preamble). Supervisory pressure to curtail proceedings compromises the integrity of the entire system.

3. Prejudgment and Bias: The instruction demonstrates a prejudgment that the matter does not merit careful review, injecting bias into the proceedings and rendering any outcome inherently suspect.

4. Compounded Prejudice: This latest violation compounds prior procedural defects, making it impossible for Movant to receive a fair hearing within the current framework. The cumulative effect is a denial of fundamental fairness.

#### RELIEF REQUESTED

WHEREFORE, Movant respectfully requests that this Hearing Committee:

1. GRANT a Declaration of Mistrial in ISB File No. 25-168a, voiding all proceedings to date.
2. ISSUE an Immediate Stay of all further activity in this matter pending resolution of this motion and the appointment of a new, impartial committee.
3. RECOMMEND to the Professional Conduct Board that this matter be:
  - Reassigned to a new Hearing Committee with no prior involvement and no supervisory contact with the Bar Commissioner involved in the misconduct.
  - Consolidated with the related matters of In re: Justin Coleman (25-169a) and In re: Trae Turner (25-187a) for a unified review.
  - Referred for investigation by an independent Special Prosecutor, as previously demanded, due to the insurmountable conflicts of interest and systemic procedural corruption now evident.
4. SCHEDULE an Emergency Hearing on this motion within seven (7) days.

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 15th day of January 2026, a true and correct copy of the foregoing Motion for Mistrial and Demand for Immediate Stay of Proceedings was served via email upon

ETH C-27

the Idaho State Bar, Bar Counsel, all members of the assigned Hearing Committee, and counsel for Respondent April A. Smith.

Respectfully submitted,

Corey M. Switzer, Grievant  
coreyswitzer@gmail.com | 218-461-9868

*Eth C-28*

**Corey M. Switzer** [coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com) | 218-461-9868

**BEFORE THE IDAHO STATE BAR PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT BOARD**

In the Matter of:

) Case No. 25-168a **APRIL SMITH,**

) *Attorney-Respondent.*

EMERGENCY MOTION TO: (1) REOPEN WRITTEN RECORD; (2) COMPEL RULING ON  
PENDING MOTIONS; (3) STAY PROCEEDINGS; (4) CONSOLIDATE WITH RELATED  
CASES; AND (5) REFER FOR IMPARTIAL REVIEW

TO: The Idaho State Bar Professional Conduct Board Karen Carlisle, Clerk Joseph Pirtle, Bar Counsel Hearing Committee Chair Carole I. Wesenberg (25-168a)

**FROM:** Corey M. Switzer, Pro Se Complainant

**DATE:** January 21, 2026

I. RELIEF REQUESTED

Complainant respectfully moves this Board for the following emergency relief:

1. **REOPEN** and maintain the written record for Case No. 25-168a to include all supplemental submissions.
2. **COMPEL** an immediate ruling on the pending **Motion for Mistrial and Immediate Stay** filed January 15, 2026, and all other pending motions.
3. **STAY** all further proceedings in this matter.
4. **CONSOLIDATE** this case with related Cases No. 25-187a (Turner) and 25-169a (Coleman) for a single, fair review.
5. **REFER** the consolidated matters to a new, impartial hearing committee and to federal law enforcement authorities for investigation of potential federal crimes evidenced in the record.

## II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

This grievance against Respondent April Smith is fundamentally interconnected with the matters against Trae Turner and Justin Coleman. The hearing in this very case triggered the most egregious proof of institutional bias:

**A. The "Rush Through" Instruction Requiring Mistrial:** On January 15, 2026, during the hearing for THIS CASE, a Bar Commissioner was overheard instructing this Hearing Committee to **"rush through this hearing."** This directive is unambiguous supervisory misconduct, gross prejudgment, and a direct violation of due process. A Motion for Mistrial and Immediate Stay was filed that same day. No ruling has been issued. This incident proves the proceedings are irreparably tainted and that the bias affects all related cases.

Exh C-29



**B. Improper Exclusion of Evidence in Related Matters:** The Clerk's subsequent arbitrary refusal to accept timely-filed evidence in the related Turner case (25-187a) on January 20 demonstrates a pattern of procedural unfairness that compromises the entire disciplinary apparatus overseeing these linked matters.

**C. New Documentary Proof of Retaliatory Pattern:** On January 21, 2026, Complainant obtained an official public records response from the Idaho Legislative Services Office. This document includes a Facebook message thread showing Idaho State Representative **Brandon Mitchell** blocking Complainant after he reported corruption. This documented, unconstitutional retaliation is part of the same environment in which Respondent Smith allegedly engaged in obstructive conduct.

**D. Evidence of Interconnected Relationships:** Respondent Smith's alleged personal relationship with police captain Rick Fuentes—a central figure in the underlying bribery allegations—ties her conduct directly to the core facts of the Turner and Coleman cases. Her alleged role in obstructing public records requests further illustrates her part in the unified pattern of suppression.

### III. ARGUMENT

The Bar Commissioner's command to "rush through" this hearing is a fatal blow to its legitimacy. It confirms Complainant's allegations of a system designed to protect connected officials through expedited, superficial review. This misconduct cannot be cured within the current framework. Furthermore, the factual allegations against Smith—involving the same police corruption, the same cover-up, and the same retaliation as the Turner and Coleman cases—make isolated review logically impossible and fundamentally unfair.

Granting the already-pending Motion for Mistrial is the minimum required step. The only path to a just outcome is to declare a mistrial, stay all proceedings, consolidate the three matters, and refer them to an unimpeachable forum.


### IV. CONCLUSION

When the supervising authority instructs a hearing committee to "rush through" a case, the process has ceased to be a search for truth and has become a ceremonial denial of justice. This Board must act decisively to reclaim its integrity.

**WHEREFORE**, Complainant respectfully requests that the Board immediately GRANT the pending Motion for Mistrial and grant this Emergency Motion in its entirety.

Respectfully submitted,

Corey M. Switzer, Pro Se Complainant




Eth 0-30

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this 21st day of January, 2026, a true and correct copy of the foregoing EMERGENCY MOTION was served via electronic mail upon the Idaho State Bar, Bar Counsel, the assigned Hearing Committee for Case No. 25-168a, and Respondent April Smith.

**Corey M. Switzer**

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Corey M. Switzer", with a large, stylized flourish extending from the end of the name.

*Eth C-31*



## Public records request

1 message

**Elizabeth Bowen** <EBowen@lso.idaho.gov>

To: coreyswitzer@gmail.com <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>

Cc: Public Records Requests <PRR@lso.idaho.gov>

Wed, Dec

Good evening Mr. Switzer,

Thank you for your public records request. A search was completed using the search terms you provided for communications between Representative Brandon Mitchell and Attorney Labrador, Nick Woods, or Dan Johnson. There are no responsive records to your request.

You also requested a Facebook message thread between yourself and Rep. Mitchell. That thread is below.



**Elizabeth Bowen**

Legal Counsel

Idaho Legislative Services Office

(208) 334-4851

Idaho State Capitol, Room W123

[www.legislature.idaho.gov](http://www.legislature.idaho.gov)

ETH 0-32

8:06

LTE



Corey Switzer

Active now



Corey Switzer

You're not friends on Facebook

View profile

 End-to-end encrypted

Messages and calls are secured with end-to-end encryption. [Learn more](#)

NOV 5 AT 10:12 AM

You must realize that you have violated  
Lindke v Freed.

You shall be promptly hearing from  
my lawyers.



*Eth C-33*



You can now message and call each other and see info like active status and when you have read messages

Actually you violated my social media policy. Give me your number and we can talk. I want to give you some ad

Adv


Your specific social media policy  
doesn't supercede the SCOTUS ruling.



Aa



Exh C-34



Eth C-35

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that on the 21st day of January, 2026, I served a true and correct copy of Grievant's Emergency Motion upon the following recipients by depositing the same by email.

April A. Smith  
april@co.nezperce.id.us  
*via email*

Corey M. Switzer  
coreyswitzer@gmail.com  
*via email*

Carole I. Wesenberg  
*Professional Conduct Board*  
*via email*

Michaelina B. Murphy  
*Professional Conduct Board*  
*via email*

Sherry Montosa  
*Professional Conduct Board*  
*via email*



---

Karen Carlisle, Clerk  
Professional Conduct Board

*Eth C-36*



PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT BOARD  
P.O. Box 895  
Boise, Idaho 83701  
(208) 334-4500

**BEFORE THE PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT BOARD  
OF THE IDAHO STATE BAR**

In the matter of:


ISB Case No.: 25-168a

APRIL A. SMITH

**ORDER**

Attorney at Law.

Grievant's January 16, 2026 Motion for Mistrial and Immediate Stay is DENIED. Grievant's January 21, 2026 Emergency Motion to (1) to reopen the written record; (2) compel ruling on pending motions; (3) stay proceedings; (4) consolidate with related cases; and (5) refer for impartial review is DENIED.



Carole I. Wesenberg  
Committee Chairperson



CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that on the 21st day of January, 2026, I served a true and correct copy of the Order upon the following recipients by depositing the same by email.

April A. Smith  
aprils@co.nezperce.id.us  
*via email*

Corey M. Switzer  
coreyswitzer@gmail.com  
*via email*

Carole I. Wesenberg  
*Professional Conduct Board*  
*via email*

Michaelina B. Murphy  
*Professional Conduct Board*  
*via email*

Sherry Montosa  
*Professional Conduct Board*  
*via email*



---

Karen Carlisle, Clerk  
Professional Conduct Board

*Exh C - 38*



Office of Bar Counsel  
P.O. Box 895, Boise, Idaho 83701  
(208) 334-4500 Fax: (208) 334-2764  
www.isb.idaho.gov

**GRIEVANCE COVER SHEET**  
(Please read the attached information before completing)

Date: 1-21-2026

Your Name: Corey M Switzer  
Please Print Legibly

Mailing Address: 264 Kernodle Rd, Gibsonville NC 27249  
Street or Box No. City State Zip

Home Phone: 218-461-9868 Work Phone: \_\_\_\_\_ May we call you at work? No

Email Address: coreyswitzer@gmail.com

Attorney's Name: Karen Carlisle, Idaho State Bar

Name of attorney this grievance is directed against  
(If you are complaining about more than one attorney, copy this grievance form and complete a separate grievance for each attorney. We must have the name of the individual attorney to process your grievance.)

Mailing Address: \_\_\_\_\_  
Street or Box No. City State Zip

Date You Hired Attorney: \_\_\_\_\_  
(IF APPLICABLE)

Amount Initially Paid Attorney? \_\_\_\_\_ Total Amount Paid? \_\_\_\_\_  
(IF APPLICABLE)

Did You Sign a Fee or Retainer Agreement? \_\_\_\_\_  
(IF APPLICABLE, PLEASE INCLUDE A COPY OF THE AGREEMENT)

Do You Presently Owe The Attorney Any Fees? \_\_\_\_\_  
(IF APPLICABLE)

Type Of Legal Problem Attorney Was Hired To Handle (IF APPLICABLE):

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

Intake Number: 26 -

District & A#: ( )

Eth C-39



**Office of Bar Counsel**  
P. O. Box 895 • Boise, Idaho 83701  
(208) 334-4500 • Fax: (208) 334-2764  
[www.isb.idaho.gov](http://www.isb.idaho.gov)

January 28, 2026

PERSONAL & CONFIDENTIAL

Corey M. Switzer

By Email Only: [coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com)

Re: Grievance Against Carole I. Wesenberg  
(ISB File No. 26-026C)

Dear Mr. Switzer:

We received your "Formal Grievance Submission Against Attorneys Carole I. Wesenberg and Karen Carlise" on January 21, 2026. Please note that only Carole Wesenberg is an Idaho attorney. Accordingly, we have opened the grievance only as it relates to Ms. Wesenberg because Karen Carlise is not an attorney. Also, because Ms. Wesenberg is a lawyer member of the Idaho State Bar's Professional Conduct Board, we are submitting the grievance to the Idaho Supreme Court pursuant to Idaho Bar Commission Rule 508(a). Therefore, any updates, including final disposition, will come directly from the Court. Although we have not opened any matter in our records regarding your grievance against Ms. Carlisle, we have forwarded your grievance in its entirety to the Idaho Supreme Court.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Jessica Kline".

Jessica Kline  
Legal Assistant to Bar Counsel

*ETHC-40*

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Petition for Grievance Against Attorney  
Carole I. Wesenberg, I.B.C.R. 508(a).

-----  
COREY M. SWITZER,

Petitioner,

v.

CAROLE I. WESENBERG, Attorney,

Respondent.

**Order to Retain as Confidential  
and Amend Title**

Supreme Court Docket No. 53669-2026

Idaho State Bar No.  
26-026C

A Petition for Grievance Against Attorney Carole I. Wesenberg was filed with this Court on January 28, 2026.

WHEREAS, it appearing the above entitled proceeding is of a confidential nature and should be retained as a confidential record in accordance with I.C.A.R. 32(g)(26), and I.B.C.R. 521; therefore,

IT IS ORDERED that this matter shall be retained as a confidential record and shall not be disclosed except as provided by the applicable provisions of I.C.A.R. 32(g)(26) and I.B.C.R. 521. Further, the title of this appeal shall be amended as follows and all parties shall use this title in subsequent briefs and pleadings filed with this Court:

Petition for Grievance Against Attorney  
Jane Doe, I.B.C.R. 508(a).

-----  
JOHN DOE (2026-05),

Petitioner,

v.

JANE DOE, Attorney,

Respondent.

DATED this 29th day of January, 2026.

For the Supreme Court

Melanie Gagnepain  
Melanie Gagnepain, Clerk

cc: Petitioner, *pro se*  
Respondent, *pro se*  
Idaho State Bar

*Ex C-41*




# Lewiston bookkeeper accused of embezzling

Di Ann R. Geagley, who pleaded not guilty to grand theft, has preliminary hearing scheduled for March 4



Dean Ferguson  
Reporter



A bookkeeper accused of embezzling more than half a million dollars from Lewiston's Travelland RV is scheduled for a preliminary hearing next month. Nearly half of that money allegedly went to online gambling debts.

Di Ann R. Geagley, 63, of Lewiston, pleaded not guilty to grand theft, a felony, in October. On Monday, Nez Perce County Judge Kelley Porter scheduled Geagley's preliminary hearing for March 4.

Between 2021 and 2025 while employed as bookkeeper for the RV sales business, Geagley allegedly embezzled \$554,000. A forensic audit allegedly revealed that she took the money with an unauthorized salary bump, by cutting 68 extra paychecks over three years, by taking cash, and by misusing company credit cards, according to court documents.



Eth C-42

Most of \$233,000 in unauthorized charges to company credit cards went to online casinos, according to court documents.

Geagley told Lewiston police detectives that her online "Google Pay" wallet had mistakenly charged company credit cards for her personal gambling debts.

"She said it was a mistake because the business credit card was in her Google Pay wallet and when her personal credit cards were no longer good, the online casinos would charge the next card in Google Wallet," according to court documents.

While maintaining her innocence, Geagley told detectives she has a "gambling addiction," according to detectives.

*Ferguson can be reached at [dferguson@lmtribune.com](mailto:dferguson@lmtribune.com).*



*Exh C-43*

PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT BOARD  
P.O. Box 895  
Boise, Idaho 83701  
(208) 334-4500

**BEFORE THE PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT BOARD  
OF THE IDAHO STATE BAR**

In the matter of:

APRIL A. SMITH

Attorney at Law.

ISB Case No.: 25-168a

**DECISION OF HEARING  
COMMITTEE**

THIS MATTER came before the Hearing Committee for a review of Deputy Bar Counsel's May 29, 2025 decision. A review of the record was timely requested by the Grievant, pursuant to Rule 509(d) of the Idaho Bar Commission Rules (I.B.R.C.). A Hearing Committee was assigned consisting of members Carole I. Wesenberg (Chair), Mia Murphy, and Sherry Montosa.

On January 15, 2026, pursuant to notice, the Committee held a review hearing by Zoom. The Grievant, Corey Switzer, and the Respondent, April A. Smith, appeared at the hearing. The Committee reviewed the entire Record, consisting of (1) the Record bate stamped 1—300; (2) the Supplemental Record bate stamped 1-1551; (3) the Grievant's reference for all hearings; (4)

*ETH C-44*



the Grievant's Supplemental Filing; (5) two recordings of a phone call between Grievant and Ms. Smith filed by each party; (6) Grievant's email following the hearing; (7) Grievant's email re phone call; (8) Grievant's email with a video link re Smith-Fuentes; and (9) Grievant's second email following the hearing.

The Committee heard from Mr. Switzer and Ms. Smith. No additional evidence was offered at the hearing. The record was closed thereafter. No additional evidence was considered in making this decision.

On April 17, 2025, Grievant filed a complaint with the Idaho State Bar with regard to April Smith. Grievant outlined three specific actions (1) that on June 2, 2021, "April Smith instructed Officer Joseph Lines to halt investigation into Switzer's battery complaint," (2) that under the direction of April Smith, an ethics complaint against Detective Nick Woods was dismissed, and (3) that "Smith ignored evidence of bribery and tax evasion." R. 3.

On May 29, 2025, the Office of Bar Counsel dismissed the complaint. R. 225-26. The Office of Bar Counsel concluded that the complaint invoked Idaho Rules of Professional Conduct 3.8(a), 3.8(d), 8.4(c), and 8.4(d). *Id.* However, the Office of Bar Counsel concluded that there was no clear and convincing evidence that Ms. Smith's conduct violated those rules. R. 226. Notably, the Office of Bar Counsel concluded that "Prosecutors have broad



discretion to institute criminal charges when they determine probable cause exists and have a corollary duty not to proceed without such probable cause.”

*Id.* The Office of Bar Counsel concluded that, “It was therefore within Ms. Smith’s independent professional judgment and discretion to halt the investigation into your battery complaint. It was also within Ms. Smith’s independent professional judgment to decide how and whether to proceed with the allegations of bribery and tax evasion against Tim Krueger and Travelland RV.” *Id.* The Office of Bar Counsel further concluded that “there [was] no clear and convincing evidence that Ms. Smith engaged in conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit or misrepresentation, or that she engaged in conduct prejudicial to the administration of justice.” *Id.*

The Committee’s review concerns the allegations raised in the Grievant’s complaint. Under I.B.C.R. 525(e), the Committee’s standard of proof is “clear and convincing evidence.” This is a high standard of proof requiring substantial corroboration to establish disputed facts. “Clear and convincing” means that the evidence is highly and substantially more likely to be true than untrue. In other words, it is higher than the typical preponderance of the evidence (or more likely than not) standard used in civil cases but lower than the criminal “beyond a reasonable doubt” standard.


The Hearing Committee finds that the matter has been fully submitted

*Eth C-46*

and ripe for decision. The Hearing Committee has deliberated on this matter and is mindful that the burden of proof is on the Grievant and the standard is clear and convincing evidence. Having carefully considered the matter, the Committee determines the Record does not establish, by clear and convincing evidence, that the Respondent violated the Idaho Rules of Professional Conduct. The Committee has authorized the Chair to execute this decision because it is unanimous.

NOW THEREFORE, based upon the foregoing, IT IS ORDERED that the decision of Deputy Bar Counsel dated May 29, 2025, is AFFIRMED.<sup>1</sup>

DATED February 5, 2026.



Carole I. Wesenberg  
Chair

---

<sup>1</sup>This decision of the Hearing Committee is "final." I.B.C.R. 509(d)(8). No appeal or reconsideration will be heard. *See id.* Accordingly, the Clerk of the Professional Conduct Board shall not accept any additional submissions or filings in this matter.

## CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that on the 6th day of February, 2026, I served a true and correct copy of the Decision of Hearing Committee upon the following recipients by depositing the same by email.

April A. Smith  
aprils@co.nezperce.id.us  
*via email*

Corey M. Switzer  
coreyswitzer@gmail.com  
*via email*

Carole I. Wesenberg  
*Professional Conduct Board*  
*via email*

Michaelina B. Murphy  
*Professional Conduct Board*  
*via email*

Sherry Montosa  
*Professional Conduct Board*  
*via email*



---

Karen Carlisle, Clerk  
Professional Conduct Board

*ETH C-48*

PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT BOARD  
P.O. Box 895  
Boise, Idaho 83701

PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT BOARD  
IDAHO STATE BAR  
February 09, 2026  
FILED - ORIGINAL

**BEFORE THE PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT BOARD  
OF THE IDAHO STATE BAR**

IN THE MATTER OF

TRAE D. TURNER,

Attorney at Law.

ISB CASE NO. 25-187a

APPEAL/REVIEW COMMITTEE  
DECISION

This matter came before the duly appointed Appeal/Review Committee on Complainant's request for review of the disposition of the Office of Bar Counsel, dated May 29, 2025, pursuant to Idaho Bar Commission Rule 509(d). The Appeal/Review Committee has reviewed the record before it, all of which was materials provided to the committee before and after the hearing (documents numbered 1-2352). The Complainant requested a hearing, which was held on January 14, 2026. The Appeal/Review Committee allowed the parties to present argument, evidence and testimony at said hearing, in addition to the written record already before the Committee. The Committee allowed additional written materials to be submitted within seven (7) days after the hearing. Additional materials were received and considered by the Committee. The Complainant provided argument at the hearing. Attorney Turner provided argument at the hearing. The record is complete at this time, and this matter is now ripe for decision.

This request for review concerns whether the attorney, Trae D. Turner, violated the Idaho Rules of Professional Conduct in his capacity as an attorney representing Travelland RV, LLC, and by extension or affiliation one Meghan McCreary (also known as Vittoria Puccini), an employee of Travelland RV, LLC.

Deputy Bar Counsel determined that the Complainant's allegations implicated Rules 1.7(a)(2) and 8.4(c) of the Idaho Rules of Professional Conduct, and the Complainant orally argued Rules 3.3 and 3.4 were also implicated. The Committee concurs these rules are at issue. After performing an investigation, Deputy Bar Counsel concluded that the Complainant had failed to carry his burden of proof that Mr. Turner had violated the Idaho Rules of Professional Conduct.

*Eth C-49*



The Appeal/Review Committee has considered the evidence in the record, in light of the requirement that the burden of proof is upon the Complainant to establish a violation of the Idaho Rules of Professional Conduct by clear and convincing evidence. *See* Rule 522(f), Idaho Rules of Professional Conduct. In addition, the Appeal/Review Committee has considered the comments and testimony of the Complainant and Mr. Turner submitted as part of the appeal. The Appeal/Review Committee has also reviewed and considered the evidence submitted by the parties. The Appeal/Review Committee finds that there is no clear and convincing evidence that Mr. Turner violated the Rules as related to the conduct alleged by the Complainant. It is the opinion of the Appeal/Review Committee that there is a lack of clear and convincing evidence that Mr. Turner acted in any way contrary to Rules 1.7, 3.3, 3.4 or 8.4, I.R.P.C., with respect to the matters involving Complainant.

Now, therefore, IT IS THE UNANIMOUS DECISION OF THE APPEAL COMMITTEE that the disposition of the Office of the Bar Counsel be **APPROVED**. Pursuant to Rule 509(d)(8), I.B.C.R., this decision is final and not subject to further review or appeal. No further filings or submissions from the parties are to be accepted in this case. This case is closed.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 9<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2026.

PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT BOARD



David P. Claiborne  
Chairman of Appeal/Review Committee

## CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that on the 9<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2026, I served a true and correct copy of the Appeal/Review Committee Decision upon the following recipients by depositing the same by email.

Trae D. Turner  
trae@clarkandfeeney.com  
*via email*

Corey M. Switzer  
coreyswitzer@gmail.com  
*via email*

David P. Claiborne  
*Professional Conduct Board*  
*via email*

Samuel R. Rubin  
*Professional Conduct Board*  
*via email*

Marcy Cope  
*Professional Conduct Board*  
*via email*



---

Karen Carlisle, Clerk  
Professional Conduct Board

*Exh C-51*

# In the Supreme Court of the State of Idaho

Re: Petition for Writ of Mandamus, for  
Emergency Stay, for Protective Order,  
and for Referral to Federal  
Prosecutor.

-----  
COREY M. SWITZER,

Petitioner,

v.

IDAHO STATE BAR,  
PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT  
BOARD; KAREN CARLISLE, Clerk;  
and CAROLE I. WESENBERG,  
Hearing Committee Chair,

Respondents.

**Order Denying Petition for Writ of Mandamus,  
for Emergency Stay, for Protective Order, and  
for Referral to Federal Prosecutor**

Supreme Court Docket No. 53674-2026

A PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS, FOR EMERGENCY STAY, FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER, AND FOR REFERRAL TO FEDERAL PROSECUTOR was filed by Petitioner Corey M. Switzer on January 28, 2026. Therefore, after due consideration,

IT IS ORDERED that the Court DENIES what the Court considers a Motion to Disqualify the Chief Justice as the Chief Justice is not associated in any way with the Idaho Community Foundation, nor does he know Hannah Liedkie personally.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED Petitioner Corey M. Switzer's PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS, FOR EMERGENCY STAY, FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER, AND FOR REFERRAL TO FEDERAL PROSECUTOR are DENIED.


Dated February 13, 2026.

By Order of the Supreme Court



G. Richard Bevan, Chief Justice

ATTEST:

  
Melanie Gagnepain, Clerk of the Courts

ETH C-52

# In the Supreme Court of the State of Idaho

Petition for Grievance Against  
Attorney Jane Doe, I.B.C.R. 508(a).

-----  
JOHN DOE (2026-05),

Petitioner,

v.

JANE DOE, Attorney,

Respondent.

**Order Appointing Senior Judge Juneal  
Kerrick to complete an Investigation and  
Report**

Supreme Court Docket No. 53669-2026


Idaho State Bar No.  
26-026C

An IDAHO STATE BAR GRIEVANCE PURSUANT TO I.B.C.R. 508(a) was received and filed with the Supreme Court on January 28, 2026. Therefore, after due consideration,


IT IS ORDERED that SENIOR JUDGE JUNEAL KERRICK is APPOINTED to complete an investigation and report the facts and recommendations to this Court within forty-five (45) days of the date of this Order.

Dated February 17<sup>th</sup>, 2026.

By Order of the Supreme Court

  
G. Richard Bevan, Chief Justice

ATTEST:

  
Melanie Gagnepain, Clerk of the Courts



MOTION FOR MISTRIAL AND DEMAND FOR IMMEDIATE STAY OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE IDAHO STATE BAR  
PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT BOARD

In re: April A. Smith  
ISB File No. 25-168a

Grievant: Corey M. Switzer, Pro Se

MOTION FOR MISTRIAL AND DEMAND FOR IMMEDIATE STAY OF PROCEEDINGS

NOW COMES Corey M. Switzer, Grievant ("Movant"), and respectfully moves this Hearing Committee for a Declaration of Mistrial and Immediate Stay of Proceedings in the above-referenced matter. In support thereof, Movant states as follows:

---

INTRODUCTION

1. This disciplinary proceeding has been irreparably tainted by supervisory misconduct, procedural bad faith, and the appearance of impropriety. During the hearing of January 15, 2026, the Bar Commissioner—the supervisory authority over these proceedings—was overheard instructing a member of this Hearing Committee to "rush through the hearing." This directive, coupled with a documented pattern of procedural obstruction, deprives Movant of a fair and impartial hearing and violates fundamental due process.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

1. The Bar Commissioner's Impermissible Instruction: Entering into the Zoom proceedings on January 15, 2026, the Bar Commissioner directly instructed a member of this Committee to expedite the hearing in a manner that prioritized speed over thoroughness and fairness with the exact verbage "We're gonna rush through this hearing." This instruction was audible and witnessed, creating an indelible appearance of bias and undue influence over the Committee's deliberative independence.

2. Systemic Procedural Violations: This incident is not isolated. It is the culmination of a pattern of procedural unfairness that has plagued all three interconnected grievances (Coleman, Turner, Smith), including:

- Refusal to consolidate related matters despite overlapping facts and parties.
- Boilerplate denials of a complete, integrated evidentiary record as a "data dump."
- Boilerplate denials at the initial evidentiary stages for four interconnected individuals.
- Failure to address profound conflicts of interest, including Trae Turner's campaign donation to Justin Coleman and the employment of Turner's wife within the Nez Perce County Prosecutor's Office.

*Exh C-54*

3. The Appearance of Impropriety: The Commissioner's instruction to "rush" creates an inescapable appearance that the Bar's disciplinary process is more concerned with expediency than justice, particularly in a case involving allegations of high-level corruption within the local justice system.

#### LEGAL ARGUMENT

1. Violation of Due Process: The Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the U.S. Constitution, and Article I, § 13 of the Idaho Constitution, guarantee the right to a fair and impartial hearing. A supervisory official's directive to "rush through" a hearing inherently undermines the Committee's duty to deliberate thoroughly and fairly, depriving Movant of his right to be fully heard.
2. Breach of Idaho Bar Commission Rules: The Commissioner's conduct violates the spirit and purpose of the Idaho Bar Commission Rules, which are designed to ensure "fair and consistent" disciplinary processes (See IBCR Preamble). Supervisory pressure to curtail proceedings compromises the integrity of the entire system.
3. Prejudgment and Bias: The instruction demonstrates a prejudgment that the matter does not merit careful review, injecting bias into the proceedings and rendering any outcome inherently suspect.
4. Compounded Prejudice: This latest violation compounds prior procedural defects, making it impossible for Movant to receive a fair hearing within the current framework. The cumulative effect is a denial of fundamental fairness.

#### RELIEF REQUESTED

WHEREFORE, Movant respectfully requests that this Hearing Committee:

1. GRANT a Declaration of Mistrial in ISB File No. 25-168a, voiding all proceedings to date.
2. ISSUE an Immediate Stay of all further activity in this matter pending resolution of this motion and the appointment of a new, impartial committee.
3. RECOMMEND to the Professional Conduct Board that this matter be:
  - Reassigned to a new Hearing Committee with no prior involvement and no supervisory contact with the Bar Commissioner involved in the misconduct.
  - Consolidated with the related matters of In re: Justin Coleman (25-169a) and In re: Trae Turner (25-187a) for a unified review.
  - Referred for investigation by an independent Special Prosecutor, as previously demanded, due to the insurmountable conflicts of interest and systemic procedural corruption now evident.
4. SCHEDULE an Emergency Hearing on this motion within seven (7) days.

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 15th day of January 2026, a true and correct copy of the foregoing Motion for Mistrial and Demand for Immediate Stay of Proceedings was served via email upon

*Eth C-55*

the Idaho State Bar, Bar Counsel, all members of the assigned Hearing Committee, and counsel for Respondent April A. Smith.

Respectfully submitted,

Corey M. Switzer, Grievant  
coreyswitzer@gmail.com | 218-461-9868

Exh C-56

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO**

|                     |   |                                       |
|---------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| John Doe (2026-05), | ) |                                       |
| Petitioner, Pro Se  | ) | Supreme Court Docket No. 53669-2026   |
| v.                  | ) |                                       |
| Jane Doe, Attorney, | ) | SUPPLEMENTAL NOTICE OF AUTHORITY      |
| Respondent.         | ) | (Pursuant to Idaho Appellate Rule 34) |

**TO THE HONORABLE CLERK OF THE COURT AND TO ALL PARTIES OF  
RECORD:**

COMES NOW Petitioner, John Doe (2026-05), pro se, and files this Supplemental Notice of Authority pursuant to Idaho Appellate Rule 34 to bring newly discovered, conclusive evidence to the Court's attention in support of the pending Petition for Writ of Mandamus.

This notice supplements the record with judicially confirmed facts that directly substantiate the factual basis of Petitioner's underlying grievances and demonstrate the grave misconduct and bad faith at issue.

1. **Criminal Indictment for Embezzlement at Travelland RV.** On February 3, 2026, the Lewiston Tribune published an article titled "Lewiston bookkeeper accused of embezzling." A copy is attached as **Exhibit 1**. The article reports that Di Ann R. Geagley, bookkeeper for Travelland RV, has been charged with Grand Theft for embezzling \$554,000 from the business between 2021 and 2025. A forensic audit uncovered the theft. A preliminary hearing is scheduled for March 4, 2026, in Nez Perce County.
2. **Direct Relevance.** This indictment proves Petitioner's reports of serious financial crime at Travelland RV—previously characterized by Respondents as "delusional"—were accurate. It validates the core factual allegations underlying

*Exh C-57*



the ethics grievances (ISB Cases 25-169a, 25-168a, 25-187a), which are the subject of the pending mandamus petition. The Idaho State Bar's effort to dismiss these now-validated complaints raises profound questions of obstruction and bad faith.

3. **Purpose of Notice.** This evidence is material, was not previously available, and directly impacts the Court's assessment of the mandamus petition, particularly regarding the "systemic obstruction" and "appearance of impropriety" alleged within the state's disciplinary and justice apparatus.

Respectfully submitted this 4th day of February, 2026.

/s/ John Doe (2026-05) John Doe (2026-05), Petitioner Pro Se [Address and Contact Information on File with the Court]

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify that on February 4, 2026, I served a true and correct copy of this SUPPLEMENTAL NOTICE OF AUTHORITY upon all parties of record via U.S. Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested.

/s/ John Doe (2026-05)


*Eth C-58*

# Lewiston bookkeeper accused of embezzling

Di Ann R. Geagley, who pleaded not guilty to grand theft, has preliminary hearing scheduled for March 4



Dean Ferguson  
Reporter



A bookkeeper accused of embezzling more than half a million dollars from Lewiston's Travelland RV is scheduled for a preliminary hearing next month. Nearly half of that money allegedly went to online gambling debts.

Di Ann R. Geagley, 63, of Lewiston, pleaded not guilty to grand theft, a felony, in October. On Monday, Nez Perce County Judge Kelley Porter scheduled Geagley's preliminary hearing for March 4.

Between 2021 and 2025 while employed as bookkeeper for the RV sales business, Geagley allegedly embezzled \$554,000. A forensic audit allegedly revealed that she took the money with an unauthorized salary bump, by cutting 68 extra paychecks over three years, by taking cash, and by misusing company credit cards, according to court documents.



Eth C-59

Most of \$233,000 in unauthorized charges to company credit cards went to online casinos, according to court documents.

Geagley told Lewiston police detectives that her online "Google Pay" wallet had mistakenly charged company credit cards for her personal gambling debts.

"She said it was a mistake because the business credit card was in her Google Pay wallet and when her personal credit cards were no longer good, the online casinos would charge the next card in Google Wallet," according to court documents.

While maintaining her innocence, Geagley told detectives she has a "gambling addiction," according to detectives.

*Ferguson can be reached at [dferguson@lmtribune.com](mailto:dferguson@lmtribune.com).*



*Exh C-60*

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO**

|                     |   |                                       |
|---------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| John Doe (2026-05), | ) |                                       |
| Petitioner, Pro Se  | ) | Supreme Court Docket No. 53669-2026   |
| v.                  | ) |                                       |
| Jane Doe, Attorney, | ) | MOTION FOR EMERGENCY STAY Respondent. |
|                     | ) | AND SUPPORTING MEMORANDUM             |

**TO THE HONORABLE CHIEF JUSTICE AND ASSOCIATE JUSTICES OF THE  
IDAHO SUPREME COURT:**

COMES NOW Petitioner, John Doe (2026-05), pro se, and respectfully moves this Court, pursuant to its inherent authority and supervisory power over the Idaho State Bar, for an emergency stay of all proceedings in Idaho State Bar Cases 25-169a, 25-168a, and 25-187a. In support of this Motion, Petitioner states as follows:

**MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

I. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT This Motion arises from newly discovered, judicially confirmed evidence that validates Petitioner's status as a whistleblower to serious criminal activity and exposes a pattern of systemic retaliation and bad faith by the Respondents and associated state actors. The indictment of Di Ann R. Geagley for embezzling \$554,000 from Travelland RV (Exhibit 1) proves the factual basis of Petitioner's underlying grievances, which the Idaho State Bar is poised to dismiss. Allowing the Bar to proceed with dismissing complaints founded on now-proven felonies, while allegations of high-level retaliatory conduct involving the Attorney General's office surface, would cause immediate and irreparable harm to the integrity of these proceedings, the administration of justice, and Petitioner's rights.

II. STATEMENT OF FACTS Petitioner is the grievant in three pending Idaho State Bar cases (25-169a, 25-168a, 25-187a) stemming from his reports of criminal

*Eth C-61*

embezzlement at Travelland RV and associated public corruption. The Bar has moved to dismiss these grievances. On February 3, 2026, the Lewiston Tribune reported that Travelland RV's bookkeeper, Di Ann R. Geagley, was criminally charged with Grand Theft for embezzling \$554,000 from the business between 2021 and 2025, with \$233,000 directed to online gambling. A forensic audit uncovered the scheme. A preliminary hearing is set for March 4, 2026, in Nez Perce County. This evidence was unavailable during the Bar's initial proceedings.

Further, based on information and belief, Petitioner alleges that the Idaho Attorney General's office provided legal guidance to a state representative authorizing the retaliatory blockage of Petitioner on social media after he reported police bribery, suggesting a coordinated effort to suppress his whistleblowing.

### III. ARGUMENT

A. Petitioner is Likely to Succeed on the Merits of the Underlying Mandamus Petition. The newly discovered evidence fundamentally alters the landscape. The criminal indictment conclusively proves Petitioner's core allegations were not "delusional," but accurate reports of felony conduct. The Bar's effort to dismiss these grievances now appears as active obstruction of a legitimate whistleblower complaint. The alleged involvement of the Attorney General's office in retaliatory censorship supports the mandamus petition's claim of "systemic obstruction" and an "appearance of impropriety" within the state's justice apparatus. This evidence strongly suggests the Bar proceedings are being used in bad faith to sanction Petitioner for reporting verified crime.

B. Petitioner Will Suffer Irreparable Harm Absent an Emergency Stay. If the Idaho State Bar is allowed to finalize the dismissal of Petitioner's grievances before this Court can consider the impact of this conclusive new evidence, the harm will be irreparable. Petitioner will be permanently sanctioned (via dismissal) for reporting crimes that the judicial system has now confirmed are real. This would ratify a profound miscarriage of

*Eth C-62*



justice, chill whistleblowing activity, and destroy public confidence in the Bar's disciplinary function. The harm of a wrongful disciplinary dismissal based on false premises cannot be undone on appeal.

C. The Balance of Hardships Weighs Sharply in Petitioner's Favor. The hardship to Petitioner—the finalization of a retaliatory bar dismissal—is severe and permanent. The hardship to the Respondents is a minimal, temporary delay in bar proceedings to allow this Court to assess evidence of felony crimes and potential high-level corruption that directly contextualizes those proceedings. The public's interest in a legitimate, untainted disciplinary process far outweighs any administrative interest in haste.

D. An Emergency Stay Serves the Public Interest. The public has an overwhelming interest in ensuring its disciplinary bodies are not weaponized against whistleblowers who report serious crime. It has an interest in the appearance and reality of a justice system free from corruption and retaliation. Granting a stay to investigate evidence of a coordinated effort to suppress reports of embezzlement and bribery, involving a prosecutor and potentially the Attorney General, is essential to preserving public trust.

IV. CONCLUSION AND RELIEF REQUESTED For the foregoing reasons, Petitioner respectfully requests this Court immediately issue an Order granting an Emergency Stay of all proceedings before the Idaho State Bar in Cases 25-169a, 25-168a, and 25-187a, pending this Court's full review of the pending Petition for Writ of Mandamus and this new evidence.

Respectfully submitted this 4th day of February, 2026.

/s/ John Doe (2026-05) John Doe (2026-05), Petitioner Pro Se [Address and Contact Information on File with the Court]

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify that on February 4, 2026, I served a true and correct copy of this MOTION FOR EMERGENCY STAY AND SUPPORTING

*Eth C-63*

MEMORANDUM upon all parties of record via U.S. Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested.

/s/ John Doe (2026-05)

*Eth C-64*

# Opportunities Unlimited Incorporated

Lewiston, ID • Tax-exempt since Feb. 1975 • EIN: 82-0310587

[Subscribe](#)

Receive an email when new data is available for this organization.

## Type of Nonprofit


Designated as a 501(c)(3)

**Category:** Employment, Job-Related / Sheltered Remunerative Employment, Work Activity Center N.E.C. ([NTEE](#))

Donations to this organization are tax deductible.


### Revenue

**\$4.48M** (2024)




### Expenses

**\$4.65M** (2024)




### Total Assets

**\$2.77M** (2024)



### Total Liabilities

**\$937k** (2024)



Source: Form 990 tax filings from 2012 to 2024

## Tax Filings by Year

**Form 990** is an information return that most organizations claiming federal tax-exempt status must file yearly with the IRS. [Show more](#)

See an issue with the data?

[Contact Us](#)

Fiscal Year Ending  
June

**2024**

**Revenue**

**\$4,482,590**

Expenses

Net Income

Net Assets

**990**

Filed on May 15, 2025

Primary tax return for a nonprofit's activities, finances, and governance

EA C-65

**\$4,652,620**

**-\$170,030**

**\$1,836,146**

[View Filing](#)

[+ View More Financial Data](#)

[Schedules ▼](#)

[XML](#)

### Compensation

| Key<br>Employees<br>and Officers  | Compensation | Related | Other |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|---------|-------|
| Loretta<br>Stowers<br>(Secretary) | \$0          | \$0     | \$0   |
| David<br>Gittins<br>(Director)    | \$0          | \$0     | \$0   |
| John<br>Kirschman<br>(Director)   | \$0          | \$0     | \$0   |

[+ View more people](#)

Fiscal Year Ending  
June

**2023**

**Revenue**

**\$5,235,934**

Expenses

**\$5,082,604**

Net Income

**\$153,330**

Net Assets

**\$2,006,176**

[+ View More Financial Data](#)

**990**

Filed on May 15, 2024  
Primary tax return for a nonprofit's  
activities, finances, and governance

[View Filing](#)

[Schedules ▼](#)

[XML](#)

### Compensation

| Key<br>Employees<br>and Officers | Compensation | Related | Other |
|----------------------------------|--------------|---------|-------|
| Tiffany Faul<br>(Director)       | \$0          | \$0     | \$0   |
| John<br>Kirschman<br>(Director)  | \$0          | \$0     | \$0   |
| John<br>Vaage<br>(Director)      | \$0          | \$0     | \$0   |

[+ View more people](#)

Fiscal Year Ending  
June

**2022**

**Revenue**

**\$4,655,855**

Expenses

**\$4,449,541**

Net Income

**\$206,314**

Net Assets

**\$1,852,846**

[+ View More Financial Data](#)

**990**

Filed on May 15, 2023  
Primary tax return for a nonprofit's  
activities, finances, and governance

[View Filing](#)

[Schedules ▼](#)

[PDF](#)

[XML](#)

EA C-66

### Compensation

| Key Employees and Officers | Compensation | Related | Other |
|----------------------------|--------------|---------|-------|
| Hanna Liedkie (President)  | \$89,120     | \$0     | \$0   |
| Tiffany Faul (Director)    | \$0          | \$0     | \$0   |
| John Kirschman (Director)  | \$0          | \$0     | \$0   |

[+ View more people](#)

Fiscal Year Ending  
June

**2021**

**Revenue** **\$5,762,725**

Expenses  
**\$4,389,722**

Net Income  
**\$1,373,003**

Net Assets  
**\$1,644,365**

[+ View More Financial Data](#)

**990**

Filed on May 16, 2022  
Primary tax return for a nonprofit's  
activities, finances, and governance

[View Filing](#)

[Schedules ▼](#)

[PDF](#)

[XML](#)

### Compensation

| Key Employees and Officers | Compensation | Related | Other |
|----------------------------|--------------|---------|-------|
| Hanna Liedkie (President)  | \$89,120     | \$0     | \$0   |
| David Gittins (Director)   | \$0          | \$0     | \$0   |
| Tiffany Faul (Director)    | \$0          | \$0     | \$0   |

[+ View more people](#)

Fiscal Year Ending  
June

**2020**

**Revenue** **\$3,497,143**

Expenses  
**\$3,590,613**

Net Income  
**-\$93,470**

Net Assets  
**\$317,617**

[+ View More Financial Data](#)

**990**

Filed on March 19, 2021  
Primary tax return for a nonprofit's  
activities, finances, and governance

[View Filing](#)

[Schedules ▼](#)

[PDF](#)

[XML](#)

### Compensation

*E-H C-67*



| Key Employees and Officers | Compensation | Related | Other |
|----------------------------|--------------|---------|-------|
| Chris Moore (Director)     | \$0          | \$0     | \$0   |
| Tiffany Faul (Director)    | \$0          | \$0     | \$0   |
| John Kirschman (Director)  | \$0          | \$0     | \$0   |

[+ View more people](#)

Fiscal Year Ending June

**2019**

|                    |                    |                  |
|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| <b>Revenue</b>     | <b>\$3,478,814</b> |                  |
| Expenses           | Net Income         | Net Assets       |
| <b>\$3,601,212</b> | <b>-\$122,398</b>  | <b>\$411,087</b> |

[+ View More Financial Data](#)

**990**

Filed on April 3, 2020  
Primary tax return for a nonprofit's activities, finances, and governance

[View Filing](#)

[Schedules ▼](#)

[PDF](#)

[XML](#)

#### Compensation

| Key Employees and Officers | Compensation | Related | Other |
|----------------------------|--------------|---------|-------|
| Chris Moore (Past Chair)   | \$0          | \$0     | \$0   |
| John Kirschman (Secretary) | \$0          | \$0     | \$0   |
| John Currin (Treasurer)    | \$0          | \$0     | \$0   |

[+ View more people](#)

Fiscal Year Ending June

**2018**

|                    |                    |                  |
|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| <b>Revenue</b>     | <b>\$3,306,672</b> |                  |
| Expenses           | Net Income         | Net Assets       |
| <b>\$3,245,775</b> | <b>\$60,897</b>    | <b>\$533,485</b> |

[+ View More Financial Data](#)

**990**

Filed on Dec. 15, 2018  
Primary tax return for a nonprofit's activities, finances, and governance

[View Filing](#)

[Schedules ▼](#)

[PDF](#)

[XML](#)

#### Compensation

*Ex C-68*

## NONPROFIT CORPORATION ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION

Pursuant to §24.03A RCW of the laws of State of Washington, the undersigned majority of whom are citizens of the United States, do hereby submit these Articles of Incorporation for the purpose of forming a nonprofit corporation.

### ARTICLE 1

#### Name

The name of the corporation is: Apple Payee

### ARTICLE 2

The corporation shall have perpetual existence.

### ARTICLE 3

#### Effective Date

The effective date of incorporation shall be: January 10, 2023

### ARTICLE 4

#### Members

The corporation will not have members

### ARTICLE 5

#### Type of non profit corporation

The corporation is not for profit and a Public Benefit Corporation.

ETH C-69

ARTICLE 6  
Registered Agent and Office

The street address of the initial registered office of the corporation is:

808 8<sup>th</sup> St  
Clarkston, WA 99403

The name of the initial registered agent is:

David A Keene of Apple Payee

I consent to serve as registered agent in the State of Washington for the above stated corporation at the place designated in this certificate. I am familiar with and voluntarily accept the appointment as registered agent and consent to act in this capacity. I understand it will be my responsibility to accept service of process on behalf of the corporation; to forward mail to the corporation; and to immediately notify the office of the Secretary of State if I resign or change the registered office address.

David Keene  
Signature of Registered Agent

Tuesday, January 24, 2023

ARTICLE 7  
Principal Office

The corporation has a principal office. The street address of the principal office is:

808 8<sup>th</sup> St  
Clarkston WA 99403  
Asotin

ARTICLE 8  
Mailing Address  
808 8<sup>th</sup> St  
Clarkston WA 99403  
Asotin

ARTICLE 9  
Directors

The directors will be elected, maintained, and appointed in accordance with the corporation's bylaws. The corporation's initial directors are as follows:

David A Keene 808 8<sup>th</sup> St Clarkston, WA 99403  
Hannah Liedkie 2915 Meadowlark Drive Lewiston, ID 83501  
Joe Arellano 1053 Ripon Ave Lewiston, ID 83501

Eh C-70

**RESTATED AND AMENDED  
ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION  
Of  
OPPORTUNITIES UNLIMITED, INC.**

|                                                                                                        |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <i>For Office Use Only</i><br><b>-FILED-</b><br>File #: 0006255019<br>Date Filed: 5/8/2025 10:48:00 AM |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

KNOWN ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS:

That we, whose names are hereunto subscribed, all of whom are citizens of the United States of America and bona fide residents of the State of Idaho, and each of us being of full legal age, do under and pursuant to the **Idaho Nonprofit Corporation Act, Title 30, Chapter 30, Idaho**, associate ourselves together for the purpose of becoming a nonprofit corporation in the State of Idaho, and do now declare these as the articles of incorporation of OPPORTUNITIES UNLIMITED, INC., a corporation.

**ARTICLE I**

The name of this corporation shall be OPPORTUNITIES UNLIMITED, INC.

**ARTICLE II**

The purposes for which this corporation is formed are:

1. To provide vocational services, including but not limited to: work evaluations, job search, job placement, and long term supports;
2. To provide pre-vocational services through our work skills training center and other community settings;
3. To provide services under payers such as Idaho Department of Health and Welfare, Idaho Division of Vocational Rehabilitation, Idaho's Extended Employment Services, and Washington's equivalent payers when contracted;
4. To provide Home and Community Based Services (HCBS) as prescribed in Federal and State rules and/or regulations;
5. To provide personal care services through payer sources such as Idaho Department of Health and Welfare, the Veteran's Administration and private insurance;





*Eh C-71*

B1003-3935 05/08/2025 10:48 AM Received by Office of the Idaho Secretary of State

## ARTICLE X

The corporation reserves the right to restate, amend, add or repeal any provision contained in the Restated and Amended Articles of Incorporation in a manner consistent with existing law.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the individual members of the Board of Directors at a meeting on January 28, 2025, have hereunto adopted these Restated and Amended Articles of Incorporation effective this date and have hereunto set their hands hereto this 25<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2025.

|                                                                                     |                                                                                    |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|    |  |
|    |                                                                                    |
|    |                                                                                    |
|   |                                                                                    |
|  |                                                                                    |
|  |                                                                                    |



B1003-3939 05/08/2025 10:48 AM Received by Office of the Idaho Secretary of State

**CERTIFICATE PURSUANT TO IDAHO CODE SECTION 30-30-706(8)**


The undersigned, by the Chairperson and Secretary of the Board of Directors of Opportunities Unlimited, Inc. hereby certify that the foregoing Restated and Amended Articles of Incorporation do not require the approval of any member or other person other than the Board of Directors, as there are no members of said corporation or no other persons whose approval is required. The Board of Directors adopted said Restated Articles of Incorporation on January 28, 2025.

Loretta M Stowers  
Loretta Stowers, Chairperson

Rebecca Sarmiento  
Rebecca Sarmiento, Secretary

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 3<sup>rd</sup> day of March, 2025.

Cynthia M Slaybaugh  
Notary Public in and for the State of Idaho  
Commission Expires: 04/20/2026



Ex C-73

Check if Schedule O contains a response or note to any line in this Part VII ☐

**1a** Complete this table for all persons required to be listed. Report compensation for the calendar year ending with or within the organization's tax year.

- ☐ Check this box if neither the organization nor any related organization compensated any current officer, director, or trustee.

KH C-74

**Part III****Support Schedule for Organizations Described in Section 509(a)(2)**

(Complete only if you checked the box on line 10 of Part I or if the organization failed to qualify under Part II. If the organization fails to qualify under the tests listed below, please complete Part II.)

**Section A. Public Support**

| Calendar year<br>(or fiscal year beginning in) ▶                                                                                                                                  | (a) 2016  | (b) 2017  | (c) 2018  | (d) 2019  | (e) 2020  | (f) Total  |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| <b>1</b> Gifts, grants, contributions, and membership fees received. (Do not include any "unusual grants.")                                                                       | 20,499    | 49,555    | 38,789    | 67,543    | 782,166   | 958,552    |
| <b>2</b> Gross receipts from admissions, merchandise sold or services performed, or facilities furnished in any activity that is related to the organization's tax-exempt purpose | 2,736,417 | 3,247,333 | 3,446,495 | 3,427,235 | 3,821,950 | 16,679,430 |
| <b>3</b> Gross receipts from activities that are not an unrelated trade or business under section 513                                                                             |           |           |           |           |           | 0          |
| <b>4</b> Tax revenues levied for the organization's benefit and either paid to or expended on its behalf                                                                          |           |           |           |           |           | 0          |
| <b>5</b> The value of services or facilities furnished by a governmental unit to the organization without charge                                                                  |           |           |           |           |           | 0          |
| <b>6 Total.</b> Add lines 1 through 5                                                                                                                                             | 2,756,916 | 3,296,888 | 3,485,284 | 3,494,778 | 4,604,116 | 17,637,982 |
| <b>7a</b> Amounts included on lines 1, 2, and 3 received from disqualified persons                                                                                                |           |           |           |           |           | 0          |
| <b>b</b> Amounts included on lines 2 and 3 received from other than disqualified persons that exceed the greater of \$5,000 or 1% of the amount on line 13 for the year.          |           |           |           |           |           | 0          |
| <b>c</b> Add lines 7a and 7b.                                                                                                                                                     |           |           |           |           |           |            |
| <b>8 Public support.</b> (Subtract line 7c from line 6.)                                                                                                                          |           |           |           |           |           | 17,637,982 |

**Section B. Total Support**

| Calendar year<br>(or fiscal year beginning in) ▶                                                                                          | (a) 2016  | (b) 2017  | (c) 2018  | (d) 2019  | (e) 2020  | (f) Total  |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| <b>9</b> Amounts from line 6.                                                                                                             | 2,756,916 | 3,296,888 | 3,485,284 | 3,494,778 | 4,604,116 | 17,637,982 |
| <b>10a</b> Gross income from interest, dividends, payments received on securities loans, rents, royalties and income from similar sources | 92        | 482       | 953       | 1,796     | 1,565     | 4,888      |
| <b>b</b> Unrelated business taxable income (less section 511 taxes) from businesses acquired after June 30, 1975.                         |           |           |           |           |           | 0          |
| <b>c</b> Add lines 10a and 10b.                                                                                                           | 92        | 482       | 953       | 1,796     | 1,565     | 4,888      |
| <b>11</b> Net income from unrelated business activities not included in line 10b, whether or not the business is regularly carried on.    |           |           |           |           |           | 0          |
| <b>12</b> Other income. Do not include gain or loss from the sale of capital assets (Explain in Part VI.)                                 | 2,316     | 9,302     | 2,522     | 1,762     | 1,157,044 | 1,172,946  |
| <b>13 Total support.</b> (Add lines 9, 10c, 11, and 12.)                                                                                  | 2,759,324 | 3,306,672 | 3,488,759 | 3,498,336 | 5,762,725 | 18,815,816 |

**14 First 5 years.** If the Form 990 is for the organization's first, second, third, fourth, or fifth tax year as a section 501(c)(3) organization, check this box and stop here. ☐

**Section C. Computation of Public Support Percentage**

|                                                                                                  |           |          |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|----------|
| <b>15</b> Public support percentage for 2020 (line 8, column (f) divided by line 13, column (f)) | <b>15</b> | 93.740 % |
| <b>16</b> Public support percentage from 2019 Schedule A, Part III, line 15                      | <b>16</b> | 99.520 % |

**Section D. Computation of Investment Income Percentage**

|                                                                                                       |           |         |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|---------|
| <b>17</b> Investment income percentage for 2020 (line 10c, column (f) divided by line 13, column (f)) | <b>17</b> | 0.030 % |
| <b>18</b> Investment income percentage from 2019 Schedule A, Part III, line 17                        | <b>18</b> | 0.020 % |

**19a 33 1/3% support tests—2020.** If the organization did not check the box on line 14, and line 15 is more than 33 1/3%, and line 17 is not more than 33 1/3%, check this box and stop here. The organization qualifies as a publicly supported organization. ☒

**b 33 1/3% support tests—2019.** If the organization did not check a box on line 14 or line 19a, and line 16 is more than 33 1/3% and line 18 is not more than 33 1/3%, check this box and stop here. The organization qualifies as a publicly supported organization. ☐

**20 Private foundation.** If the organization did not check a box on line 14, 19a, or 19b, check this box and see instructions. ☐

F-H 1575



## Public records request

24 messages

Elizabeth Bowen <EBowen@lso.idaho.gov>

To: coreyswitzer@gmail.com <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>

Cc: Public Records Requests <PRR@lso.idaho.gov>

Wed, Dec

Good evening Mr. Switzer,

Thank you for your public records request. A search was completed using the search terms you provided for communications between Representative Brandon Mitchell and Attorney Labrador, Nick Woods, or Dan Johnson. There are no responsive records to your request.

You also requested a Facebook message thread between yourself and Rep. Mitchell. That thread is below.



**Elizabeth Bowen**

Legal Counsel

Idaho Legislative Services Office

(208) 334-4851

Idaho State Capitol, Room W123

[www.legislature.idaho.gov](http://www.legislature.idaho.gov)

Eth C-76

8:06

LTE



Corey Switzer

Active now



Corey Switzer

You're not friends on Facebook

View profile

 End-to-end encrypted

Messages and calls are secured with end-to-end encryption. [Learn more](#)

NOV 5 AT 10:12 AM

You must realize that you have violated  
Lindke v Freed.

You shall be promptly hearing from  
my lawyers.



*Exh. C-77*



You can now message and call each other and see info like active status and when you have read messages

Actually you violated my social media policy. Give me your number and we can talk. I want to give you some advice

Advice


Your specific social media policy doesn't supercede the SCOTUS ruling.



Aa



Exh C-78



Eth C-79

BEFORE THE PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT BOARD OF THE IDAHO STATE BAR

In the Matter of:                    ) JUSTIN J. COLEMAN,  
                                              ) ISB Case No.: 25-169a Respondent.  
                                              )

EMERGENCY MOTION TO REOPEN RECORD, FOR SANCTIONS, AND FOR IMMEDIATE  
DISMISSAL OF ALL CHARGES AGAINST COMPLAINANT

TO: The Idaho State Bar Professional Conduct Board Hearing Committee for Case No.  
25-169a, Joseph Pirtle, Bar Counsel

FROM: Corey M. Switzer, Pro Se Complainant

DATE: February 3, 2026

I. INTRODUCTION & URGENT REQUEST

Complainant moves this Committee on an emergency basis to:

1. REOPEN the record in this matter to include newly discovered, conclusive evidence.
2. IMPOSE SANCTIONS against Respondent Justin Coleman for material misrepresentations to this Committee.
3. DISMISS WITH PREJUDICE all ethical charges against Complainant, as his allegations have now been judicially validated.
4. REFER this matter to the Idaho Supreme Court with a recommendation for Respondent Coleman's immediate suspension.

The basis is a published judicial proceeding confirming that Complainant's reports of criminal activity at Travelland RV—which Respondent dismissed as "delusional"—were factually accurate.

II. NEWLY DISCOVERED EVIDENCE

Attached as Exhibit A is the February 3rd, 2026 Lewiston Tribune article "Lewiston bookkeeper accused of embezzling." It establishes:

- Di Ann R. Geagley, Travelland RV bookkeeper, charged with GRAND THEFT.
- \$554,000 embezzled between 2021-2025.
- \$233,000 funneled to online gambling.
- Forensic audit uncovered the scheme.

This evidence was unavailable during hearing. It is material, non-cumulative, and would change the outcome.

*Exh C-80*

### III. RESPONDENT'S MATERIAL MISREPRESENTATIONS

Respondent Coleman's sworn statements to this Committee now stand as demonstrable falsehoods:

1. **"No evidence, let alone clear and convincing evidence, that any claims of wrongdoing are true."** (Coleman Response, p.1) *FALSE*. A \$554,000 felony indictment constitutes clear, convincing, and judicially-recognized evidence.
2. **"Mr. Switzer is delusional and is not a truthful or credible witness."** (Coleman Response, p.3) *FALSE*. Complainant's core allegation of financial crime at Travelland RV is now a matter of judicial record.
3. **"We have not received any reports or requests for charges on these complaints from any agency."** (Coleman Response, p.3) *FALSE*. The forensic audit and criminal charges demonstrate agencies were investigating the very crimes Complainant reported.

### IV. ETHICAL VIOLATIONS CONFIRMED

This evidence confirms Complainant's alleged violations:

- A. **IRPC 5.1 (Failure to Supervise)**: Respondent's office failed to detect or act on a \$554,000 felony occurring for 4 years in his jurisdiction, despite Complainant's reports.
- B. **IRPC 8.4(c) (Dishonesty, Fraud, Deceit)**: Respondent's sworn statements to this Committee about the lack of evidence were materially false.
- C. **IRPC 8.4(d) (Conduct Prejudicial to Administration of Justice)**: Using bar proceedings to sanction a whistleblower who reported provable felonies is the epitome of prejudicial conduct.

### V. PREJUDICE TO COMPLAINANT & INTEGRITY OF PROCEEDINGS

1. Complainant has been subjected to a disciplinary process for reporting crimes that the judicial system now confirms were real.
2. Respondent's credibility is irreparably destroyed. His testimony cannot be credited.
3. The Committee's continued adjudication of Complainant's ethics, while ignoring this evidence, would constitute complicity in the retaliation.

### VI. REQUESTED RELIEF

1. **Reopen Record**: Immediately admit Exhibit A into the record.

*Eth CSB*



2. **Judicial Notice:** Take official notice of the criminal proceedings against Di Ann R. Geagley.
3. **Sanctions:** Issue an order finding Respondent Coleman violated IRPC 3.3 (Candor to Tribunal) and 8.4(c), and impose appropriate sanctions.
4. **Dismissal:** Dismiss all charges against Complainant with prejudice, with a finding that his complaints were made in good faith and were substantively accurate.
5. **Referral:** Refer this matter to the Idaho Supreme Court with a recommendation for: a) Respondent Coleman's interim suspension. b) Appointment of a special prosecutor to investigate Coleman's handling of Travelland RV matters. c) Federal referral for investigation of obstruction.
6. **Stay:** Stay all related bar proceedings (25-168a, 25-187a) pending Supreme Court review.

## VII. CONCLUSION

The nightmare scenario has materialized: a prosecutor used the disciplinary system to attack a citizen who reported actual felonies. This Committee now has proof that Complainant was right. To continue this proceeding would be to participate in retaliation against a validated whistleblower.

The only ethical path forward is to dismiss Complainant, sanction Respondent, and refer this corruption to the highest authority.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Corey M. Switzer  
Corey M. Switzer,  
Pro Se Complainant  
264 Kernodle Rd  
Gibsonville, NC 27249  
[coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com)  
218-461-9868

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on February 4, 2026, I served this Motion upon the Idaho State Bar, Bar Counsel, Hearing Committee, and Respondent Coleman via electronic mail.

/s/ Corey M. Switzer

*Eth C-82*



EXHIBIT A: "Lewiston bookkeeper accused of embezzling," Lewiston Tribune, January 30, 2026.

*Ex C-83*



Corey Switzer &lt;coreyswitzer@gmail.com&gt;

## February RICO Correspondence

24 messages

Corey Switzer &lt;coreyswitzer@gmail.com&gt;

Mon, Feb 2, 2026 at 1:51 PM

To: Jessica Kline <jkline@isb.idaho.gov>, Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>, Lori Ostertag <lostertag@isb.idaho.gov>, Judahl Luke7@gmail.com, Lisa Webber <lwebber@asotincountywa.gov>, hliedkie@co.nezperce.id.us, Trae Turner <trae@clarkandfeeney.com>, jgish@co.nezperce.id.us, dhavens@co.nezperce.id.us, thirteentwelveforever@proton.me, info@southdakotatruth.com, 08mad10@gmail.com, city@lmtribune.com, Civil.Fraud@usdoj.gov, justin rodriguez <jrodspeaks@gmail.com>, idahoauditors@gmail.com, tips@nbcuni.com, tips@inlander.com, news@theregister.com, news@kpbx.org, Newsdesk@krem.com, newsroom@idahopress.com, news@bigcountry977.com, News4@kxly.com, Ada Eldridge <Adaeldridge@hotmail.com>, brennonleaffy@gmail.com, "SUEANNSMITH32@YAHOO.COM" <sueannsmith32@yahoo.com>, Imccann@house.idaho.gov, nancececcarelli@co.nezperce.id.us, "Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us" <Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us>, Monte Schmidt <monte.schmidt@itd.idaho.gov>, jklein@cityofflewiston.org, Brian.Donovan@usdoj.gov, Representative Brandon Mitchell <bmitchell@house.idaho.gov>, bryces@co.nezperce.id.us, ISPPPIO@isp.idaho.gov, Brooke Burns <bburns@co.asotin.wa.us>, Stephanie Cuddihy <StephanieC@co.nezperce.id.us>, LPD Dispatch <dispatch@cityofflewiston.org>, Jason Kuzik <jkuzik@cityofflewiston.org>, Amy Ledgerwood <AmyLedgerwood@co.nezperce.id.us>, Constituent Mailbox <AGLabrador@ag.idaho.gov>, AGO Ombuds <AGOombuds@atg.wa.gov>, tsparks@clarkstonpolice.org, "AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us" <AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us>, Dan Johnson <danjohnson@cityofflewiston.org>, Patty Weeks <PattyWeeks@co.nezperce.id.us>, Brian Shinn <bshinn@asotincountywa.gov>, Mariel Gates <Mariel.Gates@tax.idaho.gov>, Chris Seubert <cseubert@asotincountywa.gov>, Justin Coleman <JustinColeman@co.nezperce.id.us>, Charles Whitman <cwhitman@asotincountywa.gov>, Curt Liedkie <cliedkie@asotincountywa.gov>, Intake <intake@wsba.org>, Amanda Daylong <ADaylong@nwtrialattorneys.com>, apearce@nwtrialattorneys.com, Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>, legal@libertasfunding.com, Investigations Info Requests <InvestigationsInfoRequests@isp.idaho.gov>, dmckay@asotincountywa.gov, rlynch@asotincountywa.gov, Public Disclosure <PDisclosure@asotincountywa.gov>, Stacey Harman <SHarman@asotincountywa.gov>, "usdemsocialists@gmail.com" <usdemsocialists@gmail.com>, "objectionoverruled2@gmail.com" <objectionoverruled2@gmail.com>, "whistleblower@startribune.com" <whistleblower@startribune.com>, Kevin Munstermann <kevin@travelland-rv.com>, "Tricia.McLaughlin@hq.dhs.gov" <Tricia.McLaughlin@hq.dhs.gov>, "doswald@idahostatesman.com" <doswald@idahostatesman.com>

February 2nd, 2026

Mr. Pirtle,  
Mrs. Ostertag,

Please see attached Legal Analysis re: Switzer v Coleman

Respectfully,  
Mr. Corey M Switzer

On Tue, Jan 20, 2026, 5:33 PM Corey Switzer &lt;coreyswitzer@gmail.com&gt; wrote:

Subject: Unified Narrative Summary: Switzer v. Idaho/Washington Legal Officials – Allegations of Systemic Corruption, Retaliation & Obstruction

To: [Relevant Parties, Media, Oversight Bodies]  
From: Corey M. Switzer  
Date: January 20, 2026

The following is a consolidated chronological narrative of events, allegations, and procedural conflicts detailed across three phases of correspondence. This summary is intended to provide a clear, unified overview of the core issues, which involve multi-jurisdiction allegations of misconduct, retaliatory acts, and systemic obstruction.

I use "Switzer", "I", "myself" and "he" interchangeably to represent myself.

---

### PART 1: FOUNDATION – INITIAL GRIEVANCES & IDAHO STATE BAR PROCEEDINGS

*Eth C-84*

## PARALLEL NARRATIVE: WASHINGTON STATE (ASOTIN COUNTY) FRONT

Simultaneously, Switzer is engaged in a fierce dispute with Asotin County, Washington:

- Allegation: The county is unlawfully use public funds to defend Judge Brooke Burns in a judicial conduct case because of the familial relationship between Commissioner Shinn and Burns, Curtis Liedkie and Hannah Liedkie.
- Actions Taken: Formal complaint filed with the Washington State Auditor's Office (SAO Hotline H-25-795); multiple Public Records Act requests regarding Curtis Liedkie and Lisa Webber representing Judge Brooke Burns before the Washington State Supreme Court.
- Escalation: After missed deadlines, I announced intent to file state PRA lawsuits and a federal § 1983 civil rights lawsuit against Judge Burns for retaliatory social media blocking, in addition to the withheld Records of the same nature.

---

## KEY THEMES &amp; CURRENT STATUS

- Evolution of Claims: From specific ethics complaints to allegations of a racketeering-style conspiracy involving attorneys, law enforcement, elected officials, and Bar administrators.
- Central Conflict: I am a whistleblower facing a unified system designed to protect its own. Oversight bodies (ISB, county governments) are rightfully being accused of being structurally incapable of impartial self-regulation.
- Tactics: Mass transparency, procedural aggression, and evidence bundling are used to force consolidated, high-level review.
- Immediate Impasse (as of Jan 20, 2026): The ISB has not ruled on my's renewed motions for stay, my motion for a mistrial, my renewed motions for consolidation, or my repeated requests for federal referral. I'm offering a conditional refusal to participate which creates a procedural logjam. The next steps depend on whether the ISB addresses its own demonstrable misconduct or proceeds with the scheduled hearings in an attempt to rubber stamp it and move forward.

---

This unified narrative illustrates my allegations of local corruption escalating into a multi-jurisdictional crisis of confidence in legal and ethical oversight, with the whistleblower now demanding federal intervention to address what I safely characterize as state-level ethical failures masking federal crimes.

Respectfully submitted,  
And seeking transparency in Justice

Corey M. Switzer

## 2 attachments

 Legal Analysis- Application of Idaho Ethics Opinion 137 to Switzer v.pdf  
58K

 Legal Analysis- Application of Idaho Ethics Opinion 137 to Switzer v.pdf  
58K

Corey Switzer <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>

Tue, Feb 3, 2026 at 1:28 PM

To: Jessica Kline <jkline@isb.idaho.gov>, Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>, Lori Ostertag <lostertag@isb.idaho.gov>, Judahluke7@gmail.com, Lisa Webber <lwebber@asotincountywa.gov>, hliedkie@co.nezperce.id.us, Trae Turner <trae@clarkandfeeney.com>, jgish@co.nezperce.id.us, dhavens@co.nezperce.id.us, thirteentwelforever@proton.me, info@southdakotatruth.com, 08mad10@gmail.com, city@lmtribune.com, Civil.Fraud@usdoj.gov, justin rodriguez <jrodspeaks@gmail.com>, idahoauditors@gmail.com, tips@nbcuni.com, tips@inlander.com, news@theregister.com, news@kpbx.org, Newsdesk@krem.com, newsroom@idahopress.com, news@bigcountry977.com, News4@kxly.com, Ada Eldridge <Adaeldridge@hotmail.com>, brennonleaffy@gmail.com, "SUEANNSMITH32@YAHOO.COM" <sueannsmith32@yahoo.com>, lmccann@house.idaho.gov, nancececcarelli@co.nezperce.id.us, "Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us" <Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us>, Monte Schmidt <monte.schmidt@itd.idaho.gov>, jklein@cityoflewiston.org, Brian.Donovan@usdoj.gov, Representative Brandon Mitchell <bmitchell@house.idaho.gov>, bryces@co.nezperce.id.us, ISPIO@isp.idaho.gov, Brooke Burns <bburns@co.asotin.wa.us>, Stephanie Cuddihy <StephanieC@co.nezperce.id.us>, LPD Dispatch <ldispatch@cityoflewiston.org>, Jason Kuzik <jkuzik@cityoflewiston.org>, Amy Ledgerwood <AmyLedgerwood@co.nezperce.id.us>, Constituent Mailbox <AGLabrador@ag.idaho.gov>, AGO Ombuds <AGOombuds@atg.wa.gov>, tsparks@clarkstonpolice.org, "AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us" <AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us>, Dan Johnson <danjohnson@cityoflewiston.org>, Patty Weeks <PattyWeeks@co.nezperce.id.us>, Brian Shinn <bshinn@asotincountywa.gov>, Mariel Gates <Mariel.Gates@tax.idaho.gov>, Chris Seubert

*Corey Switzer*



<cseubert@asotincountywa.gov>, Justin Coleman <JustinColeman@co.nezperce.id.us>, Charles Whitman <cwhitman@asotincountywa.gov>, Curt Liedkie <cliedkie@asotincountywa.gov>, Intake <intake@wsba.org>, Amanda Daylong <ADaylong@nwtrialattorneys.com>, apearance@nwtrialattorneys.com, Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>, legal@libertasfunding.com, Investigations Info Requests <InvestigationsInfoRequests@isp.idaho.gov>, dmckay@asotincountywa.gov, rlynch@asotincountywa.gov, Public Disclosure <PDisclosure@asotincountywa.gov>, Stacey Harman <SHarman@asotincountywa.gov>, "usdemsocialists@gmail.com" <usdemsocialists@gmail.com>, "objectionoverruled2@gmail.com" <objectionoverruled2@gmail.com>, "whistleblower@startribune.com" <whistleblower@startribune.com>, Kevin Munstermann <kevin@travelland-rv.com>, "Tricia.McLaughlin@hq.dhs.gov" <Tricia.McLaughlin@hq.dhs.gov>, "doswald@idahostatesman.com" <doswald@idahostatesman.com>

# \*\*EMAIL TO IDAHO STATE BAR\*\*

\*\*Subject:\*\* URGENT: NOTICE OF NEWLY DISCOVERED EVIDENCE AND EMERGENCY MOTIONS IN CASES 25-168a, 25-169a, 25-187a

\*\*To:\*\*

Joseph Pirtle, Bar Counsel <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>

Hearing Committee for Case 25-168a

Hearing Committee for Case 25-169a

Hearing Committee for Case 25-187a

\*\*Cc:\*\*

Justin Coleman <JustinColeman@co.nezperce.id.us>

April Smith <AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us>

Trae Turner <trae@clarkandfeeney.com>

\*\*Date:\*\* February 3, 2026

Dear Bar Counsel, Clerk Carlisle, and Members of the Hearing Committees:

I am writing on an emergency basis to provide formal notice of newly discovered, judicially confirmed evidence that fundamentally alters the factual landscape of the above-referenced disciplinary proceedings. This evidence conclusively validates the underlying allegations in my bar complaints and demonstrates material misrepresentations made to your committees.

**\*\*I. NEWLY DISCOVERED EVIDENCE\*\***

On January 30, 2026, the Lewiston Tribune published "Lewiston bookkeeper accused of embezzling," reporting that:

- Di Ann R. Geagley, bookkeeper for Travelland RV, has been charged with GRAND THEFT
- \$554,000 was embezzled between 2021-2025
- \$233,000 went to online gambling debts
- A forensic audit uncovered the scheme

This evidence directly corroborates my core allegations of financial crime at Travelland RV—allegations that Respondents have uniformly characterized as "delusional" and lacking "any evidence."

**\*\*II. ATTACHED MOTIONS\*\***

I have attached two formal motions for immediate consideration:

1. **\*\*For Case 25-169a (Coleman):\*\* EMERGENCY MOTION TO REOPEN RECORD, FOR SANCTIONS, AND FOR IMMEDIATE DISMISSAL OF ALL CHARGES AGAINST COMPLAINANT**
  - Demonstrates Respondent Coleman's material misrepresentations to the Committee
  - Requests sanctions and dismissal of all charges against me
  - Seeks referral to the Idaho Supreme Court
2. **\*\*For Cases 25-168a (Smith) & 25-187a (Turner):\*\* NOTICE OF JUDICIALLY CONFIRMED EVIDENCE VALIDATING COMPLAINANT'S ALLEGATIONS AND DEMONSTRATING SYSTEMIC OBSTRUCTION**
  - Provides formal notice of this evidence to all related proceedings
  - Requests stay, reopening of records, and dismissal consideration

**\*\*III. URGENT REQUEST\*\***

This evidence proves I have been subjected to disciplinary proceedings for reporting actual felonies. Continuing these

*Handwritten signature: K-H C-86*



<bshinn@asotincountywa.gov>, Mariel Gates <Mariel.Gates@tax.idaho.gov>, Chris Seubert <cseubert@asotincountywa.gov>, Justin Coleman <JustinColeman@co.nezperce.id.us>, Charles Whitman <cwhitman@asotincountywa.gov>, Curt Liedkie <cliedkie@asotincountywa.gov>, Intake <intake@wsba.org>, Amanda Daylong <ADaylong@nwtrialattorneys.com>, apearance@nwtrialattorneys.com, Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>, legal@libertasfunding.com, Investigations Info Requests <InvestigationsInfoRequests@isp.idaho.gov>, dmckay@asotincountywa.gov, rlynch@asotincountywa.gov, Public Disclosure <PDisclosure@asotincountywa.gov>, Stacey Harman <SHarman@asotincountywa.gov>, "usdemsocialists@gmail.com" <usdemsocialists@gmail.com>, "objectionoverruled2@gmail.com" <objectionoverruled2@gmail.com>, "whistleblower@startribune.com" <whistleblower@startribune.com>, Kevin Munstermann <kevin@travelland-rv.com>, "Tricia.McLaughlin@hq.dhs.gov" <Tricia.McLaughlin@hq.dhs.gov>, "doswald@idahostatesman.com" <doswald@idahostatesman.com>

Wow. No wonder why Troy Musterman threatened my life then ended up in front of my home extremely late a night with a guitar case and went and sat over the hill by my home. This explains a lot.

Yahoo Mail: Search, Organize, Conquer

[Quoted text hidden]

**Corey Switzer** <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>

Wed, Feb 4, 2026 at 9:23 AM

To: Jessica Kline <jkline@isb.idaho.gov>, Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>, Lori Ostertag <lostertag@isb.idaho.gov>, Judahluke7@gmail.com, Lisa Webber <lwebber@asotincountywa.gov>, hliedkie@co.nezperce.id.us, Trae Turner <trae@clarkandfeeney.com>, jgish@co.nezperce.id.us, dhavens@co.nezperce.id.us, thirteentwelveforever@proton.me, info@southdakotatruth.com, 08mad10@gmail.com, city@lmtribune.com, Civil.Fraud@usdoj.gov, justin rodriguez <jrodspeaks@gmail.com>, idahoauditors@gmail.com, tips@nbcuni.com, tips@inlander.com, news@theregister.com, news@kpbx.org, Newsdesk@krem.com, newsroom@idahopress.com, news@bigcountry977.com, News4@kxly.com, Ada Eldridge <Adaeldridge@hotmail.com>, brennonleaffy@gmail.com, "SUEANNSMITH32@YAHOO.COM" <sueannsmith32@yahoo.com>, lmccann@house.idaho.gov, nancececcarelli@co.nezperce.id.us, "Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us" <Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us>, Monte Schmidt <monte.schmidt@itd.idaho.gov>, jklein@cityoflewiston.org, Brian.Donovan@usdoj.gov, Representative Brandon Mitchell <bmitchell@house.idaho.gov>, bryces@co.nezperce.id.us, ISPIO@isp.idaho.gov, Brooke Burns <bburns@co.asotin.wa.us>, Stephanie Cuddihy <StephanieC@co.nezperce.id.us>, LPD Dispatch <dispatch@cityoflewiston.org>, Jason Kuzik <jkuzik@cityoflewiston.org>, Amy Ledgerwood <AmyLedgerwood@co.nezperce.id.us>, Constituent Mailbox <AGLabrador@ag.idaho.gov>, AGO Ombuds <AGOombuds@atg.wa.gov>, tsparks@clarkstonpolice.org, "AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us" <AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us>, Dan Johnson <danjohnson@cityoflewiston.org>, Patty Weeks <PattyWeeks@co.nezperce.id.us>, Brian Shinn <bshinn@asotincountywa.gov>, Mariel Gates <Mariel.Gates@tax.idaho.gov>, Chris Seubert <cseubert@asotincountywa.gov>, Justin Coleman <JustinColeman@co.nezperce.id.us>, Charles Whitman <cwhitman@asotincountywa.gov>, Curt Liedkie <cliedkie@asotincountywa.gov>, Intake <intake@wsba.org>, Amanda Daylong <ADaylong@nwtrialattorneys.com>, apearance@nwtrialattorneys.com, legal@libertasfunding.com, Investigations Info Requests <InvestigationsInfoRequests@isp.idaho.gov>, dmckay@asotincountywa.gov, rlynch@asotincountywa.gov, Public Disclosure <PDisclosure@asotincountywa.gov>, Stacey Harman <SHarman@asotincountywa.gov>, usdemsocialists@gmail.com, objectionoverruled2@gmail.com, whistleblower@startribune.com, Kevin Munstermann <kevin@travelland-rv.com>, Tricia.McLaughlin@hq.dhs.gov, doswald@idahostatesman.com

Subject: Notification of Filing with Idaho Supreme Court

Date: February 4, 2026

To: Joseph Pirtle, [jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov)

Cc: [ Witnesses, relevant parties,]

Dear Mr. Pirtle,

This email serves as formal notification that the following documents were filed today, February 4, 2026, with the Idaho Supreme Court in connection with Supreme Court Docket No. 53669-2026:

1. Supplemental Notice of Authority (pursuant to Idaho Appellate Rule 34)
2. Exhibit (2 pages)
3. Motion for Emergency Stay and Supporting Memorandum
4. Exhibit (18 pages)

The documents were served via U.S. Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested. The tracking information is as follows:

- Idaho Supreme Court Service: Tracking #: 9505 5107 0657 6035 0700 10
- Idaho State Bar Service: Tracking #:

*Eth Q-81*



9505 5107 0657 6035 0700 34

I have included copies of the filed documents, and USPS receipt included both tracking numbers.

Respectfully,

John Doe (2026-05)  
Petitioner, Pro Se

[Address and Contact Information on File with the Court]  
[Quoted text hidden]

## 2 attachments

 **Supp final.pdf**  
838K

 **Gmail - USPS eReceipt.pdf**  
73K

**Corey Switzer** <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>

Fri, Feb 6, 2026 at 8:01 AM

To: Jessica Kline <jkline@isb.idaho.gov>, Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>, Lori Ostertag <lostertag@isb.idaho.gov>, Judahluke7@gmail.com, Lisa Webber <lwebber@asotincountywa.gov>, hliedkie@co.nezperce.id.us, Trae Turner <trae@clarkandfeeney.com>, jgish@co.nezperce.id.us, dhavens@co.nezperce.id.us, thirteentwelveforever@proton.me, info@southdakotatruth.com, 08mad10@gmail.com, city@lmtribune.com, Civil.Fraud@usdoj.gov, justin rodriguez <jrodspeaks@gmail.com>, idahoauditors@gmail.com, tips@nbcuni.com, tips@inlander.com, news@theregister.com, news@kpbx.org, Newsdesk@krem.com, newsroom@idahopress.com, news@bigcountry977.com, News4@kxly.com, Ada Eldridge <Adaeldridge@hotmail.com>, brennonleaffy@gmail.com, "SUEANNSMITH32@YAHOO.COM" <sueannsmith32@yahoo.com>, Imccann@house.idaho.gov, nancececcarelli@co.nezperce.id.us, "Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us" <Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us>, Monte Schmidt <monte.schmidt@itd.idaho.gov>, jklein@cityoflewiston.org, Brian.Donovan@usdoj.gov, Representative Brandon Mitchell <bmittchell@house.idaho.gov>, bryces@co.nezperce.id.us, ISPIO@isp.idaho.gov, Brooke Burns <bburns@co.asotin.wa.us>, Stephanie Cuddihy <StephanieC@co.nezperce.id.us>, LPD Dispatch <dispatch@cityoflewiston.org>, Jason Kuzik <jkuzik@cityoflewiston.org>, Amy Ledgerwood <AmyLedgerwood@co.nezperce.id.us>, Constituent Mailbox <AGLabrador@ag.idaho.gov>, AGO Ombuds <AGOombuds@atg.wa.gov>, tsparks@clarkstonpolice.org, "AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us" <AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us>, Dan Johnson <danjohnson@cityoflewiston.org>, Patty Weeks <PattyWeeks@co.nezperce.id.us>, Brian Shinn <bshinn@asotincountywa.gov>, Mariel Gates <Mariel.Gates@tax.idaho.gov>, Chris Seubert <cseubert@asotincountywa.gov>, Justin Coleman <JustinColeman@co.nezperce.id.us>, Charles Whitman <cwhitman@asotincountywa.gov>, Curt Liedkie <cliedkie@asotincountywa.gov>, Intake <intake@wsba.org>, Amanda Daylong <ADaylong@nwtrialattorneys.com>, apearce@nwtrialattorneys.com, legal@libertasfunding.com, Investigations Info Requests <InvestigationsInfoRequests@isp.idaho.gov>, dmckay@asotincountywa.gov, rlynch@asotincountywa.gov, Public Disclosure <PDisclosure@asotincountywa.gov>, Stacey Harman <SHarman@asotincountywa.gov>, usdemsocialists@gmail.com, objectionoverruled2@gmail.com, whistleblower@startribune.com, Kevin Munstermann <kevin@travelland-rv.com>, Tricia.McLaughlin@hq.dhs.gov, doswald@idahostatesman.com, Autumn Borely <Borleyfamily11@gmail.com>

Subject: Request for Confirmation of Receipt of Filings and Upcoming Delivery Notification

To: Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>

Cc: Jessica Kline <jkline@isb.idaho.gov>, Lori Ostertag <lostertag@isb.idaho.gov>,

Date: Friday, February 6, 2026

Dear Mr. Pirtle and Members of the Idaho State Bar,

I am writing to formally request confirmation of receipt for the following legal documents submitted to the Idaho State Bar in relation to the pending disciplinary proceedings (ISB Cases 25-168a, 25-169a, and 25-187a):

1. February 2, 2026 – Email with attached Legal Analysis: Application of Idaho Ethics Opinion 137 to Switzer v. Coleman
2. February 3, 2026 – Emergency email with attached:
  - Emergency Motion to Reopen Record, For Sanctions, and For Immediate Dismissal (Coleman - 25-169a)
  - Notice of Judicially Confirmed Evidence (Smith - 25-168a & Turner - 25-187a)
  - Lewiston Tribune article "Lewiston bookkeeper accused of embezzling" (January 30, 2026)
3. February 4, 2026 – Notification of filing with the Idaho Supreme Court (Docket No. 53669-2026), including:

*Exh. 2-18*

- Supplemental Notice of Authority
- Motion for Emergency Stay and Supporting Memorandum

Additionally, please be advised that the last separate filing was sent to the Idaho Supreme Court on February 4, 2026, via U.S. Certified Mail (Tracking #: 9505 5107 0657 6035 0700 10). According to USPS tracking, delivery is scheduled for Saturday, February 7, 2026. The Idaho State Bar will receive the formal copy in addition to this, (so far this mornings unanswered), in addition to this courtesy copy of this filing (Tracking #: 9505 5107 0657 6035 0700 34) on Monday, February 9, 2026.

Given the time-sensitive and procedurally significant nature of these filings—including newly discovered evidence of criminal embezzlement at Travelland RV that directly substantiates the allegations at issue—I respectfully request that you acknowledge receipt of all documents immediately.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. I look forward to your prompt response.

Respectfully,  
Corey M. Switzer  
Pro Se Complainant  
264 Kernodle Rd  
Gibsonville, NC 27249  
[coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com)  
218-461-9868

Attachments:

- Legal Analysis - Idaho Ethics Opinion 137 (Feb 2, 2026)
- Emergency Motion to Reopen Record (Coleman - Feb 3, 2026)
- Notice of Judicially Confirmed Evidence (Smith & Turner - Feb 3, 2026)
- Lewiston Tribune Article (February 3rd, 2026)
- USPS Tracking Details for Idaho Supreme Court Filing (February 4th, 2026)
- ISSC filing, (February 4th, 2026)

[Quoted text hidden]

6 attachments

-  **Legal Analysis- Application of Idaho Ethics Opinion 137 to Switzer v.pdf**  
58K
-  **Turner, Smith.pdf**  
1673K
-  **Supp final (2).pdf**  
838K
-  **Coleman.pdf**  
1700K
-  **Lewiston bookkeeper accused of embezzling.pdf**  
1601K
-  **Gmail - USPS eReceipt (3).pdf**  
73K

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com>  
To: coreyswitzer@gmail.com

Fri, Feb 6, 2026 at 8:01 AM



Address not found

*File 0 89*



Your message wasn't delivered to **Brian.Donovan@usdoj.gov** because the address couldn't be found, or is unable to receive mail.

The response from the remote server was:

550 5.1.1 ... User Unknown

Final-Recipient: rfc822; **Brian.Donovan@usdoj.gov**

Action: failed

Status: 5.1.1

Remote-MTA: dns; **mx-da6.usdoj.gov**. (2607:f330:6000:1070::5, the server for the domain **usdoj.gov**.)

Diagnostic-Code: smtp; 550 5.1.1 <**Brian.Donovan@usdoj.gov**>... User Unknown

Last-Attempt-Date: Fri, 06 Feb 2026 05:01:38 -0800 (PST)

 **noname**  
7K

**Corey Switzer** <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>

Fri, Feb 6, 2026 at 3:09 PM

To: Jessica Kline <jkline@isb.idaho.gov>, Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>, Lori Ostertag <lostertag@isb.idaho.gov>, Judahluke7@gmail.com, Lisa Webber <lwebber@asotincountywa.gov>, hliedkie@co.nezperce.id.us, Trae Turner <trae@clarkandfeeney.com>, jgish@co.nezperce.id.us, dhavens@co.nezperce.id.us, thirteentwelveforever@proton.me, info@southdakotatruth.com, 08mad10@gmail.com, city@lmtribune.com, Civil.Fraud@usdoj.gov, justin rodriguez <jrodspeaks@gmail.com>, idahoauditors@gmail.com, tips@nbcuni.com, tips@inlander.com, news@theregister.com, news@kpbx.org, Newsdesk@krem.com, newsroom@idahopress.com, news@bigcountry977.com, News4@kxly.com, Ada Eldridge <Adaeldridge@hotmail.com>, brennonlleafty@gmail.com, "SUEANNSMITH32@YAHOO.COM" <sueannsmith32@yahoo.com>, Imccann@house.idaho.gov, nancececcarelli@co.nezperce.id.us, "Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us" <Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us>, Monte Schmidt <monte.schmidt@itd.idaho.gov>, jklein@cityoflewiston.org, Representative Brandon Mitchell <bmitchell@house.idaho.gov>, bryces@co.nezperce.id.us, ISPPIO@isp.idaho.gov, Brooke Burns <bburns@co.asotin.wa.us>, Stephanie Cuddihy <StephanieC@co.nezperce.id.us>, LPD Dispatch <dispatch@cityoflewiston.org>, Jason Kuzik <jkuzik@cityoflewiston.org>, Amy Ledgerwood <AmyLedgerwood@co.nezperce.id.us>, Constituent Mailbox <AGLabrador@ag.idaho.gov>, AGO Ombuds <AGOombuds@atg.wa.gov>, tsparks@clarkstonpolice.org, "AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us" <AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us>, Dan Johnson <danjohnson@cityoflewiston.org>, Patty Weeks <PattyWeeks@co.nezperce.id.us>, Brian Shinn <bshinn@asotincountywa.gov>, Mariel Gates <Mariel.Gates@tax.idaho.gov>, Chris Seubert <cseubert@asotincountywa.gov>, Justin Coleman <JustinColeman@co.nezperce.id.us>, Charles Whitman <cwhitman@asotincountywa.gov>, Curt Liedkie <cliedkie@asotincountywa.gov>, Intake <intake@wsba.org>, Amanda Daylong <ADaylong@nwtrialattorneys.com>, apearce@nwtrialattorneys.com, legal@libertasfunding.com, Investigations Info Requests <InvestigationsInfoRequests@isp.idaho.gov>, dmckay@asotincountywa.gov, rlynch@asotincountywa.gov, Public Disclosure <PDISCLOSURE@asotincountywa.gov>, Stacey Harman <SHarman@asotincountywa.gov>, usdemsocialists@gmail.com, objectionoverruled2@gmail.com, whistleblower@startribune.com, Kevin Munstermann <kevin@travelland-rv.com>, Tricia McLaughlin@hq.dhs.gov, doswald@idahostatesman.com, Autumn Borely <Borleyfamily11@gmail.com>

Subject: Immediate Notice of Hearing Committee Decision in ISB Case No. 25-168a

Date: February 6, 2026

To: Joseph Pirtle, **jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov**

Cc: [Relevant parties if desired]

Dear Mr. Pirtle,

*Handwritten signature: E.H. P. on*



I am writing to bring to your immediate attention a decision issued just hours after my notification to you regarding filings made with the Idaho Supreme Court.

On February 6, 2026, the Hearing Committee of the Professional Conduct Board issued a Decision of Hearing Committee in ISB Case No. 25-168a (April A. Smith), dismissing the grievance. A copy of the decision is attached.

This decision was rendered approximately 8 hours after my email to you of February 6, 2026, which requested confirmation of the receipt and notified the Idaho State Bar of the Supplemental Notice of Authority and Motion for Emergency Stay filed with the Idaho Supreme Court on February 4th, 2026—documents that present newly discovered, judicially confirmed evidence of criminal embezzlement at Travelland RV, directly substantiating the grievances in the matters now dismissed.

The timing and outcome raise serious concerns regarding the integrity of the disciplinary process, particularly in light of the new evidence that validates the grievant's allegations and suggests potential bad faith, obstruction, and retaliation.

I request that the Idaho State Bar:

1. Acknowledge receipt of this notice and the attached decision.
2. Confirm whether the Hearing Committee was aware of the February 4, 2026 Supreme Court filings and newly discovered evidence before issuing its decision.
3. Suspend any further action in related bar cases (25-169a, 25-187a) pending review by the Idaho Supreme Court.

This decision—issued without consideration of conclusive new evidence—further demonstrates the systemic issues alleged in the pending mandamus petition. I will be supplementing the Supreme Court record accordingly.

Respectfully,

Corey M Switzer,

John Doe (2026-05)

Petitioner, Pro Se

[Address and Contact Information on File with the Court]

Attachment: 2026-02-06 Decision of Hearing Committee.pdf

[Quoted text hidden]

 **2026-02-06 Decision of Hearing Committee.pdf**  
313K

**Corey Switzer** <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>

Fri, Feb 6, 2026 at 5:10 PM

To: Jessica Kline <jkline@isb.idaho.gov>, Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>, Lori Ostertag <lostertag@isb.idaho.gov>, Judahluke7@gmail.com, Lisa Webber <lwebber@asotincountywa.gov>, hliedkie@co.nezperce.id.us, Trae Turner <trae@clarkandfeeney.com>, jgish@co.nezperce.id.us, dhavens@co.nezperce.id.us, thirteentwelveforever@proton.me, info@southdakotatruth.com, 08mad10@gmail.com, city@lmtribune.com, Civil.Fraud@usdoj.gov, justin rodriguez <jrodspeaks@gmail.com>, idahoauditors@gmail.com, tips@nbcuni.com, tips@inlander.com, news@theregister.com, news@kpbx.org, Newsdesk@krem.com, newsroom@idahopress.com, news@bigcountry977.com, News4@kxly.com, Ada Eldridge <Adaeldridge@hotmail.com>, brennonleaffy@gmail.com, "SUEANNSMITH32@YAHOO.COM" <sueannsmith32@yahoo.com>, lmccann@house.idaho.gov, nancececcarelli@co.nezperce.id.us, "Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us" <Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us>, Monte Schmidt <monte.schmidt@itd.idaho.gov>, jklein@cityoflewiston.org, Representative Brandon Mitchell <bmitchell@house.idaho.gov>, bryces@co.nezperce.id.us, ISPIO@isp.idaho.gov, Brooke Burns <bburns@co.asotin.wa.us>, Stephanie Cuddihy <StephanieC@co.nezperce.id.us>, LPD Dispatch <dispatch@cityoflewiston.org>, Jason Kuzik <jkuzik@cityoflewiston.org>, Amy Ledgerwood <AmyLedgerwood@co.nezperce.id.us>, Constituent Mailbox <AGLabrador@ag.idaho.gov>, AGO Ombuds <AGOombuds@atg.wa.gov>, tsparks@clarkstonpolice.org, "AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us" <AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us>, Dan Johnson <danjohnson@cityoflewiston.org>, Patty Weeks <PattyWeeks@co.nezperce.id.us>, Brian Shinn <bshinn@asotincountywa.gov>, Mariel Gates <Mariel.Gates@tax.idaho.gov>, Chris Seubert <cseubert@asotincountywa.gov>, Justin Coleman <JustinColeman@co.nezperce.id.us>, Charles Whitman <cwhitman@asotincountywa.gov>, Curt Liedkie <cliedkie@asotincountywa.gov>, Intake <intake@wsba.org>, Amanda Daylong <ADaylong@nwtrialattorneys.com>, apearance@nwtrialattorneys.com, legal@libertasfunding.com, Investigations Info Requests <InvestigationsInfoRequests@isp.idaho.gov>, dmckay@asotincountywa.gov, rlynch@asotincountywa.gov, Public Disclosure <PDisclosure@asotincountywa.gov>, Stacey Harman <SHarman@asotincountywa.gov>, usdemsocialists@gmail.com, objectionoverruled2@gmail.com, whistleblower@startribune.com, Kevin Munstermann

*Eth n-91*



2/21/26, 6:17 AM

Gmail - February RICO Correspondence

<kevin@travelland-rv.com>, Tricia.McLaughlin@hq.dhs.gov, doswald@idahostatesman.com, Autumn Borely  
<Borleyfamily11@gmail.com>, carole\_wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov, Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>

**\*\*Subject:\*\*** Declaration of Electronic Service - Emergency Motion to Vacate Hearing Committee Decision and Remand  
**\*\*Date:\*\*** February 6, 2026  
**\*\*To:\*\*** Karen Carlisle (kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov), Joseph Pirtle (jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov), Carole I. Wesenberg  
(cweisenberg@isb.idaho.gov)

Dear Ms. Carlisle, Mr. Pirtle, and Ms. Wesenberg,

Please accept this email as my **\*\*Declaration of Electronic Service\*\*** for the following document filed with the Idaho Supreme Court:

**\*\*Document Served:\*\*** Emergency Motion to Vacate Hearing Committee Decision and Remand  
**\*\*Court Docket No.:\*\*** 53669-2026  
**\*\*Date of Service:\*\*** February 6, 2026  
**\*\*Method of Service:\*\*** Electronic Mail (Email)

I hereby certify that on February 6, 2026, I served a true and correct copy of the aforementioned **\*\*Emergency Motion to Vacate Hearing Committee Decision and Remand\*\*** upon each of you via email at the addresses listed above, in accordance with applicable Idaho rules governing electronic service.

Hard copy service via USPS mail to follow Monday, February 9th, 2026

This declaration is made under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Idaho.

Respectfully,

Corey M. Switzer  
**\*\*John Doe (2026-05)\*\***  
Petitioner, Pro Se

---

**\*\*CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE VIA EMAIL\*\***

I further certify that the attached PDF copy of the Motion was sent to each recipient at the email addresses provided, and that no automated failure or bounce-back message was received at the time of sending.

[Quoted text hidden]

### 3 attachments

 **EMERGENCY MOTION TO VACATE HEARING COMMITTEE DECISION AND REMAND.pdf**  
100K

 **Acknowledgment Letter 26-026C.pdf**  
39K

 **2026-02-06 Decision of Hearing Committee.pdf**  
313K

**Corey Switzer** <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>

To: Jessica Kline <jkline@isb.idaho.gov>, Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>, Lori Ostertag <lostertag@isb.idaho.gov>, Judahluke7@gmail.com, Lisa Webber <lwebber@asotincountywa.gov>, hliedkie@co.nezperce.id.us, Trae Turner <trae@clarkandfeeney.com>, jgish@co.nezperce.id.us, dhavens@co.nezperce.id.us, thirteentwelveforever@proton.me, info@southdakotatruth.com, 08mad10@gmail.com, city@lmtribune.com, Civil.Fraud@usdoj.gov, justin rodriguez <jrodspeaks@gmail.com>, idahoauditors@gmail.com, tips@nbcuni.com, tips@inlander.com, news@theregister.com, news@kpbx.org, Newsdesk@krem.com, newsroom@idahopress.com, news@bigcountry977.com, News4@kxly.com, Ada Eldridge <Adaeldridge@hotmail.com>, brennonleafy@gmail.com, "SUEANNSMITH32@YAHOO.COM" <sueannsmith32@yahoo.com>, Imccann@house.idaho.gov, nancececcarelli@co.nezperce.id.us, "Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us" <Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us>, Monte Schmidt <monte.schmidt@itd.idaho.gov>, jklein@cityoflewiston.org, Representative Brandon Mitchell <bmittell@house.idaho.gov>, bryces@co.nezperce.id.us, ISPIO@isp.idaho.gov, Brooke Burns <bburns@co.asotin.wa.us>, Stephanie Cuddihy <StephanieC@co.nezperce.id.us>, LPD Dispatch <dispatch@cityoflewiston.org>, Jason Kuzik <jkuzik@cityoflewiston.org>, Amy Ledgerwood

Sat, Feb 7, 2026 at 10:31 AM

ETA C-97-



This email serves as notice that on February 10, 2026, I served the following documents via U.S. Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested, in connection with Supreme Court Docket No. 53669-2026 and related Idaho State Bar matters:

1. **\*\*Notice of Supplemental Authority and Supplement to Petition for Writ of Mandamus and Emergency Stay\*\***
2. **\*\*Emergency Ex Parte Motion for Temporary Restraining Order and Order to Show Cause\*\***
3. The following documents and attachments have been sent via USPS Priority Mail to the following addresses:

\* Addressed to: Clerk of the Idaho Supreme Court

\* Tracking Information:  
9505 5066 7921 6041 6485 43

\* Addressed to: Idaho State Bar Association

\* Tracking Information: 9505 5066 7921 6041 6485 67

A copy of the USPS electronic receipt confirming the transactions is attached for your records.

This correspondence is intended to fulfill my obligations for providing notice of service to all parties of record in the aforementioned matters.

Respectfully,

Corey M. Switzer  
John Doe (2026-05)  
Petitioner Pro Se

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on February 10, 2026, I served this notice of electronic service via email upon the following:

- Joseph Pirtle, [Email]
- Karen Carlisle, [Email]
- Carole Wesenberg, [Email]

[Quoted text hidden]

#### 3 attachments

 **Gmail - USPS eReceipt.pdf**  
72K

 **Memorandum.pdf**  
103K

 **2026-02-09 HC Decision.pdf**  
292K

**Corey Switzer** <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>

Wed, Feb 11, 2026 at 12:41 PM

To: Jessica Kline <jkline@isb.idaho.gov>, Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>, Lori Ostertag <lostertag@isb.idaho.gov>, Judahluke7@gmail.com, Lisa Webber <lwebber@asotincountywa.gov>, hliedkie@co.nezperce.id.us, Trae Turner <trae@clarkandfeeney.com>, jgish@co.nezperce.id.us, dhavens@co.nezperce.id.us, thirteentwelveforever@proton.me, info@southdakotatruth.com, 08mad10@gmail.com, city@lmtribune.com, Civil.Fraud@usdoj.gov, justin rodriguez <jrodspeaks@gmail.com>, idahoauditors@gmail.com, tips@nbcuni.com, tips@inlander.com, news@theregister.com, news@kpbx.org, Newsdesk@krem.com, newsroom@idahopress.com, news@bigcountry977.com, News4@kxly.com, Ada Eldridge <Adaeldridge@hotmail.com>, brennonleaffy@gmail.com, "SUEANNSMITH32@YAHOO.COM" <sueannsmith32@yahoo.com>, Imccann@house.idaho.gov, nancececcarelli@co.nezperce.id.us, "Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us" <Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us>, Monte Schmidt <monte.schmidt@itd.idaho.gov>, jklein@cityoflewiston.org, Representative Brandon Mitchell <bmittchell@house.idaho.gov>, bryces@co.nezperce.id.us, ISPIO@isp.idaho.gov, Brooke Burns <bburns@co.asotin.wa.us>, Stephanie Cuddihy <StephanieC@co.nezperce.id.us>, LPD Dispatch <dispatch@cityoflewiston.org>, Jason Kuzik <jkuzik@cityoflewiston.org>, Amy Ledgerwood <AmyLedgerwood@co.nezperce.id.us>, Constituent Mailbox <AGLabrador@ag.idaho.gov>, AGO Ombuds <AGOombuds@atg.wa.gov>, tsparks@clarkstonpolice.org, "AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us" <AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us>, Dan Johnson <danjohnson@cityoflewiston.org>, Patty Weeks <PattyWeeks@co.nezperce.id.us>, Brian Shinn

*E.H. C-92*

2/21/26, 6:17 AM

Gmail - February RICO Correspondence

<bshinn@asotincountywa.gov>, Mariel Gates <Mariel.Gates@tax.idaho.gov>, Chris Seubert <cseubert@asotincountywa.gov>, Justin Coleman <JustinColeman@co.nezperce.id.us>, Charles Whitman <cwhitman@asotincountywa.gov>, Curt Liedkie <cliedkie@asotincountywa.gov>, Intake <intake@wsba.org>, Amanda Daylong <ADaylong@nwtrialattorneys.com>, apearance@nwtrialattorneys.com, legal@libertasfunding.com, Investigations Info Requests <InvestigationsInfoRequests@isp.idaho.gov>, dmckay@asotincountywa.gov, rlynch@asotincountywa.gov, Public Disclosure <PDisclosure@asotincountywa.gov>, Stacey Harman <SHarman@asotincountywa.gov>, usdemsocialists@gmail.com, objectionoverruled2@gmail.com, whistleblower@startribune.com, Kevin Munstermann <kevin@travelland-rv.com>, Tricia.McLaughlin@hq.dhs.gov, doswald@idahostatesman.com, Autumn Borely <Borleyfamily11@gmail.com>, carole\_wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov, Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>

Subject: FINAL REQUEST: Confirmation of Receipt – Good Faith Required

February 11, 2026

12:45 PM EDT

VIA EMAIL & CERTIFIED MAIL

Joseph Pirtle, Bar Counsel  
Idaho State Bar  
P.O. Box 895  
Boise, ID 83701  
[jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov)

Cc: Karen Carlisle, Lori Ostertag, and all parties previously noticed

RE: Failure to Acknowledge Receipt – ISB Cases 25-168a, 25-169a, 25-187a / Idaho Supreme Court Docket No. 53669-2026

Dear Mr. Pirtle:

I write to memorialize that no response or acknowledgment of receipt has been received from your office despite multiple written communications spanning nine (9) days. This silence, in the face of duly served filings and express requests for confirmation, constitutes bad faith and is itself evidence of the systemic obstruction detailed in my pending petition before the Idaho Supreme Court.

Factual Background:

- February 3, 2026 – Emergency filings served via email (attachments: Emergency Motion to Reopen Record, Notice of Judicially Confirmed Evidence, Lewiston Tribune article re: Geagley embezzlement indictment). **No response.**
- February 4, 2026 – Notification of filings with Idaho Supreme Court (Docket No. 53669-2026); USPS Tracking No. 9505 5107 0657 6035 0700 34. **No response.**
- February 6, 2026 – Formal request for confirmation of receipt; notice of pending Supreme Court stay motion. **No response.**
- February 6, 2026 – Notice of Hearing Committee Decision (Smith, 25-168a) issued hours after my notice to you. **No response.**
- February 6, 2026 – Declaration of Electronic Service (Emergency Motion to Vacate). **No response.**
- February 7, 2026 – Declaration of Service by Certified Mail (Tracking Nos. provided). USPS confirms delivery to Bar Counsel P.O. Box February 9, 2026. **No response.**
- February 9, 2026 – Formal objection to Turner decision (25-187a); preservation demand. **No response.**
- February 10, 2026 – Notice of supplemental service; USPS receipts attached. **No response.**
- February 11, 2026 – Present.

Immediate Request:

1. Confirm, in writing, by close of business today, February 11, 2026, that the Idaho State Bar has received each of the filings listed above, specifically including the February 3, 2026 Emergency Motion and Notice, the February 4, 2026 Supreme Court filings, and the February 7, 2026 certified mail delivery.
2. Identify whether any of these filings have been withheld, discarded, or refused, and if so, on what authority.
3. State whether the Hearing Committee in Case 25-187a was provided the February 3, 2026 Geagley indictment evidence prior to issuing its February 6th, 2026, February 9th, 2026 decision(s).

Preservation Notice:

Ex C-94



You are hereby formally notified that all records, metadata, and communications concerning these cases—including internal deliberations regarding the timing of dismissals and consideration of the Travelland RV embezzlement charges—must be preserved pending review by the Idaho Supreme Court and any subsequent federal proceedings.

Conclusion:

The refusal to acknowledge receipt is not a neutral omission. It is a deliberate act of obstruction designed to create procedural ambiguity and shield these proceedings from accountability. The Idaho State Bar cannot credibly claim to have considered evidence it refuses to confirm receiving. Nor can it claim good faith while ignoring direct correspondence from a complainant whose allegations have now been judicially confirmed.

Your silence speaks. I require your words.

Respectfully,

Corey M. Switzer  
John Doe (2026-05), Petitioner Pro Se  
Idaho Supreme Court Docket No. 53669-2026  
Complainant, ISB Cases 25-168a, 25-169a, 25-187a  
[coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com)  
218-461-9868

Attachments (served herewith):

- February 13th, 2026 Anticipated Delivery Date, USPS
- February 7, 2026 Notice of Service / USPS receipts
- February 10, 2026 Notice of Service / USPS receipts

Certificate of Service: Filed electronically today, February 11, 2026, via email to the above.

[Quoted text hidden]

### 3 attachments

 **USPS.com® - USPS Tracking® Results (2).pdf**  
97K

 **USPS.com® - USPS Tracking® Results (1).pdf**  
88K

 **USPS.com® - USPS Tracking® Results.pdf**  
88K

**Corey Switzer** <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>

Wed, Feb 11, 2026 at 3:54 PM

To: Jessica Kline <jkline@isb.idaho.gov>, Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>, Lori Ostertag <lostertag@isb.idaho.gov>, Judahluke7@gmail.com, Lisa Webber <lwebber@asotincountywa.gov>, hliedkie@co.nezperce.id.us, Trae Turner <trae@clarkandfeeney.com>, jgish@co.nezperce.id.us, dhavens@co.nezperce.id.us, thirteentwelforever@proton.me, info@southdakotatruth.com, 08mad10@gmail.com, city@lmtribune.com, Civil.Fraud@usdoj.gov, justin rodriguez <jrodspeaks@gmail.com>, idahoauditors@gmail.com, tips@nbcuni.com, tips@inlander.com, news@theregister.com, news@kpbx.org, Newsdesk@krem.com, newsroom@idahopress.com, news@bigcountry977.com, News4@kxly.com, Ada Eldridge <Adaeldridge@hotmail.com>, brennonlleafty@gmail.com, "SUEANNSMITH32@YAHOO.COM" <sueannsmith32@yahoo.com>, Imccann@house.idaho.gov, nancececcarelli@co.nezperce.id.us, "Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us" <Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us>, Monte Schmidt <monte.schmidt@itd.idaho.gov>, jklein@cityoflewiston.org, Representative Brandon Mitchell <bmitchell@house.idaho.gov>, bryces@co.nezperce.id.us, ISPIO@isp.idaho.gov, Brooke Burns <bburns@co.asotin.wa.us>, Stephanie Cuddihy <StephanieC@co.nezperce.id.us>, LPD Dispatch <dispatch@cityoflewiston.org>, Jason Kuzik <jkuzik@cityoflewiston.org>, Amy Ledgerwood <AmyLedgerwood@co.nezperce.id.us>, Constituent Mailbox <AGLabrador@ag.idaho.gov>, AGO Ombuds <AGOombuds@atg.wa.gov>, tsparks@clarkstonpolice.org, "AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us" <AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us>, Dan Johnson <danjohnson@cityoflewiston.org>, Patty Weeks <PattyWeeks@co.nezperce.id.us>, Brian Shinn <bshinn@asotincountywa.gov>, Mariel Gates <Mariel.Gates@tax.idaho.gov>, Chris Seubert <cseubert@asotincountywa.gov>, Justin Coleman <JustinColeman@co.nezperce.id.us>, Charles Whitman <cwhitman@asotincountywa.gov>, Curt Liedkie <cliedkie@asotincountywa.gov>, Intake <intake@wsba.org>, Amanda Daylong <ADaylong@nwtrialattorneys.com>, apearance@nwtrialattorneys.com, legal@libertasfunding.com, Investigations Info

*Ex C-95*

- Witnesses will testify under oath.
- Documents will be entered into evidence.
- A timeline will be established – when the investigation began, when the forensic audit was commissioned, when the Idaho State Police were notified, and when the Nez Perce County Prosecutor's Office first received the evidence.

I will file public records requests for every document entered at that hearing. I will obtain the transcript. I will file a Supplemental Notice with the Idaho Supreme Court on March 5, 2026, attaching the proof and we're going to be going around once again.

And I will do none of this alone. The media recipients on this email now have a confirmed felony indictment, a scheduled court date, and a documented history of a whistleblower being retaliated against by the very officials now prosecuting that crime. I do not need to convince them of a "conspiracy." I need only to show them the dates, the names, and the decisions of the aforementioned.

---

### 3. WHAT I REALISTICALLY EXPECT – AND WHAT I DO NOT.

I do not expect:

- The Idaho Supreme Court to grant my writ of mandamus in full.
- The Department of Justice to parachute into Boise next week.
- Chief Justice Bevins to recuse himself based on attendance at a Bar gala.
- The Bar to voluntarily overturn its own dismissals.

I do expect:

- ISB Case 25-168a (Smith) – Vacatur and remand on conflict-of-interest grounds. The Supreme Court cannot ignore its own docket showing a pending grievance against Wesenberg at the time she signed the decision. That is a structural due process violation. The Court will vacate. It will remand to a new committee. The new committee will review the same record and again dismiss. The outcome will not change. The process will be exposed, however.
- ISB Case 25-187a (Turner) – Affirmed. No conflict, no reversible error. The Committee's decision will stand. But the Geagley discovery will continue. And when it becomes clear that Turner's representation of Travelland RV overlapped with the embezzlement period, representation of Coleman by Turner, the Bar will face a choice: reopen the matter or explain why it chose not to.
- ISB Case 25-169a (Coleman) – No decision has issued. If one does, it will be affirmed on the same materiality grounds. But the March 4 hearing transcript will be filed in the Supreme Court docket. And the contrast between Coleman's sworn statements to the Bar, Turner's representation of Coleman, and his office's prosecution of Geagley will be undeniable.
- Grievance against Carole Wesenberg (ISC No. 26-026C) – The Supreme Court will not publicly sanction a sitting hearing officer, no matter what she did or why she did it. But the grievance will remain pending, unresolved, and on the Court's docket. It will not be dismissed with prejudice. It will not be expunged. It will sit there, unanswered, as a permanent asterisk beside her name, likely to be rubber stamped "closed" without notice.
- Grievance against Karen Carlisle – The Bar will never open it. Carlisle is not an attorney. But her email stating she will "delete" grievances is already in the record. It has been filed in the Supreme Court. It cannot be unsent. It cannot be explained away.

---

### 4. THE TRUE MEASURE OF "WINNING."

I have been called delusional, vexatious, a conspiracy theorist, and procedurally incompetent. Some of those criticisms are fair. I am not a lawyer. I do not know the Idaho Rules of Civil Procedure. I have made mistakes in formatting, service, spelling, and timing.

**I was right about the embezzlement.**

**I was right about the tax evasion.**

And that is the only measure that will survive this process, and will certainly be able to haunt you.

- The Idaho State Bar will continue to defend its decisions.
- The Supreme Court will deny most of my motions.
- The Nez Perce County Prosecutor's Office will convict Di Ann Geagley.
- Trae Turner will continue to practice law.

*Exh P - 96*



· Carole Wesenberg will continue to serve on hearing committees.

But the record now contains:

- A sworn statement from a prosecutor dismissing a whistleblower as "delusional" – and a felony indictment proving that whistleblower was telling the truth.
- A clerk's written admission that she will "delete" grievances against Bar officials.
- A hearing chair's acknowledgment of an ex parte meeting, captured on video.
- A Supreme Court docket number assigned to a grievance against that hearing chair, issued before she signed a final decision against the same grievant.
- A timeline showing that the Bar issued its decision hours after being notified of the Geagley indictment.
- A record of Hannah Liedkie's self dealing taxpayers money from the City of Lewiston to her nonprofit OUI for her to take a salary of \$89,120 for fiscal years 2021, 2022.

That record is permanent. It cannot be expunged. It cannot be sealed from history.

Instead, it's another opportunity for me to bring a grievance to the Idaho State Bar because Coleman now HAS this information and Hannah Liedkie is not currently arrested.

---

## 5. WHAT I WILL DO NEXT – AND WHAT I WILL NOT DO.

I will **not**:

- Stop filing motions.
- Stop serving the media.
- Stop demanding that the DOJ, DHS, and FBI review this record.
- Stop submitting supplemental notices to the Idaho Supreme Court.

I will:

- Continue to document every procedural irregularity.
- Continue to file public records requests for the Geagley investigation files.
- Continue to serve copies of all filings on this distribution list.
- On March 5, 2026, file the Geagley preliminary hearing transcript with the Idaho Supreme Court, together with a short, declarative summary:  
"On March 4, 2026, the State of Idaho presented evidence before Judge Porter that Travelland RV's bookkeeper embezzled \$554,000. This is the exact crime I reported in 2021. Respondents Coleman and Turner, who are implicated in these proceedings, had direct knowledge of or involvement with Travelland RV during the relevant period."

"Coleman, despite having evidence of self-dealing taxpayers money by Hannah Liedkie during her term as City Council President, has chosen to ignore the same self-dealing at Travelland RV, previously owned by Hannah Liedkie's former employer Tim Krueger."

No rhetoric. No conspiracy theories. Just facts, dates, and documents.

---

## 6. CLOSING OBSERVATION.

The Idaho State Bar has spent weeks responding to my filings. The Supreme Court has docketed a collateral proceeding. The Nez Perce County Prosecutor's Office will spend months prosecuting a case I first reported in 2021 and those documents will inevitably make their way back to the Idaho State Bar Association. The media recipients on this email have received dozens of updates and will continue to do so.

None of this is free. It consumes institutional attention, staff time, and judicial resources. And it is all happening because a single pro se litigant refused to accept that reporting a demonstrable felony on Facebook Nez Perce County Prosecutors Office page should result in retaliation and violation of my civil rights.

Whether I "win" any of my motions is now irrelevant. The system has already revealed what it is. The record is already written. The truth about the embezzlement, conflicted counsel, self dealing taxpayers money and corruption is already confirmed.

The rest is just process, like the Federal RICO drafted.

*Eth C. - 97*

And I will be here, documenting every step of it, until the process is finished, regardless of the motions outcome.

Respectfully,  
Corey M. Switzer  
John Doe (2026-05)  
Petitioner, Idaho Supreme Court Docket No. 53669-2026  
Complainant, ISB Cases 25-168a, 25-169a, 25-187a

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on February 11, 2026, I served a true and correct copy of this correspondence via electronic mail to all parties and witnesses listed on the attached distribution list.

/s/ Corey M. Switzer

[Quoted text hidden]

**Corey Switzer** <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>

Wed, Feb 11, 2026 at 8:30 PM

To: Jessica Kline <jkline@isb.idaho.gov>, Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>, Lori Ostertag <lostertag@isb.idaho.gov>, Judahluke7@gmail.com, Lisa Webber <lwebber@asotincountywa.gov>, hliedkie@co.nezperce.id.us, Trae Turner <trae@clarkandfeeney.com>, jgish@co.nezperce.id.us, dhavens@co.nezperce.id.us, thirteentwelveforever@proton.me, info@southdakotatruth.com, 08mad10@gmail.com, city@lmtribune.com, Civil.Fraud@usdoj.gov, justin rodriguez <jrodspeaks@gmail.com>, idahoauditors@gmail.com, tips@nbcuni.com, tips@inlander.com, news@theregister.com, news@kpbx.org, Newsdesk@krem.com, newsroom@idahopress.com, news@bigcountry977.com, News4@kxly.com, Ada Eldridge <Adaeldridge@hotmail.com>, brennonlleafty@gmail.com, "SUEANNSMITH32@YAHOO.COM" <sueannsmith32@yahoo.com>, lmccann@house.idaho.gov, nancececcarelli@co.nezperce.id.us, "Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us" <Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us>, Monte Schmidt <monte.schmidt@itd.idaho.gov>, jklein@cityofflewiston.org, Representative Brandon Mitchell <bmitchell@house.idaho.gov>, bryces@co.nezperce.id.us, ISPIO@isp.idaho.gov, Brooke Burns <bburns@co.asotin.wa.us>, Stephanie Cuddihy <StephanieC@co.nezperce.id.us>, LPD Dispatch <dispatch@cityofflewiston.org>, Jason Kuzik <jkuzik@cityofflewiston.org>, Amy Ledgerwood <AmyLedgerwood@co.nezperce.id.us>, Constituent Mailbox <AGLabrador@ag.idaho.gov>, AGO Ombuds <AGOombuds@atg.wa.gov>, tsparks@clarkstonpolice.org, "AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us" <AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us>, Dan Johnson <danjohnson@cityofflewiston.org>, Patty Weeks <PattyWeeks@co.nezperce.id.us>, Brian Shinn <bshinn@asotincountywa.gov>, Mariel Gates <Mariel.Gates@tax.idaho.gov>, Chris Seubert <cseubert@asotincountywa.gov>, Justin Coleman <JustinColeman@co.nezperce.id.us>, Charles Whitman <cwhitman@asotincountywa.gov>, Curt Liedkie <cliedkie@asotincountywa.gov>, Intake <intake@wsba.org>, Amanda Daylong <ADaylong@nwtrialattorneys.com>, apearce@nwtrialattorneys.com, legal@libertasfunding.com, Investigations Info Requests <InvestigationsInfoRequests@isp.idaho.gov>, dmckay@asotincountywa.gov, rlynch@asotincountywa.gov, Public Disclosure <PDISCLOSURE@asotincountywa.gov>, Stacey Harman <SHarman@asotincountywa.gov>, usdemsocialists@gmail.com, objectionoverruled2@gmail.com, whistleblower@startribune.com, Kevin Munstermann <kevin@travelland-rv.com>, Tricia McLaughlin@hq.dhs.gov, doswald@idahostatesman.com, Autumn Borely <Borleyfamily11@gmail.com>, carole\_wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov, Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>

Subject: Geagley indictment / March 4 / next steps

Sue Ann,

We've been sharing exhibits and strategy for months now, and I want to make sure we're locked in on what the Geagley indictment actually gives us—because it's no longer theoretical.

You and I have both been saying the same things about TravelLand RV, the conflicts, the false reports, the deliberate ignoring of felony conduct while they go after people like Nick and me for process violations. The difference now is that Coleman's office has to stand up on March 4 and prove the exact crimes we both documented years ago involving Turner and his wife in the Prosecutors office Kali Parker. That transcript is going to be a weapon, and we both need to be ready to use it the day after.

I've already integrated your declaration about Kali Parker and Trae Turner into my Supreme Court docket and my Federal RICO draft. That conflict is no longer just an allegation—it's part of the certified record. If you need the docket number or the exact filing date for your own motions, I can send it immediately, and you will be involved when I file it.

Also: the Geagley discovery is going to produce emails, audit timelines, and internal communications between Turner, Coleman, and TravelLand RV management. I intend to request everything as soon as it's entered. If you want to coordinate public records requests or joint supplemental filings, say the word. We've already proven we're more effective

together than either of us is alone, and the Prosecutor's office will inevitably depend on Liedkie to refuse the release of documents for them with outrageous cost summaries.

Nick's situation—the forced DV eval, the community service hurdles, the ineffective counsel—I've started weaving that into the pattern evidence in the federal materials. The same actors, same tactics, same refusal to follow orders they don't like. If you have any additional documentation from the January 5 eval or the correspondence with Elaine, include it in the shared folder when you can.

One more thing: the Bar still hasn't acknowledged receipt of my February 3 filings. Or any filings since then. That silence is itself evidence, and I'm treating it as such. If you need a declaration from me about the pattern of obstruction they're running in parallel to what Kopper and Elaine are doing to Nick, I'll draft it tonight.

March 4 is the fulcrum. We've got the record, the timeline, and now a felony indictment that confirms every material fact we both swore to. They can't unindict her, and they can't unsay what they're about to say in front of Judge Porter.

Let me know what you need between now and then.

Your friend,  
Corey

[Quoted text hidden]

 **Gmail - Nick Meyers.pdf**  
68K

**Sue Ann Smith** <sueannsmith32@yahoo.com>

Wed, Feb 11, 2026 at 10:07 PM

Reply-To: Sue Ann Smith <sueannsmith32@yahoo.com>

To: coreyswitzer@gmail.com, Jessica Kline <jkline@isb.idaho.gov>, Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>, Lori Ostertag <lostertag@isb.idaho.gov>, Judahluke7@gmail.com, Lisa Webber <lwebber@asotincountywa.gov>, hliedkie@co.nezperce.id.us, Trae Turner <trae@clarkandfeeney.com>, jgish@co.nezperce.id.us, dhavens@co.nezperce.id.us, thirteentwelveforever@proton.me, info@southdakotatruth.com, 08mad10@gmail.com, city@lmtribune.com, Civil.Fraud@usdoj.gov, justin rodriguez <jrodspeaks@gmail.com>, idahoauditors@gmail.com, tips@nbcuni.com, tips@inlander.com, news@theregister.com, news@kpbx.org, Newsdesk@krem.com, newsroom@idahopress.com, news@bigcountry977.com, News4@kxly.com, Ada Eldridge <AdaEldridge@hotmail.com>, brennonleafy@gmail.com, lmccann@house.idaho.gov, nancececcarelli@co.nezperce.id.us, "Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us" <Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us>, Monte Schmidt <monte.schmidt@itd.idaho.gov>, jklein@cityoflewiston.org, Representative Brandon Mitchell <bmitchell@house.idaho.gov>, bryces@co.nezperce.id.us, ISPIO@isp.idaho.gov, Brooke Burns <bburns@co.asotin.wa.us>, Stephanie Cuddihy <StephanieC@co.nezperce.id.us>, LPD Dispatch <dispatch@cityoflewiston.org>, Jason Kuzik <jkuzik@cityoflewiston.org>, Amy Ledgerwood <AmyLedgerwood@co.nezperce.id.us>, Constituent Mailbox <AGLabrador@ag.idaho.gov>, AGO Ombuds <AGOombuds@atg.wa.gov>, tsparks@clarkstonpolice.org, "AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us" <AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us>, Dan Johnson <danjohnson@cityoflewiston.org>, Patty Weeks <PattyWeeks@co.nezperce.id.us>, Brian Shinn <bshinn@asotincountywa.gov>, Mariel Gates <Mariel.Gates@tax.idaho.gov>, Chris Seubert <cseubert@asotincountywa.gov>, Justin Coleman <JustinColeman@co.nezperce.id.us>, Charles Whitman <cwhitman@asotincountywa.gov>, Curt Liedkie <cliedkie@asotincountywa.gov>, Intake <intake@wsba.org>, Amanda Daylong <ADaylong@nwtrialattorneys.com>, apearce@nwtrialattorneys.com, legal@libertasfunding.com, Investigations Info Requests <InvestigationsInfoRequests@isp.idaho.gov>, dmckay@asotincountywa.gov, rlynch@asotincountywa.gov, Public Disclosure <PDisclosure@asotincountywa.gov>, Stacey Harman <SHarman@asotincountywa.gov>, usdemsocialists@gmail.com, objectionoverruled2@gmail.com, whistleblower@startribune.com, Kevin Munstermann <kevin@travelland-rv.com>, Tricia McLaughlin@hq.dhs.gov, doswald@idahostatesman.com, Autumn Borely <Borleyfamily11@gmail.com>, carole\_wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov, Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>

Yes, I am getting stuff together tonight and I am going to go extremely public with this since they want to ignore the corruption. It's the exact same patterns and the exact same people.

Hands down this is RICO, considering I am not just targeted in Nez Perce County, I can tie Joanna McFarland and the rest of the group to Latah County either via holding office in the county or being a part of the U of I Alumni.

Not to mention that Jessica Klein, the city council member and Judge Karin Seubert along with the Nez Perce County Sheriff's office all took part in an illegal eviction against my family and I. Justin Coleman was made aware of this and we were told there was nothing he could do.

The relentless attempts made to catch me doing unauthorized practice of law by Tom Ledgerwood Secretary because I exposed her alias last names, and told the SOS that she was being a remote notary without the permission.



I got harassed by Trae's friends in Asotin County as well over this. It's safe to say that anyone who protected these guys are considered taking part of it.

I'm also working on getting a statement to the FBI in DC, not here because that's just their corrupt FBI buddies. I don't care if we wipe this entire court system out of the garbage humans in it. I have my own recording of the 1/16/26 hearing.

They are ignoring that Kali should not be taking part in any of the stuff with Nick, she knows it and so does Justin and I'm not buying that no one knew they are married, that's BS.

This is RICO, 🙄 hands down. I will not be ignored.

Yahoo Mail: Search, Organize, Conquer

On Wed, Feb 11, 2026 at 5:30 PM, Corey Switzer  
<coreyswitzer@gmail.com> wrote:

[Quoted text hidden]

**Corey Switzer** <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>

Thu, Feb 12, 2026 at 5:24 AM

To: Sue Ann Smith <sueannsmith32@yahoo.com>

Cc: Jessica Kline <jkline@isb.idaho.gov>, Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>, Lori Ostertag <lostertag@isb.idaho.gov>, Judahluke7@gmail.com, Lisa Webber <lwebber@asotincountywa.gov>, hliedkie@co.nezperce.id.us, Trae Turner <trae@clarkandfeeney.com>, jgish@co.nezperce.id.us, dhavens@co.nezperce.id.us, thirteentwelveforever@proton.me, info@southdakotatruth.com, 08mad10@gmail.com, city@lmtribune.com, Civil.Fraud@usdoj.gov, justin rodriguez <jrodspeaks@gmail.com>, idahoauditors@gmail.com, tips@nbcuni.com, tips@inlander.com, news@theregister.com, news@kpbx.org, Newsdesk@krem.com, newsroom@idahopress.com, news@bigcountry977.com, News4@kxly.com, Ada Eldridge <Adaeldridge@hotmail.com>, brennonleaffty@gmail.com, Imccann@house.idaho.gov, nancececcarelli@co.nezperce.id.us, "Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us" <Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us>, Monte Schmidt <monte.schmidt@itd.idaho.gov>, jklein@cityoflewiston.org, Representative Brandon Mitchell <bmitchell@house.idaho.gov>, bryces@co.nezperce.id.us, ISPIO@isp.idaho.gov, Brooke Burns <bburns@co.asotin.wa.us>, Stephanie Cuddihy <StephanieC@co.nezperce.id.us>, LPD Dispatch <dispatch@cityoflewiston.org>, Jason Kuzik <jkuzik@cityoflewiston.org>, Amy Ledgerwood <AmyLedgerwood@co.nezperce.id.us>, Constituent Mailbox <AGLabrador@ag.idaho.gov>, AGO Ombuds <AGOombuds@atg.wa.gov>, tspark@clarkstonpolice.org, "AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us" <AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us>, Dan Johnson <danjohnson@cityoflewiston.org>, Patty Weeks <PattyWeeks@co.nezperce.id.us>, Brian Shinn <bshinn@asotincountywa.gov>, Mariel Gates <Mariel.Gates@tax.idaho.gov>, Chris Seubert <cseubert@asotincountywa.gov>, Justin Coleman <JustinColeman@co.nezperce.id.us>, Charles Whitman <cwhitman@asotincountywa.gov>, Curt Liedkie <cliedkie@asotincountywa.gov>, Intake <intake@wsba.org>, Amanda Daylong <ADaylong@nwtrialattorneys.com>, apearce@nwtrialattorneys.com, legal@libertasfunding.com, Investigations Info Requests <InvestigationsInfoRequests@isp.idaho.gov>, dmckay@asotincountywa.gov, rlynch@asotincountywa.gov, Public Disclosure <PDisclosure@asotincountywa.gov>, Stacey Harman <SHarman@asotincountywa.gov>, usdemsocialists@gmail.com, objectionoverruled2@gmail.com, whistleblower@startribune.com, Kevin Munstermann <kevin@travelland-rv.com>, Tricia McLaughlin@hq.dhs.gov, doswald@idahostatesman.com, Autumn Borely <Borleyfamily11@gmail.com>, carole\_wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov, Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>

Subject: Re: February RICO Correspondence

Sue Ann,

Thanks again for pulling all of this together. You're connecting dots for everyone else that most people don't even know exist, or at least attempt to pretend it's not a problem, and I know it's exhausting work. I'm with you.

I want to add a layer to the Jessica Klein situation that I didn't include in my original report to LPD—partly because I was still trying to make sense of it, and partly because I wasn't sure how to prove the connection at the time.

When Klein blocked me on her campaign page, it wasn't just because I was asking questions about police accountability. It was because I was posting about the same bribery allegations involving Rick Fuentes, Andrew Fox, and Nick Woods—allegations that directly implicate Lewiston PD and have been brushed aside. She didn't just claim I threatened her; she used that claim to bury the fact that I was exposing corruption on her own public page. And she did it right after I started raising those specific names.

That's the part Officer Morrow never asked about. And I didn't push it then because I was still trying to get the basics of defamation taken seriously.

Eh - C - 100



Now I see it as part of the same machinery you've been tracking.

It's no coincidence that Hannah Liedkie's brother-in-law, Curtis Liedkie, along with Coroner Lisa Webber—now acting as office secretary for the Asotin County Commissioners—and Brian Shinn (Brooke Burns' father) all coordinated to represent Judge Brooke Burns before the Washington State Supreme Court. That's not just networking; that's a closed loop of influence.

And then there's Katie Hawkins. Same firm as Trae Turner—Clark & Feeney. She's the Washington State Bar Association Commissioner. So when grievances are filed against any of these players, they're reviewed by someone whose firm is embedded in the same web. It's a built-in firewall to protect them from grievances.

That's why the March 4th hearing matters. It triggers the same WSBA review mechanism, for the same reasons, involving the same structural conflicts. They've designed it so that nobody inside the system will ever call it what it is.

You said it best: hands down, this is RICO. The initial steps have already been taken. I have the recorded statements and filings made by Turner, Coleman, April Smith, plus a Federal Judges Clerk admitting to an ex parte communication. The Washington State Auditor is auditing Asotin County, specifically Commissioner Brian Shinn's approval of the legal representation for his daughter Brooke Burns by (Hannah Liedkie's brother-in-law), Asotin County Prosecutor Curtis Liedkie and Coroner Lisa Webber. Of course, Lisa Webber has only been the acting Office Clerk for the Commissioners since filing PDR 26-01, which requested all dialogue regarding that poor choice.

Let me know what you need from me—whether it's sworn statements, timelines, or just someone to say out loud what they've been working so hard to bury. According to Trae Turner's statement during his Idaho State Bar hearing, "I'm untouchable." legally speaking."

One would only make that claim if the facts weighed so heavily against any agency, firm, or entity trying to prosecute me, and weighed so heavily in my favor that it would harm them.

It's the one true thing Trae Turner said during his bar hearing. I really am legally untouchable by Nez Perce County for screaming this truth loud and clear. I have been for some time.

We've exposed a clear ethical problem, and we both know it's not limited to just Nez Perce and Asotin Counties. This bias extends up the food chain to Raul Labrador and Judicial Federal Clerk Wesenburg, poisoning both states' Supreme Courts and State Bars, as well as the Ninth Circuit, with structural bias meant to protect themselves, not the people who pay their salaries or certainly not to uphold justice, as they are sworn to do.

They'd better call me "Vexatious" loudly and quickly with a motion to save themselves. They won't do that, either, because that would ALSO draw the same unwanted attention to the crimes they're attempting to obfuscate, and bury with claims of "threatening people I love." like Councilperson Kline. They want a rubber stamped document saying "Closed".

I think they now understand that the truth and facts are on our side. They heavily depend on the fact that we aren't lawyers or owners of social media platforms to disseminate this information to everyone else. Obviously, that mistake will cost them.

Again, my data is your data. I'm not a lawyer and I'm not giving anyone legal advice. I'm clearly laying out a RICO case that will result in a Federal filing.

Corey

[Quoted text hidden]

## 5 attachments



FB\_IMG\_1710171612688.jpg  
108K






Screenshot\_2025-02-26-16-28-31-07.jpg  
758K



Screenshot from 2026-02-12 04-51-01.png  
345K



24L311.pdf  
73K



24\_L311\_call\_01082024.wav  
1485K

Sue Ann Smith <sueannsmith32@yahoo.com>

Reply-To: Sue Ann Smith <sueannsmith32@yahoo.com>

To: coreyswitzer@gmail.com

Cc: Jessica Kline <jkline@isb.idaho.gov>, Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>, Lori Ostertag <lostertag@isb.idaho.gov>, Judahluke7@gmail.com, Lisa Webber <lwebber@asotincountywa.gov>, hliedkie@co.nezperce.id.us, Trae Turner <trae@clarkandfeeney.com>, jgish@co.nezperce.id.us, dhavens@co.nezperce.id.us, thirteentwelveforever@proton.me, info@southdakotatruth.com, 08mad10@gmail.com, city@lmtribune.com, Civil.Fraud@usdoj.gov, justin rodriguez <jrodspeaks@gmail.com>, idahoauditors@gmail.com, tips@nbcuni.com, tips@inlander.com, news@theregister.com, news@kpbx.org, Newsdesk@krem.com, newsroom@idahopress.com, news@bigcountry977.com, News4@kxly.com, Ada Eldridge <AdaEldridge@hotmail.com>, brennonlleafty@gmail.com, Imccann@house.idaho.gov, nancececcarelli@co.nezperce.id.us, "Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us" <Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us>, Monte Schmidt <monte.schmidt@itd.idaho.gov>, jklein@cityoflewiston.org, Representative Brandon Mitchell <bmittchell@house.idaho.gov>, bryces@co.nezperce.id.us, ISPIO@isp.idaho.gov, Brooke Burns <bburns@co.asotin.wa.us>, Stephanie Cuddihy <StephanieC@co.nezperce.id.us>, LPD Dispatch <dispatch@cityoflewiston.org>, Jason Kuzik <jkuzik@cityoflewiston.org>, Amy Ledgerwood <AmyLedgerwood@co.nezperce.id.us>, Constituent Mailbox <AGLabrador@atg.wa.gov>, AGO Ombuds <AGOombuds@atg.wa.gov>, tsparks@clarkstonpolice.org, "AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us" <AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us>, Dan Johnson <danjohnson@cityoflewiston.org>, Patty Weeks <PattyWeeks@co.nezperce.id.us>, Brian Shinn <bshinn@asotincountywa.gov>, Mariel Gates <Mariel.Gates@tax.idaho.gov>, Chris Seubert <cseubert@asotincountywa.gov>, Justin Coleman <JustinColeman@co.nezperce.id.us>, Charles Whitman <cwhitman@asotincountywa.gov>, Curt Liedkie <cliedkie@asotincountywa.gov>, Intake <intake@wsba.org>, Amanda Daylong <ADaylong@nwtrialattorneys.com>, apearce@nwtrialattorneys.com, legal@libertasfunding.com, Investigations Info Requests <InvestigationsInfoRequests@isp.idaho.gov>, dmckay@asotincountywa.gov, rlynch@asotincountywa.gov, Public Disclosure <PDisclosure@asotincountywa.gov>, Stacey Harman <SHarman@asotincountywa.gov>, usdemsocialists@gmail.com, objectionoverruled2@gmail.com, whistleblower@startribune.com, Kevin Munstermann <kevin@travelland-rv.com>, Tricia McLaughlin@hq.dhs.gov, doswald@idahostatesman.com, Autumn Borely <Borleyfamily11@gmail.com>, carole\_wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov, Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>

Thu, Feb 12, 2026 at 7:50 AM

Let's not forget Corey that Trae lied under oath by saying he didn't know who I was when he had dropped charges against clients of mine at my time at the YWCA that all he needed from me was a letter. He also would attend CCR meetings(not sure if the acronym is correct) that I was the lead of in Asotin County and Garfield County. He didn't attend the Garfield County meetings though. He knows exactly who I am.

Now it's my turn, I have zero personal affiliation to any of these people it's all career oriented and it really escalated for me when I became the main witness on the Larry Bartlett wrongful eviction case in Asotin County, WA.

*Eth P-107*



Let's not forget that his former boss Todd is the city attorney for Troy, Idaho where the clerk McKenzie Campbell for superior court and Stacey for district, I don't remember her last name, had a DUI covered up by Bill Thompson and Katie was her attorney and continued to practice in Asotin County AFTER representing her in Latah County, ID. They did a withheld judgment because it was in 2017 and they needed Katie to be able to practice there too.

I am targeted for a few reasons at this point, our stuff just happened to intersect.

Don't worry I'm coming to the bar with 5 attorneys that need addressed for what they have done and been doing to me. They refuse to address anything I am bring up as valid concerns, Justin Coleman has his office staff trained on muscle memory to deny anyone by these names.

I have had it, I'm done and it's my turn to bring light to a tad bit more on these guys since I seem to be such a problem for them.

Wait until I disclose the recent email between myself and Lucy Dukes, a Washington attorney that Trae knows extremely well, I have her lying to me about a note in Northwest justice project's system about not wanting to be targeted in an attempt to conceal financials for The Bartlett Family LLC.

May the universe have mercy on them for I sure won't.

Yahoo Mail: Search, Organize, Conquer

On Thu, Feb 12, 2026 at 2:24 AM, Corey Switzer  
<coreyswitzer@gmail.com> wrote:

[Quoted text hidden]

**Corey Switzer** <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>

Thu, Feb 12, 2026 at 8:34 AM

To: Sue Ann Smith <sueannsmith32@yahoo.com>, Jessica Kline <jkline@isb.idaho.gov>, Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>, Lori Ostertag <lostertag@isb.idaho.gov>, Judah Luke <judahluke7@gmail.com>, Lisa Webber <lwebber@asotincountywa.gov>, hliedkie@co.nezperce.id.us, Trae Turner <trae@clarkandfeeney.com>, jgish@co.nezperce.id.us, dhavens@co.nezperce.id.us, thirteentwelveforever@proton.me, info@southdakotatruth.com, 08mad10@gmail.com, city@lmtribune.com, Civil.Fraud@usdoj.gov, justin rodriguez <jrodspeaks@gmail.com>, idahoauditors@gmail.com, tips@nbcuni.com, tips@inlander.com, news@theregister.com, news@kpbx.org, Newsdesk@krem.com, newsroom@idahopress.com, news@bigcountry977.com, News4@kxly.com, Ada Eldridge <Adaeldridge@hotmail.com>, brennonlleafty@gmail.com, lmccann@house.idaho.gov, nancececcarelli@co.nezperce.id.us, "Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us" <Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us>, Monte Schmidt <monte.schmidt@itd.idaho.gov>, jklein@cityoflewiston.org, Representative Brandon Mitchell <bmittchell@house.idaho.gov>, bryces@co.nezperce.id.us, ISPIO@isp.idaho.gov, Brooke Burns <bburns@co.asotin.wa.us>, Stephanie Cuddihy <StephanieC@co.nezperce.id.us>, LPD Dispatch <dispatch@cityoflewiston.org>, Jason Kuzik <jkuzik@cityoflewiston.org>, Amy Ledgerwood <AmyLedgerwood@co.nezperce.id.us>, Constituent Mailbox <AGLabrador@ag.idaho.gov>, AGO Ombuds <AGOombuds@atg.wa.gov>, tsparks@clarkstonpolice.org, "AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us" <AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us>, Dan Johnson <danjohnson@cityoflewiston.org>, Patty Weeks <PattyWeeks@co.nezperce.id.us>, Brian Shinn <bshinn@asotincountywa.gov>, Mariel Gates <Mariel.Gates@tax.idaho.gov>, Chris Seubert <cseubert@asotincountywa.gov>, Justin Coleman <JustinColeman@co.nezperce.id.us>, Charles Whitman <cwhitman@asotincountywa.gov>, Curt Liedkie <cliedkie@asotincountywa.gov>, Intake <intake@wsba.org>, Amanda Daylong <ADaylong@nwtrialattorneys.com>, apearce@nwtrialattorneys.com, legal@libertasfunding.com, Investigations Info Requests <InvestigationsInfoRequests@isp.idaho.gov>, dmckay@asotincountywa.gov, rlynch@asotincountywa.gov, Public Disclosure <PDisclosure@asotincountywa.gov>, Stacey Harman <SHarman@asotincountywa.gov>, usdemsocialists@gmail.com, objectionoverruled2@gmail.com, whistleblower@startribune.com, Kevin Munstermann <kevin@travelland-rv.com>, Tricia McLaughlin@hq.dhs.gov, doswald@idahostatesman.com, Autumn Borely <Borleyfamily11@gmail.com>, carole\_wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov, Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>

Subject: Re: February RICO Correspondence

Sue Ann,

I just finished reading your latest. Thank you for laying out the Trae Turner piece—that under-oath lie about not knowing you, when he absolutely did through CCR and the YWCA work, is exactly the kind of pattern that needs to be in bold red ink. He knew you. He knew exactly who he was dealing with. And he lied anyway. That's not a mistake; that's a strategy.

And now we see why.

2/21/26, 6:17 AM

Gmail - February RICO Correspondence

usdemsocialists@gmail.com, objectionoverruled2@gmail.com, whistleblower@startribune.com, Kevin Munstermann <kevin@travelland-rv.com>, Tricia.McLaughlin@hq.dhs.gov, doswald@idahostatesman.com, Autumn Borely <Borleyfamily11@gmail.com>, carole\_wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov, Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>

Subject: Update on the Coleman Decision + Where We Go From Here

To: The Body of Witnesses

Date: February 17, 2026

I wanted to reach out personally and give you an update on what happened today with the Justin Coleman case, and what it means for everything we've been working toward.

Today, the Hearing Committee in the Coleman matter issued its final decision. I've attached it so you can see it for yourself, but I'll summarize it in plain language.

What the Committee Did:

1. They acknowledged the embezzlement indictment. Unlike the Smith and Turner decisions, which were completely silent on the February 3rd news that \$554,000 was stolen from Travelland RV, the Coleman committee at least mentioned it.
2. They rejected it anyway. Their reasoning? They said a newspaper article about an indictment isn't proof—it's "not a verdict or conviction." They also said I didn't explain well enough why it mattered. To be blunt, I think the relevance is obvious: it proves I wasn't making this up.
3. They said my credibility is still fair game. Justin Coleman called me "delusional" in his filings. The committee said that was just an "argument," and the fact that a grand jury later indicted someone for the crimes I reported his lawyer Trae Turner, lawyer for Travelland RV, doesn't make his statement a lie.
4. They made it final. The order explicitly says it "cannot be appealed" and they won't accept any more filings. The door to the Idaho State Bar is now officially closed.

What This Actually Means:

This is frustrating, but it's also clarifying.

The state system has now ruled against me in all three cases—Smith, Turner, and Coleman. Two of them ignored the indictment entirely. The third acknowledged it and then dismissed it with reasoning that I believe any fair observer would find weak.

But here's the important part: to bring a case in federal court, I have to show that I've exhausted all my state remedies. That means I have to let the state process play out completely, even if it's demonstrably unfair. Today, that process ended. The door to the Bar is shut, thankfully.

That is, until the next claim against Hannah Liedkie for self dealing comes forward.

The One Thing They Can't Touch:

None of this changes my strongest argument—the Wesenberg conflict.

Carole I Wesenberg chaired the hearing in the Smith case and signed a decision against me after the Idaho Supreme Court had already sealed a grievance against her that I filed, and after the Bar itself sent that grievance to the Court on January 27th. That is not speculation. That is a documented timeline. It is an undeniable conflict of interest. No amount of procedural maneuvering can erase those dates.

What Happens Next:

The Idaho Supreme Court has appointed a senior judge, Juneal Kerrick, to investigate the Wesenberg grievance. She has 45 days—until early April—to complete her investigation and issue a report.

I'm watching that closely. If she finds that Wesenberg should have recused herself, that's a powerful acknowledgment. If she issues a report that ignores the due process violation, that becomes additional evidence of systemic bias for the federal case.

Either way, I now have a complete record:

- The January 27th letter proving the Supreme Court knew about the Wesenberg conflict before she ruled.

ETH C-1012



- The unexplained February 13th denials from the Supreme Court.
- Three final, unappealable decisions from the Bar that either ignored or dismissed the evidence that vindicates me.
- The February 17th re-transmission of the Grievance against Wesenberg.
- And the February 17th Coleman decision, which at least shows they saw the indictment and chose to reject it.

My federal complaint is already drafted. It's ready to go. I now have the cleanest possible record to take to federal court.

Thank You:

I know this has been a long and exhausting process. I cannot thank you enough for standing with me, for sharing what you know, and for being willing to speak the truth even when the system seems designed to ignore it. You are the reason this fight is still alive.

I'll keep you updated as the Kerrick investigation unfolds. If anything shifts—if she issues a report, if the Court does something unexpected—I'll let you know immediately.

In the meantime, if you have any questions or think of anything else that might be relevant, please don't hesitate to reach out.

With gratitude,

Corey

[Quoted text hidden]

#### 5 attachments

-  **2026-02-17 Hearing Committee Decision.pdf**  
430K
-  **ISB Notice to Court re Grievance.pdf**  
1023K
-  **Order Appointing Senior Judge Kerrick.pdf**  
245K
-  **Order to Retain as Confidential and Amend Title (1).pdf**  
518K
-  **Petition for ISB Grievance Against Attorney Carole I Wesenberg.pdf**  
5825K

**Sue Ann Smith** <sueannsmith32@yahoo.com>

Reply-To: Sue Ann Smith <sueannsmith32@yahoo.com>

Tue, Feb 17, 2026 at 9:27 PM

To: Corey Switzer <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>, Jessica Kline <jkline@isb.idaho.gov>, Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>, Lori Ostertag <lostertag@isb.idaho.gov>, Judahluke7@gmail.com, Lisa Webber <lwebber@asotincountywa.gov>, hliedkie@co.nezperce.id.us, Trae Turner <trae@clarkandfeeney.com>, jgish@co.nezperce.id.us, dhavens@co.nezperce.id.us, thirteentwelveforever@proton.me, info@southdakotatruth.com, 08mad10@gmail.com, city@lmtribune.com, Civil.Fraud@usdoj.gov, justin rodriguez <jrodspeaks@gmail.com>, idahoauditors@gmail.com, tips@nbcuni.com, tips@inlander.com, news@theregister.com, news@kpbx.org, Newsdesk@krem.com, newsroom@idahopress.com, news@bigcountry977.com, News4@kxly.com, Ada Eldridge <Adaeldridge@hotmail.com>, brennonleafy@gmail.com, lmccann@house.idaho.gov, nancececcarelli@co.nezperce.id.us, "Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us" <Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us>, Monte Schmidt <monte.schmidt@itd.idaho.gov>, jklein@cityoflewiston.org, Representative Brandon Mitchell <bmitchell@house.idaho.gov>, bryces@co.nezperce.id.us, ISPIO@isp.idaho.gov, Brooke Burns <bburns@co.asotin.wa.us>, Stephanie Cuddihy <StephanieC@co.nezperce.id.us>, LPD Dispatch <dispatch@cityoflewiston.org>, Jason Kuzik <jkuzik@cityoflewiston.org>, Amy Ledgerwood <AmyLedgerwood@co.nezperce.id.us>, Constituent Mailbox <AGLabrador@ag.idaho.gov>, AGO Ombuds <AGOombuds@atg.wa.gov>, tsparks@clarkstonpolice.org, "AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us" <AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us>, Dan Johnson <danjohnson@cityoflewiston.org>, Patty Weeks <PattyWeeks@co.nezperce.id.us>, Brian Shinn <bshinn@asotincountywa.gov>, Mariel Gates <Mariel.Gates@tax.idaho.gov>, Chris Seubert <cseubert@asotincountywa.gov>, Justin Coleman <JustinColeman@co.nezperce.id.us>, Charles Whitman <cwhitman@asotincountywa.gov>, Curt Liedkie <cliedkie@asotincountywa.gov>, Intake <intake@wsba.org>, Amanda Daylong <ADaylong@nwtrialattorneys.com>, apearance@nwtrialattorneys.com, legal@libertasfunding.com, Investigations Info Requests <InvestigationsInfoRequests@isp.idaho.gov>, dmckay@asotincountywa.gov, rlynch@asotincountywa.gov, Public Disclosure <PDisclosure@asotincountywa.gov>, Stacey Harman <SHarman@asotincountywa.gov>, usdemsocialists@gmail.com, objectionoverruled2@gmail.com, whistleblower@startribune.com, Kevin Munstermann

*Eth 12-105*



## January RICO Correspondence, Part 2

21 messages

**Corey Switzer** <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>

Tue, Jan 20, 2026 at 5:33 PM

To: Jessica Kline <jkline@isb.idaho.gov>, Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>, Lori Ostertag <lostertag@isb.idaho.gov>, Judahluke7@gmail.com, Lisa Webber <lwebber@asotincountywa.gov>, hliedkie@co.nezperce.id.us, Trae Turner <trae@clarkandfeeney.com>, jgish@co.nezperce.id.us, dhavens@co.nezperce.id.us, thirteentwelveforever@proton.me, info@southdakotatruth.com, 08mad10@gmail.com, city@lmtribune.com, Civil.Fraud@usdoj.gov, justin rodriguez <jrodspeaks@gmail.com>, idahoauditors@gmail.com, tips@nbcuni.com, tips@inlander.com, news@theregister.com, news@kpbx.org, Newsdesk@krem.com, newsroom@idahopress.com, news@bigcountry977.com, News4@kxly.com, Ada Eldridge <Adaeldridge@hotmail.com>, brennonlleafty@gmail.com, SUEANNSMITH32@YAHOO.COM <sueannsmith32@yahoo.com>, lmccann@house.idaho.gov, nancececcarelli@co.nezperce.id.us, Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us, Monte Schmidt <monte.schmidt@itd.idaho.gov>, jklein@cityoflewiston.org, Brian.Donovan@usdoj.gov, Representative Brandon Mitchell <bmitchell@house.idaho.gov>, bryces@co.nezperce.id.us, ISPIO@isp.idaho.gov, Brooke Burns <bburns@co.asotin.wa.us>, Stephanie Cuddihy <StephanieC@co.nezperce.id.us>, LPD Dispatch <dispatch@cityoflewiston.org>, Jason Kuzik <jkuzik@cityoflewiston.org>, Amy Ledgerwood <AmyLedgerwood@co.nezperce.id.us>, Constituent Mailbox <AGLabrador@ag.idaho.gov>, AGO Ombuds <AGOombuds@atg.wa.gov>, tsparks@clarkstonpolice.org, AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us, Dan Johnson <danjohnson@cityoflewiston.org>, Patty Weeks <PattyWeeks@co.nezperce.id.us>, Brian Shinn <bshinn@asotincountywa.gov>, Mariel Gates <Mariel.Gates@tax.idaho.gov>, Chris Seubert <cseubert@asotincountywa.gov>, Justin Coleman <JustinColeman@co.nezperce.id.us>, Charles Whitman <cwhitman@asotincountywa.gov>, Curt Liedkie <cliedkie@asotincountywa.gov>, Intake <intake@wsba.org>, Amanda Daylong <ADaylong@nwtrialattorneys.com>, apearce@nwtrialattorneys.com, Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>, legal@libertasfunding.com, Investigations Info Requests <InvestigationsInfoRequests@isp.idaho.gov>, dmckay@asotincountywa.gov, rlynch@asotincountywa.gov, Public Disclosure <PDisclosure@asotincountywa.gov>, Stacey Harman <SHarman@asotincountywa.gov>

Subject: Unified Narrative Summary: Switzer v. Idaho/Washington Legal Officials – Allegations of Systemic Corruption, Retaliation & Obstruction

To: [Relevant Parties, Media, Oversight Bodies]

From: Corey M. Switzer

Date: January 20, 2026

The following is a consolidated chronological narrative of events, allegations, and procedural conflicts detailed across three phases of correspondence. This summary is intended to provide a clear, unified overview of the core issues, which involve multi-jurisdiction allegations of misconduct, retaliatory acts, and systemic obstruction.

I use "Switzer", "I", "myself" and "he" interchangeably to represent myself.

—

### PART 1: FOUNDATION – INITIAL GRIEVANCES & IDAHO STATE BAR PROCEEDINGS

Core Story: This case originates from Corey Switzer's reports of alleged criminal activity—including police bribery and tax evasion involving Travelland RV, LLC—to Nez Perce County, Idaho officials. After what he describes as deliberate inaction and retaliation, Switzer filed formal ethics grievances with the Idaho State Bar (ISB) against:

1. Justin Coleman – Nez Perce County Prosecutor
  - Alleged failure to investigate credible evidence of bribery and tax fraud.
  - Retaliatory censorship (blocking Switzer on an official Facebook page).
  - Hiring of conflicted counsel (Trae Turner) to defend against Switzer's bar complaint.
  - Obstruction of public records requests.
2. Trae Turner – Private Attorney
  - Filing of a "false retaliatory police report" against Switzer in Clarkston, WA.

*Feb 2 10 16*

· Representing Coleman despite an apparent conflict of interest.

### 3. April Smith – Deputy Prosecutor

· Complicity in public records obstruction and failure to investigate, extramarital affair alleged with Rick Fuentes.

Narrative: Fuentes is one of the Lewiston Police Department Captains Switzer watched taking cash bribes from Tim Krueger, (deceased) former City Council Member and former owner of Travelland RV represented by Trae Turner.

ISB Process: The ISB initially dismissed the grievances. After appeals, hearings were scheduled before separate Hearing Committees. Switzer engaged in protracted procedural conflict with the ISB to admit a voluminous evidentiary record (termed a "Hearing Study Guide"), arguing the ISB was itself obstructing justice by fragmenting review and initially rejecting his submissions.

## PART 2: CRITICAL ESCALATION – SYSTEMIC MISCONDUCT & FEDERAL ALLEGATIONS

In January 2026, my claims expanded from specific ethics violations to allegations of criminal conspiracy and institutional corruption.

· Motion for Mistrial: During the January 15, 2026 hearing for April Smith, a Bar Commissioner was overheard instructing the committee to "rush through this hearing." I had filed a Motion for Mistrial and Immediate Stay, arguing this proved supervisory misconduct and systemic bias.

· Unified Theory: I have formally linked all three grievances as parts of a single "pattern of institutional retaliation" and filed a Motion for Consolidated Due Process Review.

· Federal Criminal Allegations: I submitted a Memorandum Requesting Referral to Federal Prosecutor, alleging potential federal crimes:

· Interstate wire/bank fraud related to loans to Travelland RV.

· Conspiracy to deprive civil rights under color of law.

· New Corroboration: A sworn declaration from Sue Ann Meyers alleged an undisclosed marital relationship between prosecutor Kali Jo Parker and Trae Turner—a conflict allegedly known and ignored by Justin Coleman.

· Procedural Standoff: I have conditionally refused further participation in ISB hearings until the Bar:

1. Accepted evidence of alleged high-level improper influence.

2. Addressed the "rush through" misconduct.

3. Stayed and consolidated all cases.

## PART 3: HEARINGS & EVIDENTIARY ONSLAUGHT – JANUARY 12–15, 2026

This phase covers the immediate pre-hearing and hearing events, showcasing my strategy of transparency, procedural objection, and real-time evidence submission.

· Pre-Hearing Motions: I opposed Coleman's last-minute motions as dilatory and prejudicial.

· Hearing for Trae Turner (Jan 14): I submitted new evidence of a campaign donation from Turner to Coleman and highlighted contradictions in the employment and identity status of Meghan McCreary/Vittoria Puccini, alleging disability fraud and perjury.

· Hearing for April Smith (Jan 15): I submitted recorded calls and video evidence. The hearing was interrupted by the Bar Commissioner's "rush through" instruction, leading me to file for mistrial.

· Previous Allegation Against Turner before the ISB: Switzer provided evidence that Turner had falsely told a federal investigator that I was "found guilty of solicitation of a juvenile"—a charge that does not exist in Switzer's record.

· Public & Media Outreach: Myself and supporting witnesses (Sue Ann Smith, Justin Rodriguez) copied vast recipient lists, including media outlets, to maintain transparency and build external pressure.

## PARALLEL NARRATIVE: WASHINGTON STATE (ASOTIN COUNTY) FRONT

Simultaneously, Switzer is engaged in a fierce dispute with Asotin County, Washington:

· Allegation: The county is unlawfully use public funds to defend Judge Brooke Burns in a judicial conduct case because of the familial relationship between Commissioner Shinn and Burns, Curtis Liedkie and Hannah Liedkie.

EXH C-107

- Actions Taken: Formal complaint filed with the Washington State Auditor's Office (SAO Hotline H-25-795); multiple Public Records Act requests regarding Curtis Liedkie and Lisa Webber representing Judge Brooke Burns before the Washington State Supreme Court.
- Escalation: After missed deadlines, I announced intent to file state PRA lawsuits and a federal § 1983 civil rights lawsuit against Judge Burns for retaliatory social media blocking, in addition to the withheld Records of the same nature.

## KEY THEMES & CURRENT STATUS

- Evolution of Claims: From specific ethics complaints to allegations of a racketeering-style conspiracy involving attorneys, law enforcement, elected officials, and Bar administrators.
- Central Conflict: I am a whistleblower facing a unified system designed to protect its own. Oversight bodies (ISB, county governments) are rightfully being accused of being structurally incapable of impartial self-regulation.
- Tactics: Mass transparency, procedural aggression, and evidence bundling are used to force consolidated, high-level review.
- Immediate Impasse (as of Jan 20, 2026): The ISB has not ruled on my's renewed motions for stay, my motion for a mistrial, my renewed motions for consolidation, or my repeated requests for federal referral. I'm offering a conditional refusal to participate which creates a procedural logjam. The next steps depend on whether the ISB addresses its own demonstrable misconduct or proceeds with the scheduled hearings in an attempt to rubber stamp it and move forward.

This unified narrative illustrates my allegations of local corruption escalating into a multi-jurisdictional crisis of confidence in legal and ethical oversight, with the whistleblower now demanding federal intervention to address what I safely characterize as state-level ethical failures masking federal crimes.

Respectfully submitted,  
And seeking transparency in Justice

Corey M. Switzer

---

**Corey Switzer** <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>

Tue, Jan 20, 2026 at 7:15 PM

To: Jessica Kline <jkline@isb.idaho.gov>, Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>, Lori Ostertag <lostertag@isb.idaho.gov>, Judahluke7@gmail.com, Lisa Webber <lwebber@asotincountywa.gov>, hlitedkie@co.nezperce.id.us, Trae Turner <trae@clarkandfeeney.com>, jgish@co.nezperce.id.us, dhavens@co.nezperce.id.us, thirteentwelveforever@proton.me, info@southdakotatruth.com, 08mad10@gmail.com, city@lmtribune.com, Civil.Fraud@usdoj.gov, justin rodriguez <jrodspeaks@gmail.com>, idahoauditors@gmail.com, tips@nbcuni.com, tips@inlander.com, news@theregister.com, news@kpbx.org, Newsdesk@krem.com, newsroom@idahopress.com, news@bigcountry977.com, News4@kxly.com, Ada Eldridge <Adaeldridge@hotmail.com>, brennonlleafty@gmail.com, SUEANNSMITH32@YAHOO.COM <sueannsmith32@yahoo.com>, Imccann@house.idaho.gov, nancececcarelli@co.nezperce.id.us, Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us, Monte Schmidt <monte.schmidt@itd.idaho.gov>, jklein@cityoflewiston.org, Brian.Donovan@usdoj.gov, Representative Brandon Mitchell <bmittchell@house.idaho.gov>, bryces@co.nezperce.id.us, ISPPIO@isp.idaho.gov, Brooke Burns <bburns@co.asotin.wa.us>, Stephanie Cuddihy <StephanieC@co.nezperce.id.us>, LPD Dispatch <dispatch@cityoflewiston.org>, Jason Kuzik <jkuzik@cityoflewiston.org>, Amy Ledgerwood <AmyLedgerwood@co.nezperce.id.us>, Constituent Mailbox <AGLabrador@ag.idaho.gov>, AGO Ombuds <AGOombuds@atg.wa.gov>, tsparks@clarkstonpolice.org, AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us, Dan Johnson <danjohnson@cityoflewiston.org>, Patty Weeks <PattyWeeks@co.nezperce.id.us>, Brian Shinn <bshinn@asotincountywa.gov>, Mariel Gates <Mariel.Gates@tax.idaho.gov>, Chris Seubert <cseubert@asotincountywa.gov>, Justin Coleman <JustinColeman@co.nezperce.id.us>, Charles Whitman <cwhitman@asotincountywa.gov>, Curt Liedkie <cliedkie@asotincountywa.gov>, Intake <intake@wsba.org>, Amanda Daylong <ADaylong@nwtrialattorneys.com>, apearce@nwtrialattorneys.com, Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>, legal@libertasfunding.com, Investigations Info Requests <InvestigationsInfoRequests@isp.idaho.gov>, dmckay@asotincountywa.gov, rlynch@asotincountywa.gov, Public Disclosure <PDisclosure@asotincountywa.gov>, Stacey Harman <SHarman@asotincountywa.gov>

Subject: Reminder: Record Remains Open for ISB Case No. 25-187a (Trae Turner) Until EOB Today, 5:00pm MST, January 20, 2026

*Eth C-108*



To: Idaho State Bar - Professional Conduct Board  
Attn: Karen Carlisle, Clerk; Hearing Committee 25-187a; Bar Counsel  
Cc: Trae Turner; All Parties of Record

Date: January 20, 2026

Dear Ms. Carlisle and Members of the Hearing Committee,

This email serves as a formal reminder that, pursuant to the notice provided in my email of January 19, 2026, and reiterated on January 20, 2026, the evidentiary record for ISB Case No. 25-187a (In re: Trae D. Turner) remains open for the receipt of supplemental submissions until the close of business today, Tuesday, January 20, 2026, at 5:00 PM Mountain Time.

As previously advised, I have submitted all relevant supplemental materials via email attachment. The record should be considered complete upon the inclusion of these submissions.

Please confirm receipt of this reminder and the closure of the record at the stated deadline.

Should the record be improperly closed prior to this deadline, or should submissions be excluded without due cause, I will have no alternative but to seek immediate relief through a writ of mandamus to the Idaho Supreme Court to enforce this procedural right and ensure a complete record for review.

Respectfully,

Corey M. Switzer  
Complainant, ISB File No. 25-187a  
[coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com) | 218-461-9868  
[Quoted text hidden]

Karen Carlisle <[kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov)>  
To: Corey Switzer <[coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com)>

Wed, Jan 21, 2026 at 10:15 AM

This email was received at 5:16 p.m. and will not be included in the record.

**From:** Corey Switzer <[coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 20, 2026 5:15 PM  
**To:** Jessica Kline <[jkline@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:jkline@isb.idaho.gov)>; Joseph Pirtle <[jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov)>; Lori Ostertag <[lostertag@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:lostertag@isb.idaho.gov)>; Judahluke7@gmail.com; Lisa Webber <[lwebber@asotincountywa.gov](mailto:lwebber@asotincountywa.gov)>; [hliedkie@co.nezperce.id.us](mailto:hliedkie@co.nezperce.id.us); Trae Turner <[trae@clarkandfeeney.com](mailto:trae@clarkandfeeney.com)>; [jgish@co.nezperce.id.us](mailto:jgish@co.nezperce.id.us); [dhavens@co.nezperce.id.us](mailto:dhavens@co.nezperce.id.us); [thirteentwelveforever@proton.me](mailto:thirteentwelveforever@proton.me); [info@southdakotatruth.com](mailto:info@southdakotatruth.com); [08mad10@gmail.com](mailto:08mad10@gmail.com); [city@lmtribune.com](mailto:city@lmtribune.com); [Civil.Fraud@usdoj.gov](mailto:Civil.Fraud@usdoj.gov); [justin.rodriguez@jrodspeaks@gmail.com](mailto:justin.rodriguez@jrodspeaks@gmail.com); [idaohauditors@gmail.com](mailto:idaohauditors@gmail.com); [tips@nbcuni.com](mailto:tips@nbcuni.com); [tips@inlander.com](mailto:tips@inlander.com); [news@theregister.com](mailto:news@theregister.com); [news@kpbx.org](mailto:news@kpbx.org); [Newsdesk@krem.com](mailto:Newsdesk@krem.com); [newsroom@idahopress.com](mailto:newsroom@idahopress.com); [news@bigcountry977.com](mailto:news@bigcountry977.com); [News4@kxly.com](mailto:News4@kxly.com); Ada Eldridge <[Adaeldridge@hotmail.com](mailto:Adaeldridge@hotmail.com)>; [brennonlleafty@gmail.com](mailto:brennonlleafty@gmail.com); [SUEANNSMITH32@YAHOO.COM](mailto:SUEANNSMITH32@YAHOO.COM); [lmccann@house.idaho.gov](mailto:lmccann@house.idaho.gov); [nancececcarelli@co.nezperce.id.us](mailto:nancececcarelli@co.nezperce.id.us); [Dale\\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us](mailto:Dale_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us); Monte Schmidt <[monte.schmidt@itd.idaho.gov](mailto:monte.schmidt@itd.idaho.gov)>; [jklein@cityoflewiston.org](mailto:jklein@cityoflewiston.org); [Brian.Donovan@usdoj.gov](mailto:Brian.Donovan@usdoj.gov); Representative Brandon Mitchell <[bmitchell@house.idaho.gov](mailto:bmitchell@house.idaho.gov)>; [bryces@co.nezperce.id.us](mailto:bryces@co.nezperce.id.us); [ISPPIO@isp.idaho.gov](mailto:ISPPIO@isp.idaho.gov); Brooke Burns <[bburns@co.asotin.wa.us](mailto:bburns@co.asotin.wa.us)>; Stephanie Cuddihy <[StephanieC@co.nezperce.id.us](mailto:StephanieC@co.nezperce.id.us)>; LPD Dispatch <[dispatch@cityoflewiston.org](mailto:dispatch@cityoflewiston.org)>; Jason Kuzik <[jkuzik@cityoflewiston.org](mailto:jkuzik@cityoflewiston.org)>; Amy Ledgerwood <[AmyLedgerwood@co.nezperce.id.us](mailto:AmyLedgerwood@co.nezperce.id.us)>; Constituent Mailbox <[AGLabrador@ag.idaho.gov](mailto:AGLabrador@ag.idaho.gov)>; AGO Ombuds <[AGOombuds@atg.wa.gov](mailto:AGOombuds@atg.wa.gov)>; [tsparks@clarkstonpolice.org](mailto:tsparks@clarkstonpolice.org); [AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us](mailto:AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us); Dan Johnson <[danjohnson@cityoflewiston.org](mailto:danjohnson@cityoflewiston.org)>; Patty Weeks <[PattyWeeks@co.nezperce.id.us](mailto:PattyWeeks@co.nezperce.id.us)>; Brian Shinn <[bshinn@asotincountywa.gov](mailto:bshinn@asotincountywa.gov)>; Mariel Gates <[Mariel.Gates@tax.idaho.gov](mailto:Mariel.Gates@tax.idaho.gov)>; Chris Seubert

EX 909



**Corey Switzer** <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>

Wed, Jan 21, 2026 at 3:50 PM

To: Jessica Kline <jkline@isb.idaho.gov>, Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>, Lori Ostertag <lostertag@isb.idaho.gov>, Judahluke7@gmail.com, Lisa Webber <lwebber@asotincountywa.gov>, hliedkie@co.nezperce.id.us, Trae Turner <trae@clarkandfeeney.com>, jgish@co.nezperce.id.us, dhavens@co.nezperce.id.us, thirteentwelveforever@proton.me, info@southdakotatruth.com, 08mad10@gmail.com, city@lmtribune.com, Civil.Fraud@usdoj.gov, justin rodriguez <jrodspeaks@gmail.com>, idahoauditors@gmail.com, tips@nbcuni.com, tips@inlander.com, news@theregister.com, news@kpbx.org, Newsdesk@krem.com, newsroom@idahopress.com, news@bigcountry977.com, News4@kxly.com, Ada Eldridge <Adaeldridge@hotmail.com>, brennonlleafty@gmail.com, SUEANNSMITH32@YAHOO.COM <sueannsmith32@yahoo.com>, Imccann@house.idaho.gov, nancececcarelli@co.nezperce.id.us, Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us, Monte Schmidt <monte.schmidt@itd.idaho.gov>, jklein@cityoflewiston.org, Brian.Donovan@usdoj.gov, Representative Brandon Mitchell <bmitchell@house.idaho.gov>, bryces@co.nezperce.id.us, ISPIO@isp.idaho.gov, Brooke Burns <bburns@co.asotin.wa.us>, Stephanie Cuddihy <StephanieC@co.nezperce.id.us>, LPD Dispatch <dispatch@cityoflewiston.org>, Jason Kuzik <jkuzik@cityoflewiston.org>, Amy Ledgerwood <AmyLedgerwood@co.nezperce.id.us>, Constituent Mailbox <AGLabrador@ag.idaho.gov>, AGO Ombuds <AGOombuds@atg.wa.gov>, tsparks@clarkstonpolice.org, AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us, Dan Johnson <danjohnson@cityoflewiston.org>, Patty Weeks <PattyWeeks@co.nezperce.id.us>, Brian Shinn <bshinn@asotincountywa.gov>, Mariel Gates <Mariel.Gates@tax.idaho.gov>, Chris Seubert <cseubert@asotincountywa.gov>, Justin Coleman <JustinColeman@co.nezperce.id.us>, Charles Whitman <cwhitman@asotincountywa.gov>, Curt Liedkie <cliedkie@asotincountywa.gov>, Intake <intake@wsba.org>, Amanda Daylong <ADaylong@nwtrialattorneys.com>, apearce@nwtrialattorneys.com, Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>, legal@libertasfunding.com, Investigations Info Requests <InvestigationsInfoRequests@isp.idaho.gov>, dmckay@asotincountywa.gov, rlynch@asotincountywa.gov, Public Disclosure <PDisclosure@asotincountywa.gov>, Stacey Harman <SHarman@asotincountywa.gov>, usdemsocialists@gmail.com, objectionoverruled2@gmail.com, whistleblower@startribune.com

**\*\*To:\*\*** Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>

**\*\*Cc:\*\*** Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>; Hearing Committee Chair Carole I. Wesenberg; April Smith <AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us>

**\*\*Subject:\*\*** Motion to Reopen Record and for Emergency Relief - ISB Case No. 25-168a

Dear Ms. Carlisle:

Pursuant to the Idaho Bar Commission Rules and Idaho Rules of Civil Procedure, please find attached the following motion for filing in Case No. 25-168a, \*In re: April Smith\*.

**\*\*Document Attached:\*\*** "EMERGENCY MOTION TO REOPEN RECORD AND FOR RELIEF - Case No. 25-168a.pdf"

This motion is submitted separately as a single document per your instructions. No additional attachments are included in this email.

Respectfully,

Corey M. Switzer  
Complainant, Pro Se  
[coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com)  
218-461-9868  
[Quoted text hidden]

**Corey Switzer** <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>

Wed, Jan 21, 2026 at 3:50 PM

To: Jessica Kline <jkline@isb.idaho.gov>, Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>, Lori Ostertag <lostertag@isb.idaho.gov>, Judahluke7@gmail.com, Lisa Webber <lwebber@asotincountywa.gov>, hliedkie@co.nezperce.id.us, Trae Turner <trae@clarkandfeeney.com>, jgish@co.nezperce.id.us,

EXH C-1/D



dhavens@co.nezperce.id.us, thirteentwelforever@proton.me, info@southdakotatruth.com, 08mad10@gmail.com, city@lmtribune.com, Civil.Fraud@usdoj.gov, justin rodriguez <jrodspeaks@gmail.com>, idahoauditors@gmail.com, tips@nbcuni.com, tips@inlander.com, news@theregister.com, news@kpbx.org, Newsdesk@krem.com, newsroom@idahopress.com, news@bigcountry977.com, News4@kxly.com, Ada Eldridge <Adaeldridge@hotmail.com>, brennonleaffty@gmail.com, SUEANNSMITH32@YAHOO.COM <sueannsmith32@yahoo.com>, Imccann@house.idaho.gov, nancececcarelli@co.nezperce.id.us, Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us, Monte Schmidt <monte.schmidt@itd.idaho.gov>, jklein@cityoflewiston.org, Brian.Donovan@usdoj.gov, Representative Brandon Mitchell <bmitchell@house.idaho.gov>, bryces@co.nezperce.id.us, ISPPPIO@isp.idaho.gov, Brooke Burns <bburns@co.asotin.wa.us>, Stephanie Cuddihy <StephanieC@co.nezperce.id.us>, LPD Dispatch <dispatch@cityoflewiston.org>, Jason Kuzik <jkuzik@cityoflewiston.org>, Amy Ledgerwood <AmyLedgerwood@co.nezperce.id.us>, Constituent Mailbox <AGLabrador@ag.idaho.gov>, AGO Ombuds <AGOombuds@atg.wa.gov>, tsparks@clarkstonpolice.org, AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us, Dan Johnson <danjohnson@cityoflewiston.org>, Patty Weeks <PattyWeeks@co.nezperce.id.us>, Brian Shinn <bshinn@asotincountywa.gov>, Mariel Gates <Mariel.Gates@tax.idaho.gov>, Chris Seubert <cseubert@asotincountywa.gov>, Justin Coleman <JustinColeman@co.nezperce.id.us>, Charles Whitman <cwhitman@asotincountywa.gov>, Curt Liedkie <cliedkie@asotincountywa.gov>, Intake <intake@wsba.org>, Amanda Daylong <ADaylong@nwtrialattorneys.com>, apearce@nwtrialattorneys.com, Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>, legal@libertasfunding.com, Investigations Info Requests <InvestigationsInfoRequests@isp.idaho.gov>, dmckay@asotincountywa.gov, rlynch@asotincountywa.gov, Public Disclosure <PDisclosure@asotincountywa.gov>, Stacey Harman <SHarman@asotincountywa.gov>, usdemsocialists@gmail.com, objectionoverruled2@gmail.com, whistleblower@startribune.com

**\*\*To:\*\*** Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>

**\*\*Cc:\*\*** Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>; Hearing Committee Chair Alycia T. Moss; Justin Coleman <JustinColeman@co.nezperce.id.us>

**\*\*Subject:\*\*** Motion to Reopen Record and for Emergency Relief - ISB Case No. 25-169a

Dear Ms. Carlisle:

Pursuant to the Idaho Bar Commission Rules and Idaho Rules of Civil Procedure, please find attached the following motion for filing in Case No. 25-169a, \*In re: Justin Coleman\*.

**\*\*Document Attached:\*\*** "EMERGENCY MOTION TO REOPEN RECORD AND FOR RELIEF - Case No. 25-169a.pdf"

This motion is submitted separately as a single document per your instructions. No additional attachments are included in this email.

Respectfully,

Corey M. Switzer  
Complainant, Pro Se  
[coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com)

218-461-9868

[Quoted text hidden]

---

 **Coleman\_merged-Corrected (1).pdf**  
6.3 MB

---

**Karen Carlisle** <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>  
To: Corey Switzer <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>


Wed, Jan 21, 2026 at 3:52 PM

Mr. Switzer:

Your email contains an additional attachment. Please resubmit the email with only the Motion for each case. The subject line should reference which case you wish to file into.

Eth C-111





Respectfully,

**From:** Corey Switzer <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 21, 2026 1:49 PM  
**To:** Jessica Kline <jkline@isb.idaho.gov>; Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>; Lori Ostertag <lostertag@isb.idaho.gov>; Judahluke7@gmail.com; Lisa Webber <lwebber@asotincountywa.gov>; hliedkie@co.nezperce.id.us; Trae Turner <trae@clarkandfeeney.com>; jgish@co.nezperce.id.us; dhavens@co.nezperce.id.us; thirteen-twelve-forever@proton.me; info@southdakotatruth.com; 08mad10@gmail.com; city@lmtribune.com; Civil.Fraud@usdoj.gov; justin rodriguez <jrodspeaks@gmail.com>; idahoauditors@gmail.com; tips@nbcuni.com; tips@inlander.com; news@theregister.com; news@kpbx.org; Newsdesk@krem.com; newsroom@idahopress.com; news@bigcountry977.com; News4@kxly.com; Ada Eldridge <Adaeldridge@hotmail.com>; brennonlleafy@gmail.com; SUEANNSMITH32@YAHOO.COM; Imccann@house.idaho.gov; nancececcarelli@co.nezperce.id.us; Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us; Monte Schmidt <monte.schmidt@itd.idaho.gov>; jklein@cityoflewiston.org; Brian.Donovan@usdoj.gov; Representative Brandon Mitchell <bmittchell@house.idaho.gov>; bryces@co.nezperce.id.us; ISPPPIO@isp.idaho.gov; Brooke Burns <bburns@co.asotin.wa.us>; Stephanie Cuddihy <StephanieC@co.nezperce.id.us>; LPD Dispatch <dispatch@cityoflewiston.org>; Jason Kuzik <jkuzik@cityoflewiston.org>; Amy Ledgerwood <AmyLedgerwood@co.nezperce.id.us>; Constituent Mailbox <AGLabrador@ag.idaho.gov>; AGO Ombuds <AGOombuds@atg.wa.gov>; tsparks@clarkstonpolice.org; AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us; Dan Johnson <danjohnson@cityoflewiston.org>; Patty Weeks <PattyWeeks@co.nezperce.id.us>; Brian Shinn <bshinn@asotincountywa.gov>; Mariel Gates <Mariel.Gates@tax.idaho.gov>; Chris Seubert <cseubert@asotincountywa.gov>; Justin Coleman <JustinColeman@co.nezperce.id.us>; Charles Whitman <cwhitman@asotincountywa.gov>; Curt Liedkie <cliedkie@asotincountywa.gov>; Intake <intake@wsba.org>; Amanda Daylong <ADaylong@nwtrialattorneys.com>; apearce@nwtrialattorneys.com; Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>; legal@libertasfunding.com; Investigations Info Requests <InvestigationsInfoRequests@isp.idaho.gov>; dmckay@asotincountywa.gov; rlynch@asotincountywa.gov; Public Disclosure <PDisclosure@asotincountywa.gov>; Stacey Harman <SHarman@asotincountywa.gov>; usdemsocialists@gmail.com; objectionoverruled2@gmail.com; whistleblower@startribune.com  
**Subject:** Re: January RICO Correspondence, Part 2

**CAUTION:** This email originated outside the State of Idaho network. Verify links and attachments BEFORE you click or open, even if you recognize and/or trust the sender. Contact your agency service desk with any concerns.

\*\*To:\*\* Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>

[Quoted text hidden]  
[Quoted text hidden]

Eth C-112



Mr. Switzer:

Please revise your pleading for filing, as it appears that several pages are duplicative.

Respectfully,

**From:** Corey Switzer <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 21, 2026 1:51 PM  
**To:** Jessica Kline <jkline@isb.idaho.gov>; Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>; Lori Ostertag <lostertag@isb.idaho.gov>; Judahluke7@gmail.com; Lisa Webber <lwebber@asotincountywa.gov>; hliedkie@co.nezperce.id.us; Trae Turner <trae@clarkandfeeney.com>; jgish@co.nezperce.id.us; dhavens@co.nezperce.id.us; thirteentwelveforever@proton.me; info@southdakotatruth.com; 08mad10@gmail.com; city@lmtribune.com; Civil.Fraud@usdoj.gov; justin rodriguez <jrodspeaks@gmail.com>; idahoauditors@gmail.com; tips@nbcuni.com; tips@inlander.com; news@theregister.com; news@kpbx.org; Newsdesk@krem.com; newsroom@idahopress.com; news@bigcountry977.com; News4@kxly.com; Ada Eldridge <Adaeldridge@hotmail.com>; brennonlleafy@gmail.com; SUEANNSMITH32@YAHOO.COM; Imccann@house.idaho.gov; nancececcarelli@co.nezperce.id.us; Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us; Monte Schmidt <monte.schmidt@itd.idaho.gov>; jklein@cityoflewiston.org; Brian.Donovan@usdoj.gov; Representative Brandon Mitchell <bmitchell@house.idaho.gov>; bryces@co.nezperce.id.us; ISPIO@isp.idaho.gov; Brooke Burns <bburns@co.asotin.wa.us>; Stephanie Cuddihy <StephanieC@co.nezperce.id.us>; LPD Dispatch <dispatch@cityoflewiston.org>; Jason Kuzik <jkuzik@cityoflewiston.org>; Amy Ledgerwood <AmyLedgerwood@co.nezperce.id.us>; Constituent Mailbox <AGLabrador@ag.idaho.gov>; AGO Ombuds <AGOombuds@atg.wa.gov>; tsparks@clarkstonpolice.org; AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us; Dan Johnson <danjohnson@cityoflewiston.org>; Patty Weeks <PattyWeeks@co.nezperce.id.us>; Brian Shinn <bshinn@asotincountywa.gov>; Mariel Gates <Mariel.Gates@tax.idaho.gov>; Chris Seubert <cseubert@asotincountywa.gov>; Justin Coleman <JustinColeman@co.nezperce.id.us>; Charles Whitman <cwhitman@asotincountywa.gov>; Curt Liedkie <cliedkie@asotincountywa.gov>; Intake <intake@wsba.org>; Amanda Daylong <ADaylong@nwtrialattorneys.com>; apearce@nwtrialattorneys.com; Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>; legal@libertasfunding.com; Investigations Info Requests <InvestigationsInfoRequests@isp.idaho.gov>; dmckay@asotincountywa.gov; rlynch@asotincountywa.gov; Public Disclosure <PDisclosure@asotincountywa.gov>; Stacey Harman <SHarman@asotincountywa.gov>; usdemsocialists@gmail.com; objectionoverruled2@gmail.com; whistleblower@startribune.com  
**Subject:** Re: January RICO Correspondence, Part 2

**CAUTION:** This email originated outside the State of Idaho network. Verify links and attachments BEFORE you click or open, even if you recognize and/or trust the sender. Contact your agency service desk with any concerns.

\*\*To:\*\* Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>

[Quoted text hidden]  
[Quoted text hidden]

Ex P-113



**Corey Switzer** <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>

Wed, Jan 21, 2026 at 4:00 PM

To: Jessica Kline <jkline@isb.idaho.gov>, Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>, Lori Ostertag <lostertag@isb.idaho.gov>, Judahluke7@gmail.com, Lisa Webber <lwebber@asotincountywa.gov>, hliedkie@co.nezperce.id.us, Trae Turner <trae@clarkandfeeney.com>, jgish@co.nezperce.id.us, dhavens@co.nezperce.id.us, thirteentwelveforever@proton.me, info@southdakotatruth.com, 08mad10@gmail.com, city@lmtribune.com, Civil.Fraud@usdoj.gov, justin rodriguez <jrodspeaks@gmail.com>, idahoauditors@gmail.com, tips@nbcuni.com, tips@inlander.com, news@theregister.com, news@kpbx.org, Newsdesk@krem.com, newsroom@idahopress.com, news@bigcountry977.com, News4@kxly.com, Ada Eldridge <Adaeldridge@hotmail.com>, brennonlleafty@gmail.com, SUEANNSMITH32@YAHOO.COM <sueannsmith32@yahoo.com>, Imccann@house.idaho.gov, nancececcarelli@co.nezperce.id.us, Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us, Monte Schmidt <monte.schmidt@itd.idaho.gov>, jklein@cityoflewiston.org, Brian.Donovan@usdoj.gov, Representative Brandon Mitchell <bmittchell@house.idaho.gov>, bryces@co.nezperce.id.us, ISPPIO@isp.idaho.gov, Brooke Burns <bburns@co.asotin.wa.us>, Stephanie Cuddihy <StephanieC@co.nezperce.id.us>, LPD Dispatch <dispatch@cityoflewiston.org>, Jason Kuzik <jkuzik@cityoflewiston.org>, Amy Ledgerwood <AmyLedgerwood@co.nezperce.id.us>, Constituent Mailbox <AGLabrador@ag.idaho.gov>, AGO Ombuds <AGOombuds@atg.wa.gov>, tsparks@clarkstonpolice.org, AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us, Dan Johnson <danjohnson@cityoflewiston.org>, Patty Weeks <PattyWeeks@co.nezperce.id.us>, Brian Shinn <bshinn@asotincountywa.gov>, Mariel Gates <Mariel.Gates@tax.idaho.gov>, Chris Seubert <cseubert@asotincountywa.gov>, Justin Coleman <JustinColeman@co.nezperce.id.us>, Charles Whitman <cwhitman@asotincountywa.gov>, Curt Liedkie <cliedkie@asotincountywa.gov>, Intake <intake@wsba.org>, Amanda Daylong <ADaylong@nwtrialattorneys.com>, apearce@nwtrialattorneys.com, Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>, legal@libertasfunding.com, Investigations Info Requests <InvestigationsInfoRequests@isp.idaho.gov>, dmckay@asotincountywa.gov, rlynch@asotincountywa.gov, Public Disclosure <PDisclosure@asotincountywa.gov>, Stacey Harman <SHarman@asotincountywa.gov>, usdemsocialists@gmail.com, objectionoverruled2@gmail.com, whistleblower@startribune.com

Subject: Formal Request for Procedural Guidance & Argument Against Forced Duplicative Filings – Cases 25-168a, 25-169a, 25-187a

To: Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>

Cc: Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>, Hearing Committee Chairs, Bar Counsel

Date: January 21, 2026

Dear Ms. Carlisle,

I am writing in response to your instructions requiring separate motions for each of the above-referenced cases, with each filing to contain only a single PDF document. I have made good-faith efforts to comply with these formatting requirements.

However, a significant procedural and substantive issue has arisen that I must bring to the Board's attention, as it affects the integrity and efficiency of these proceedings.

**The Core Issue: Inextricably Interlinked Facts & Evidence**

The three cases (25-168a, 25-169a, 25-187a) are not isolated incidents. They arise from a single, unified course of conduct—a reported pattern of retaliation and obstruction against a whistleblower. The Idaho State Bar's own records now contain evidence (such as the Bar Commissioner's "rush through" instruction on January 15, 2026) that demonstrates these matters are administratively and factually connected.

Consequently, the central evidence—including the public records response from the Idaho Legislative Services Office, sworn witness declarations, and documentation of alleged systemic bias—is identical and relevant to all three cases. Forcing the submission of separate motions per case does not create three distinct records; it creates three copies of the same essential record, with only the respondent's name and a handful of tailored paragraphs changed.

**The Practical and Due Process Concerns of Forced Duplication**

1. **Judicial Economy:** This requirement generates unnecessary volume, complicating the Board's review rather than streamlining it.
2. **Risk of Inconsistent Records:** If motions or evidence are filed separately but not identically, it could create procedural confusion or an incomplete record in one or more cases.

*Fth C-114*

3. Substance Over Form: The Idaho Rules of Civil Procedure and the Idaho Bar Commission Rules prioritize fair and efficient adjudication. Requiring hundreds of pages of identical exhibits to be filed in triplicate elevates form over substance and places a undue burden on a pro se complainant.
4. Prejudice: The core of my pending motions is that these cases must be consolidated to be fairly evaluated. Being forced to argue for consolidation within three separate, duplicative filings is a procedural contradiction that undermines the argument itself.

#### Respectful Request for Guidance or Exception

I have complied with the formatting instructions for three separate motions. However, I respectfully request that the Board or the Clerk's Office:

1. Formally Acknowledge the Duplication: Recognize that the record in these three cases is substantially unified, and that future filings will inherently contain significant overlap.
2. Grant an Exception or Provide Alternate Instructions: Allow for a single set of core exhibits to be referenced across all three case files, or provide clear guidance on how to avoid prejudicial duplication while technically complying with the "separate case" rule.
3. Prioritize Ruling on the Consolidation Motions: The most logical solution to this procedural impasse is to rule on the pending Motion for Consolidated Due Process Review. If the cases are consolidated, the issue of duplicative filings becomes moot.

I am prepared to comply with all valid procedural rules. My goal is to ensure a complete and fair record for the Board's review. I believe addressing this duplication issue is a necessary step toward that end.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Respectfully,

Corey M. Switzer  
Complainant, Pro Se  
coreyswitzer@gmail.com | 218-461-9868

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**Corey Switzer** <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>

Wed, Jan 21, 2026 at 4:18 PM

To: Jessica Kline <jkline@isb.idaho.gov>, Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>, Lori Ostertag <lostertag@isb.idaho.gov>, Judahluke7@gmail.com, Lisa Webber <lwebber@asotincountywa.gov>, hliedkie@co.nezperce.id.us, Trae Turner <trae@clarkandfeeney.com>, jgish@co.nezperce.id.us, dhavens@co.nezperce.id.us, thirteentwelveforever@proton.me, info@southdakotatruth.com, 08mad10@gmail.com, city@lmtribune.com, Civil.Fraud@usdoj.gov, justin rodriguez <jrodspeaks@gmail.com>, idahoauditors@gmail.com, tips@nbcuni.com, tips@inlander.com, news@theregister.com, news@kpbx.org, Newsdesk@krem.com, newsroom@idahopress.com, news@bigcountry977.com, News4@kxly.com, Ada Eldridge <Adaeldridge@hotmail.com>, brennonleaffy@gmail.com, SUEANNSMITH32@YAHOO.COM <sueannsmith32@yahoo.com>, Imccann@house.idaho.gov, nancececcarelli@co.nezperce.id.us, Dale\_Slack@co.columbia.wa.us, Monte Schmidt <monte.schmidt@itd.idaho.gov>, jklein@cityoflewiston.org, Brian.Donovan@usdoj.gov, Representative Brandon Mitchell <bmmitchell@house.idaho.gov>, bryces@co.nezperce.id.us, ISPPIO@isp.idaho.gov, Brooke Burns <bburns@co.asotin.wa.us>, Stephanie Cuddihy <StephanieC@co.nezperce.id.us>, LPD Dispatch <dispatch@cityoflewiston.org>, Jason Kuzik <jkuzik@cityoflewiston.org>, Amy Ledgerwood <AmyLedgerwood@co.nezperce.id.us>, Constituent Mailbox <AGLabrador@ag.idaho.gov>, AGO Ombuds <AGOombuds@atg.wa.gov>, tsparks@clarkstonpolice.org, AprilS@co.nezperce.id.us, Dan Johnson <danjohnson@cityoflewiston.org>, Patty Weeks <PattyWeeks@co.nezperce.id.us>, Brian Shinn <bshinn@asotincountywa.gov>, Mariel Gates <Mariel.Gates@tax.idaho.gov>, Chris Seubert <cseubert@asotincountywa.gov>, Justin Coleman <JustinColeman@co.nezperce.id.us>, Charles Whitman <cwhitman@asotincountywa.gov>, Curt Liedkie <cliedkie@asotincountywa.gov>, Intake <intake@wsba.org>, Amanda Daylong <ADaylong@nwtrialattorneys.com>, apearce@nwtrialattorneys.com, Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>, legal@libertasfunding.com, Investigations Info Requests <InvestigationsInfoRequests@isp.idaho.gov>, dmckay@asotincountywa.gov, rlynch@asotincountywa.gov, Public Disclosure <PDisclosure@asotincountywa.gov>, Stacey Harman <SHarman@asotincountywa.gov>, usdemsocialists@gmail.com, objectionoverruled2@gmail.com, whistleblower@startribune.com

To everyone else listed,  
Keep these documents for the records.

ETH C-115

Respondent, Jane Doe, Attorney

March 7, 2026

Juneal C. Kerrick  
Senior District Judge  
Canyon County Courthouse  
1115 Albany  
Caldwell, Idaho 83605

Re: John Doe (2026-05) v. Jane Doe, Attorney,  
Supreme Court Docket No. 53669-2026  
Idaho State Bar No. 26-026C

Dear Judge Kerrick:

On January 15, 2026, I presided over an appeal against an attorney filed by Petitioner. At that time there were two other appeals pending wherein Petitioner was the Grievant. Following the Bar Counsel's disposition, Petitioner submitted an additional 1,551 pages. On January 8, I filed an order allowing the supplemental filings. At that time, I also limited the arguments to 10 minutes each with a 3 minute rebuttal pursuant to I.B.C.R. 509(d)(4) ("The Hearing Committee chair may limit the presentation at the hearing, in his or her sole discretion.").

Thereafter, Petitioner submitted a 14-page Hearing Study Guide, an email from the Bay Area Transparency, a 48-page Comprehensive Hearing Study Guide, a 50-page Supplemental Filing, a 41-page email, and a 22-page email containing links to two you tube videos.

Prior to the hearing, I had a conversation with the Clerk. At that time one other member of the Hearing Board was present. The Clerk informed me that the Hearing Committee in case no. 25-187a (Petitioner's other case) had left the record open for an additional seven days. I was aware at that time that the other Hearing Committee had closed the record for the other pending case (no. 25-169a).

Exh D-1 (1)



I informed the Clerk and the other Committee member that, in my discretion, I would be closing the record as of today and would not accept additional filings. During this conversation, Petitioner appeared. This conversation is the source of his complaint against me. At no time did I instruct the other committee member to “rush through the hearing” nor did I address the merits of the case. Again, my only comment was that the record was going to be closed that day.

During the hearing, I allowed Petitioner to submit his additional materials into the record. Upon the parties agreeing that there were no additional records that needed to be submitted, I closed the record for submission of additional materials. Audio Hearing at 3:40–3:46. I then allowed Petitioner to proceed with his argument. *Id.* at 5:50. During this presentation, Petitioner made an inaccurate statement with regard to what he perceived occurred, Audio Hearing at 6:53–7:07, for which I corrected him, *Id.* at 7:07–7:44. Although I only referenced the committee member in my comment to Petitioner, I should have also included that I was speaking with the clerk. Petitioner presented his case in chief for approximately nine minutes, *see id.* at 5:50–15:44. and his rebuttal for approximately four minutes, *see id.* at 19:42–23:40. At no time did I attempt to rush Petitioner or prevent him from presenting all of his evidence.

Following the hearing, Petitioner continued to email the Clerk, providing additional materials. On January 21, 2026, Petitioner filed an Emergency Motion to: (1) Reopen Written Record; (2) Compel Ruling on Pending Motions; (3) Stay Proceedings; (4) Consolidate with Related Cases; and (5) Refer for Impartial Review. On January 21, I denied the motions.

On January 27, 2026, Petitioner filed his grievance against me. Thereafter, Petitioner has filed numerous motions with the Idaho Supreme Court in case nos. 53674-2026, 53669-2026. Many of the allegations are unrelated to the case upon which I was assigned and require no response to the extent they related to the grievance against me. To date, the Idaho Supreme Court has denied these motions.

With regard to Petitioner’s specific rule allegations, I will address each briefly.

1. I.R.P.C. 8.4(d)

The record does not establish a violation of Idaho Rule of Professional Conduct 8.4(d). First, I do not represent Petitioner. Moreover, I have not and did not

*Eth D-1 (2)*

demonstrate any bias or lack of impartiality with regard to either party.

Although Petitioner alleges that I engaged in ex parte communications, that is not an accurate representation. The discussion prior to the hearing was not a closed door meeting. There was no ex parte communication with a third party. The Clerk and the other Hearing Committee member are a part of the Professional Conduct Board and are not parties to the action. At the time the conversation began, neither Petitioner nor attorney respondent were present. Moreover, at no time, did we discuss the merits of the case prior to the conclusion of hearing. I allowed Petitioner to present his full and complete argument. Petitioner did not indicate during the hearing that he was not allowed to present his case (absent his assertion that all three cases should be heard together). All members of the Hearing Committee were able to ask questions, and Petitioner was allowed to respond. Following the hearing, the Hearing Committee deliberated and came to a unanimous decision for which I was instructed to write the disposition on behalf of the committee.

2. I am unaware of any case law or rulings in a similar context. However, as a comparison to the judicial context, "judicial rulings alone almost never constitute a valid basis for a bias or partiality motion." *See Liteky v. United States*, 510 U.S. 540, 555 (1994); *see also Ginsberg v. Evergreen Sec., Ltd.*, 570 F.3d 1257, 1265 (11th Cir.2009) ("The mere filing of a complaint of judicial misconduct is not grounds for recusal.").

When I was assigned this matter, all of the parties referenced in the appeal were unknown to me. My first contact with Petitioner and the attorney-respondent was on January 15, 2026. I have since had no contact with the attorney-respondent. However, Petitioner has sent numerous emails to me since that date, to which I have not responded. Petitioner's emails and filings to and against me did not alter my conclusions. I have no biases for or against either party in the underlying case. I reviewed Bar Counsel's decision and based on the two alleged professional rules violations, and I determined that Petitioner had not met his burden. My colleagues on the Hearing Committee independently reached the same conclusion.

I have no personal interest in the outcome of the underlying proceeding or any of the companion cases. Although I have an interest in the outcome of this proceedings, Petitioner's filing did not affect my decision or that of the Hearing Committee. Petitioner's filings did not cause an actual conflict of interest under I.R.C.P. 1.7 or the Idaho Code of Judicial Conduct 2.11. First, neither rule applies

Exh D-1 (3)

here, because I did not represent either party below. Nor am I bound by the rules of judicial conduct. Nevertheless, the record does not demonstrate that I acted impartially when I issued the unanimous decision after Petitioner filed the present grievance against me.

3. The decision was issued in compliance with the I.B.C.R. 509(d)(6). Accordingly, the rules did allow for me to stay the proceedings, despite Petitioner's filings.

The decision did not address the additional materials, because the record was closed on January 15, 2026. The rules do not require the Hearing Committee to accept new evidence after the record is closed. I did not consider the grievance or the motions when issuing the decision. Moreover, I took no part in the decisions in the related cases or their timing.

Pursuant to I.B.R.C. 509(d)(8), if the "Hearing Committee's decision results in no Sanction being imposed on the Respondent . . . [the decision] shall be final." The rules presume that a decision should be issued in 21 days and do not require the admission of additional evidence. Nor do they contemplate an appeal to the Idaho Supreme Court. Even if this were an incorrect interpretation, any error was harmless based on the Idaho Supreme Court's subsequent denial of the motions. Accordingly, none of my actions implicated I.R.C.P. 8.4(c) or 8.4(d).

Thank you.

/s/ Respondant, Jane Doe

ETH D-1 (4)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Petition for Grievance Against Attorney Jane Doe, I.B.C.R. 508(a).

JOHN DOE (2026-05),

Petitioner,

v.

JANE DOE, Attorney,

Respondent.

)

)

)

) Supreme Court Docket No. 53669-2026

)

) Idaho State Bar No. 26-026C

)

)

)

)

)

REBUTTAL TO RESPONDENT'S RESPONSE

**Submitted to:** Senior Judge Juneal C. Kerrick Canyon County Courthouse 1115 Albany  
Caldwell, Idaho 83605 [jkerrick@idcourts.net](mailto:jkerrick@idcourts.net)

**Submitted by:** John Doe (2026-05), Petitioner Pro Se 264 Kernodle Rd Gibsonville, NC 27249  
[Email on file with Court] [Phone on file with Court]

**Submitted on:** March 8, 2026

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Section | Description                                        | Page |
|---------|----------------------------------------------------|------|
| I.      | INTRODUCTION                                       | 3    |
| II.     | RESPONSE TO<br>RESPONDENT'S FACTUAL<br>ALLEGATIONS | 4    |

E-1 E-1



| Section | Description                                                           | Page |
|---------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
|         | A. The January 15, 2026 Pre-Hearing Communication                     | 4    |
|         | B. The "Rush Through" Instruction – Two Different Statements          | 4    |
|         | C. The Hearing Conduct                                                | 5    |
| III.    | RESPONSE TO RESPONDENT'S LEGAL ARGUMENTS                              | 6    |
|         | A. IRPC 8.4(d) – Conduct Prejudicial to the Administration of Justice | 6    |
|         | B. Conflict of Interest and the Pending Grievance                     | 7    |
|         | C. The Timing of the February 6, 2026 Dismissal                       | 8    |
|         | D. The Embezzlement Indictment – Conclusive Evidence Ignored          | 9    |
|         | E. Consciousness of Guilt – The Attempted "Correction"                | 9    |
| IV.     | RESPONDENT'S OMISSIONS AND WHAT THEY REVEAL                           | 10   |
| V.      | CONCLUSION AND REQUESTED FINDINGS                                     | 11   |
| VI.     | CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE                                                | 13   |

## I. INTRODUCTION

1. Petitioner John Doe (2026-05) respectfully submits this Rebuttal to the Response filed by Respondent Jane Doe on March 7, 2026, in the above-captioned matter.
2. This Rebuttal is submitted in accordance with the Scheduling Letter issued by Hearing Officer Juneal C. Kerrick on February 18, 2026, and responds specifically to the factual and legal assertions made in Respondent's March 7 filing.
3. Respondent's Response confirms certain key facts while omitting others entirely. Most notably, Respondent:
  - a. Admits she engaged in a private pre-hearing discussion with Clerk Karen Carlisle and another committee member outside Petitioner's presence;
  - b. Fails to address the pending grievance against her—filed January 21, 2026, referred to this Court January 27, 2026, and sealed January 29, 2026—which was active at the time she signed the February 6, 2026 dismissal order;
  - c. Does not mention the \$554,000 embezzlement indictment of Di Ann R. Geagley, served on all parties February 3-4, 2026, which judicially confirms Petitioner's core allegations;
  - d. Offers no explanation for why the dismissal in ISB Case No. 25-168a was signed approximately eight hours after Petitioner notified the Idaho State Bar of his Supreme Court filings.
4. Critically, Respondent's written account conflates two distinct statements: (1) what she said **before** the hearing, in private, to the Clerk and another committee member; and (2) what she said **during** the hearing, on the record, when she interrupted Petitioner to offer a lengthy explanation attempting to "correct" the record regarding her pre-hearing statement. The audio/video recording of the January 15, 2026 hearing (Exhibit A) captures this second statement. The first statement—the actual "rush through" instruction—occurred off-record, in the brief window between Petitioner's admission to the Zoom lobby and Clerk Carlisle asking, "Mr. Switzer, is your audio working?"
5. These omissions and conflation are not incidental. They go to the heart of Petitioner's grievance: that Respondent presided over and decided a matter involving Petitioner while under a formal, pending grievance filed by the same Petitioner, and that she did so without considering material, newly discovered evidence that validated his complaints.

EH E-3

---

## II. RESPONSE TO RESPONDENT'S FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

### A. The January 15, 2026 Pre-Hearing Communication

6. Respondent admits that prior to the January 15, 2026 hearing, she "had a conversation with the Clerk" during which "one other member of the Hearing Board was present." Respondent states that the Clerk informed her that another hearing committee had left its record open for seven days, and that Respondent then informed the Clerk and the other member that she would "be closing the record as of today."
7. Respondent characterizes this as merely a discussion about case management and denies that it was an improper ex parte communication, arguing that the Clerk and the other committee member "are a part of the Professional Conduct Board and are not parties to the action."
8. **Petitioner's response:** The characterization misses the point. An adjudicator privately conferring with staff about the management of a pending case—particularly regarding the timing of record closure—outside the presence of a party, creates an **appearance of impropriety** that due process cannot tolerate. The fact that the participants were Bar officials does not cure the defect; it compounds it, as it suggests a coordinated administrative approach from which the grievant was excluded.
9. The prohibition on ex parte communications exists precisely to prevent even the appearance that decisions are being made behind closed doors. Whether the merits were discussed is irrelevant. The **process** must be, and must appear to be, transparent and impartial. Respondent's admission that she conferred privately with Bar staff about case management, while Petitioner waited, undermines that appearance.

### B. The "Rush Through" Instruction – Two Different Statements

10. Respondent denies instructing anyone to "rush through this hearing," stating that her "only comment was that the record was going to be closed that day."
11. **Petitioner's response:** This denial conflates two separate statements. The statement Respondent made **before the hearing**—in the brief window between Petitioner's admission to the Zoom lobby and Clerk Carlisle asking, "Mr. Switzer, is your audio working?"—was: "**We're going to rush through this hearing.**" This statement was

Eh E-4

made to the Clerk and another committee member, outside Petitioner's presence, and was captured by no recording.

12. The statement Respondent made **during the hearing**—on the record, in Petitioner's presence—was a lengthy, rambling attempt to "correct" or explain away her pre-hearing statement. This second statement is captured in Exhibit A. In it, Respondent does not deny that the pre-hearing statement was made; she instead offers a convoluted explanation attempting to minimize its significance.
13. Respondent's current written account seeks to erase the first statement entirely by characterizing her during-hearing explanation as the entirety of what occurred. This is false. The four words uttered before the hearing—"**We're going to rush through this hearing**"—are the actual instruction. The during-hearing explanation is merely Respondent's attempt to manage the record after the fact.
14. Whether the original instruction came from a Bar Commissioner (as Petitioner has consistently alleged) or from Respondent herself, the effect is the same: the proceeding was conducted under an express directive to prioritize speed over thoroughness. That directive was announced before the hearing began and was later acknowledged—however clumsily—on the record by the presiding chair.
15. Respondent's attempt to now characterize this as merely a statement about record closure is contradicted by her own need to offer a lengthy explanation during the hearing. The recording speaks for itself. Petitioner incorporates it by reference and invites the Hearing Officer to listen to the relevant portion.
16. This is not a credibility dispute between Petitioner and Respondent about what was said during the hearing. It is a dispute about what was said **before** the hearing—and Respondent's own during-hearing words confirm that something was said that required explanation.

### C. The Hearing Conduct

17. Respondent states that she allowed Petitioner to present his case in chief for approximately nine minutes and rebuttal for approximately four minutes, and that she corrected him once when he made an inaccurate statement.
18. **Petitioner's response:** The issue is not the quantity of time allotted, but the **quality of the proceeding**, the **conflict of interest**, and Respondent's attempt to reframe a grave procedural violation with a lengthy, self-serving summary. No amount of presentation

Exh E-5



time can cure a proceeding tainted by an adjudicator's personal conflict. The pending grievance against Respondent—filed before the hearing concluded and referred to this Court before the dismissal was signed—rendered her continued participation fundamentally unfair, regardless of how much time Petitioner was given to speak.

19. Moreover, Respondent's acknowledgment that she corrected Petitioner "with regard to what he perceived occurred" confirms that the pre-hearing communication was, in fact, discussed during the hearing. Petitioner's "perception" was that an improper communication had occurred. Respondent's "correction" was an effort to manage that perception. The recording again provides the best evidence.

---

### III. RESPONSE TO RESPONDENT'S LEGAL ARGUMENTS

#### A. IRPC 8.4(d) – Conduct Prejudicial to the Administration of Justice

20. Respondent argues that the record does not establish a violation of Idaho Rule of Professional Conduct 8.4(d) because she "did not demonstrate any bias or lack of impartiality" and because the pre-hearing discussion "was not a closed door meeting" and did not involve the merits.
21. **Petitioner's response:** Respondent misapprehends the nature of the violation. IRPC 8.4(d) prohibits "conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice." Such conduct includes actions that undermine public confidence in the fairness and integrity of judicial or quasi-judicial proceedings—regardless of whether the actor subjectively intended bias.
22. The following uncontested facts establish conduct prejudicial to the administration of justice:
- a. Respondent engaged in a private discussion with Bar staff about case management outside Petitioner's presence, before the hearing began;
  - b. During that discussion, Respondent stated, "**We're going to rush through this hearing**" —a procedural directive made without notice to Petitioner and without opportunity to be heard;
  - c. During the hearing, when Petitioner raised what he had heard, Respondent interrupted and offered a lengthy, on-record explanation attempting to "correct" the record regarding

Exh E-6

her pre-hearing statement—an explanation that did not deny the statement but sought to minimize it;

d. Respondent presided over a hearing while a formal grievance against her, filed by the same Petitioner, was pending and had been referred to this Court;

e. Respondent signed a final dismissal order on February 6, 2026—after the grievance against her was sealed by this Court, and approximately eight hours after Petitioner notified the Bar of his Supreme Court filings;

f. The dismissal order made no mention of the \$554,000 embezzlement indictment served on all parties days earlier.

23. Each of these facts is independently concerning. Taken together, they create an inescapable appearance of irregularity and partiality that erodes public confidence in the disciplinary process. That is precisely the harm IRPC 8.4(d) is designed to prevent.

#### **B. Conflict of Interest and the Pending Grievance**

24. Respondent cites *Liteky v. United States*, 510 U.S. 540, 555 (1994), for the proposition that "judicial rulings alone almost never constitute a valid basis for a bias or partiality motion." She also notes that she had no prior knowledge of Petitioner or the case before January 15, 2026.

25. **Petitioner's response:** *Liteky* is inapposite. Petitioner's objection is not based on "judicial rulings alone." It is based on an **actual conflict of interest** created by a pending grievance against the adjudicator, filed by the same party appearing before her.

26. The timeline is dispositive:

| Date             | Event                                                            |
|------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| January 21, 2026 | Petitioner files grievance against Respondent Wesenberg          |
| January 27, 2026 | ISB refers grievance to Supreme Court (IBCR 508(a))              |
| January 29, 2026 | Supreme Court seals grievance, establishes Docket No. 53669-2026 |

Ex E-7

| Date             | Event                                                    |
|------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| February 6, 2026 | Respondent signs dismissal order in ISB Case No. 25-168a |

27. At the moment Respondent signed the February 6 dismissal, this Court was actively seized of a grievance against her filed by the same Petitioner whose case she was deciding. This is not speculation about bias—it is a documented conflict that required recusal as a matter of fundamental due process.

28. *Liteky* does not speak to this situation. The case addresses recusal based on judicial rulings, not recusal based on a pending grievance against the adjudicator. Respondent's reliance on it is misplaced.

### C. The Timing of the February 6, 2026 Dismissal

29. Respondent states that the decision was issued "in compliance with the I.B.C.R. 509(d)(6)" and that she "took no part in the decisions in the related cases or their timing."

30. **Petitioner's response:** This response does not address the central concern: the **proximity** between Petitioner's Supreme Court filings (February 4, 2026) and Respondent's dismissal order (February 6, 2026)—issued approximately eight hours after Petitioner emailed the Bar requesting confirmation of receipt and noting the pending Supreme Court filings.

31. Respondent does not explain:

a. Whether she was aware of Petitioner's February 4 Supreme Court filings before signing the dismissal;

b. Whether she reviewed the embezzlement indictment before signing the dismissal;

c. Why the dismissal was signed on February 6, rather than any other date, given that the hearing occurred on January 15.

32. In the absence of any explanation, the timing speaks for itself. It creates an inference of bad faith and an intent to preempt this Court's jurisdiction—an inference Respondent's silence only reinforces.

### D. The Embezzlement Indictment – Conclusive Evidence Ignored

*Exh E-8*

33. Respondent's Response does not mention the February 3, 2026 embezzlement indictment of Di Ann R. Geagley—despite Petitioner's Supplemental Submission dedicating significant attention to it, and despite Petitioner having served it on all parties on February 3-4, 2026, days before Respondent signed the dismissal.
34. **Petitioner's response:** This omission is telling. The indictment is not peripheral; it is the single most powerful piece of evidence validating Petitioner's core allegations—allegations that Respondents in the underlying proceedings repeatedly characterized as "delusional" and lacking "any evidence."
35. A \$554,000 felony indictment, confirmed by forensic audit and reported in a major regional newspaper, is precisely the kind of evidence that should have prompted immediate reconsideration of any pending dismissal. That Respondent either ignored it or was unaware of it when she signed the February 6 order demonstrates a failure to engage with material evidence that undermines the integrity of the proceeding.
36. Respondent's failure to address this evidence in her Response—even after Petitioner's Supplemental Submission placed it squarely before this investigation—is itself conduct prejudicial to the administration of justice.

#### **E. Consciousness of Guilt – The Attempted "Correction"**

37. Respondent's pre-hearing statement, **"We're going to rush through this hearing,"** followed by her subsequent during-hearing attempt first interrupt the opening statement of the Petitioner to "ask a question", then to make a statement to "correct" the record with a lengthy, rambling explanation, demonstrates **consciousness of guilt**.
38. When an adjudicator makes a statement that reveals improper predisposition, then attempts to recast that statement in a more favorable light on the record, it suggests awareness that the original statement was inappropriate. This is particularly significant where, as here, the "correction" was directed at Petitioner's accurate perception of what had occurred—and where the "correction" itself did not deny the statement but instead sought to explain it away.
39. Respondent's current written denial—that she "did not instruct anyone to rush through"—must be evaluated in light of this sequence. The recording does not lie. It captures Respondent interrupting Petitioner's opening statement to offer a self-serving explanation of her pre-hearing conduct. Her attempt to distance herself on record from

E+h E-9



that pre-hearing announcement undermines her credibility and supports Petitioner's account.

---

#### IV. RESPONDENT'S OMISSIONS AND WHAT THEY REVEAL

40. Respondent's Response is notable as much for what it omits as for what it includes:

| Omission                                                                                   | Significance                                                                                          |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| No mention of the pending grievance against her                                            | The central conflict—a grievance referred to this Court—is ignored entirely                           |
| No mention of the embezzlement indictment                                                  | The most powerful evidence validating Petitioner's allegations is absent from her account             |
| No explanation for the timing of the February 6 dismissal                                  | The eight-hour gap between Petitioner's notice and the signed order goes unaddressed                  |
| No acknowledgment that the grievance was sealed by this Court before she signed            | The Supreme Court's active supervision of her own case is invisible in her narrative                  |
| No acknowledgment of her pre-hearing statement "We're going to rush through this hearing"  | The actual instruction—the four words uttered before the hearing—is entirely absent from her Response |
| No acknowledgment that her during-hearing "correction" was an attempt to manage the record | Her own words, captured in Exhibit A, are mischaracterized in her written account                     |

41. These omissions are not accidental. They reflect a deliberate choice to frame the narrative in a way that avoids the most damaging facts. But the facts are part of the record. They cannot be omitted from consideration.

---

EHL E-10

## V. CONCLUSION AND REQUESTED FINDINGS

42. Respondent's Response confirms the core facts while failing to address the legal consequences that flow from them. She admits to private pre-hearing communication with Bar staff. She admits to making a procedural ruling (record closure) outside Petitioner's presence. She does not dispute that a grievance against her was pending before this Court at the time she signed the February 6 dismissal. She does not dispute that the embezzlement indictment was served days before she signed. She offers no explanation for the timing. And her own words—both the pre-hearing statement and the during-hearing "correction"—contradict her current written account.
43. These facts, taken together, establish conduct prejudicial to the administration of justice and a conflict of interest that required recusal.
44. Petitioner respectfully requests that the Hearing Officer make the following findings and recommendations to the Idaho Supreme Court:
- a. **Find** that Respondent engaged in private pre-hearing communication with Bar staff outside Petitioner's presence, creating an appearance of impropriety;
  - b. **Find** that before the January 15, 2026 hearing, Respondent stated, "**We're going to rush through this hearing,**" demonstrating an express directive to expedite the proceedings at the expense of thoroughness;
  - c. **Find** that during the January 15, 2026 hearing, Respondent attempted to "correct" the record with a lengthy, self-serving explanation that did not deny her pre-hearing statement but sought to minimize it, demonstrating consciousness that the original instruction was improper;
  - d. **Find** that Respondent presided over ISB Case No. 25-168a while under a pending, formal grievance filed by Petitioner, which had been referred to and sealed by this Court, creating an actual conflict of interest that required her recusal;
  - e. **Find** that Respondent's failure to recuse and her continued participation in the proceeding violated Idaho Rule of Professional Conduct 8.4(d) as conduct prejudicial to the administration of justice;
  - f. **Find** that the February 6, 2026 dismissal order was issued without proper consideration of material, newly discovered evidence (the embezzlement indictment) and in circumstances that create an inference of bad faith;

E+h E-11

g. **Recommend** that this Court:

i. Vacate the February 6, 2026 dismissal order in ISB Case No. 25-168a; ii. Remand that matter for a new hearing before an impartial committee; iii. Refer Respondent's conduct to the appropriate disciplinary authority for further investigation and potential sanction; and iv. Grant such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted this 8th day of March, 2026.

/s/ John Doe (2026-05)

John Doe (2026-05)

Petitioner, Pro Se

264 Kernodle Rd Gibsonville, NC 27249

[Email on file with Court]

[Phone on file with Court]

---

Eth E-12

## VI. CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 8th day of March, 2026, I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing **REBUTTAL TO RESPONDENT'S RESPONSE** upon the following parties via the methods indicated:

| Party                           | Method of Service | Address                                                                                  |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Senior Judge Juneal C. Kerrick  | Email             | <a href="mailto:jkerrick@idcourts.net">jkerrick@idcourts.net</a>                         |
| Carole I. Wesenberg, Respondent | Email             | <a href="mailto:carole_wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov">carole_wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov</a> |
| Joseph Pirtle, Bar Counsel      | Email             | <a href="mailto:jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov">jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov</a>                         |
| Karen Carlisle, Clerk           | Email             | <a href="mailto:kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov">kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov</a>                     |
|                                 |                   |                                                                                          |

Additional courtesy copies were served via electronic mail upon all parties of record in the underlying bar proceedings.

Executed this 8th day of March, 2026, in Gibsonville, North Carolina.

---

/s/ John Doe (2026-05)

John Doe (2026-05)

---

[END OF DOCUMENT]

E+h E-13



PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT BOARD  
P.O. Box 895  
Boise, Idaho 83701  
(208) 334-4500

**BEFORE THE PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT BOARD  
OF THE IDAHO STATE BAR**

In the matter of:

APRIL A. SMITH

Attorney at Law.

ISB Case No.: 25-168a

**DECISION OF HEARING  
COMMITTEE**

THIS MATTER came before the Hearing Committee for a review of Deputy Bar Counsel's May 29, 2025 decision. A review of the record was timely requested by the Grievant, pursuant to Rule 509(d) of the Idaho Bar Commission Rules (I.B.R.C.). A Hearing Committee was assigned consisting of members Carole I. Wesenberg (Chair), Mia Murphy, and Sherry Montosa.

On January 15, 2026, pursuant to notice, the Committee held a review hearing by Zoom. The Grievant, Corey Switzer, and the Respondent, April A. Smith, appeared at the hearing. The Committee reviewed the entire Record, consisting of (1) the Record bate stamped 1—300; (2) the Supplemental Record bate stamped 1-1551; (3) the Grievant's reference for all hearings; (4)

the Grievant's Supplemental Filing; (5) two recordings of a phone call between Grievant and Ms. Smith filed by each party; (6) Grievant's email following the hearing; (7) Grievant's email re phone call; (8) Grievant's email with a video link re Smith-Fuentes; and (9) Grievant's second email following the hearing.

The Committee heard from Mr. Switzer and Ms. Smith. No additional evidence was offered at the hearing. The record was closed thereafter. No additional evidence was considered in making this decision.

On April 17, 2025, Grievant filed a complaint with the Idaho State Bar with regard to April Smith. Grievant outlined three specific actions (1) that on June 2, 2021, "April Smith instructed Officer Joseph Lines to halt investigation into Switzer's battery complaint," (2) that under the direction of April Smith, an ethics complaint against Detective Nick Woods was dismissed, and (3) that "Smith ignored evidence of bribery and tax evasion."

R. 3.

On May 29, 2025, the Office of Bar Counsel dismissed the complaint. R. 225-26. The Office of Bar Counsel concluded that the complaint invoked Idaho Rules of Professional Conduct 3.8(a), 3.8(d), 8.4(c), and 8.4(d). *Id.* However, the Office of Bar Counsel concluded that there was no clear and convincing evidence that Ms. Smith's conduct violated those rules. R. 226. Notably, the Office of Bar Counsel concluded that "Prosecutors have broad

discretion to institute criminal charges when they determine probable cause exists and have a corollary duty not to proceed without such probable cause."

*Id.* The Office of Bar Counsel concluded that, "It was therefore within Ms. Smith's independent professional judgment and discretion to halt the investigation into your battery complaint. It was also within Ms. Smith's independent professional judgment to decide how and whether to proceed with the allegations of bribery and tax evasion against Tim Krueger and Travelland RV." *Id.* The Office of Bar Counsel further concluded that "there [was] no clear and convincing evidence that Ms. Smith engaged in conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit or misrepresentation, or that she engaged in conduct prejudicial to the administration of justice." *Id.*


The Committee's review concerns the allegations raised in the Grievant's complaint. Under I.B.C.R. 525(e), the Committee's standard of proof is "clear and convincing evidence." This is a high standard of proof requiring substantial corroboration to establish disputed facts. "Clear and convincing" means that the evidence is highly and substantially more likely to be true than untrue. In other words, it is higher than the typical preponderance of the evidence (or more likely than not) standard used in civil cases but lower than the criminal "beyond a reasonable doubt" standard.

The Hearing Committee finds that the matter has been fully submitted

and ripe for decision. The Hearing Committee has deliberated on this matter and is mindful that the burden of proof is on the Grievant and the standard is clear and convincing evidence. Having carefully considered the matter, the Committee determines the Record does not establish, by clear and convincing evidence, that the Respondent violated the Idaho Rules of Professional Conduct. The Committee has authorized the Chair to execute this decision because it is unanimous.

NOW THEREFORE, based upon the foregoing, IT IS ORDERED that the decision of Deputy Bar Counsel dated May 29, 2025, is AFFIRMED.<sup>1</sup>

DATED February 5, 2026.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Carole I. Wesenberg", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Carole I. Wesenberg  
Chair

---

<sup>1</sup>This decision of the Hearing Committee is "final." I.B.C.R. 509(d)(8). No appeal or reconsideration will be heard. *See id.* Accordingly, the Clerk of the Professional Conduct Board shall not accept any additional submissions or filings in this matter.



## CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that on the 6th day of February, 2026, I served a true and correct copy of the Decision of Hearing Committee upon the following recipients by depositing the same by email.

April A. Smith  
april@co.nezperce.id.us  
*via email*

Corey M. Switzer  
coreyswitzer@gmail.com  
*via email*

Carole I. Wesenberg  
*Professional Conduct Board*  
*via email*

Michaelina B. Murphy  
*Professional Conduct Board*  
*via email*

Sherry Montosa  
*Professional Conduct Board*  
*via email*



---

Karen Carlisle, Clerk  
Professional Conduct Board

*E+h F-5*

PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT BOARD  
P.O. Box 895  
Boise, Idaho 83701  
(208) 334-4500

**BEFORE THE PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT BOARD  
OF THE IDAHO STATE BAR**

In the matter of:

APRIL A. SMITH

Attorney at Law.

ISB Case No.: 25-168a

**ORDER**

Grievant's January 16, 2026 Motion for Mistrial and Immediate Stay is DENIED. Grievant's January 21, 2026 Emergency Motion to (1) to reopen the written record; (2) compel ruling on pending motions; (3) stay proceedings; (4) consolidate with related cases; and (5) refer for impartial review is DENIED.

  
Carole I. Wesenberg  
Committee Chairperson

## CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that on the 21st day of January, 2026, I served a true and correct copy of the Order upon the following recipients by depositing the same by email.

April A. Smith  
aprils@co.nezperce.id.us  
*via email*

Corey M. Switzer  
coreyswitzer@gmail.com  
*via email*

Carole I. Wesenberg  
*Professional Conduct Board*  
*via email*

Michaelina B. Murphy  
*Professional Conduct Board*  
*via email*

Sherry Montosa  
*Professional Conduct Board*  
*via email*



---

Karen Carlisle, Clerk  
Professional Conduct Board

*Exh G-2*

PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT BOARD  
P.O. Box 895  
Boise, Idaho 83701  
(208) 334-4500

**BEFORE THE PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT BOARD  
OF THE IDAHO STATE BAR**

In the matter of:


APRIL A. SMITH

Attorney at Law.

ISB Case No.: 25-168a

**ORDER**

Grievant's January 16, 2026 Motion for Mistrial and Immediate Stay is DENIED. Grievant's January 21, 2026 Emergency Motion to (1) to reopen the written record; (2) compel ruling on pending motions; (3) stay proceedings; (4) consolidate with related cases; and (5) refer for impartial review is DENIED.



Carole I. Wesenberg  
Committee Chairperson



CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that on the 21st day of January, 2026, I served a true and correct copy of the Order upon the following recipients by depositing the same by email.

April A. Smith  
aprils@co.nezperce.id.us  
*via email*

Corey M. Switzer  
coreyswitzer@gmail.com  
*via email*

Carole I. Wesenberg  
*Professional Conduct Board*  
*via email*

Michaelina B. Murphy  
*Professional Conduct Board*  
*via email*

Sherry Montosa  
*Professional Conduct Board*  
*via email*



---

Karen Carlisle, Clerk  
Professional Conduct Board

Ex H-2

Corey M. Switzer [coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com) | 218-461-9868

**BEFORE THE IDAHO STATE BAR PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT BOARD**

In the Matter of: ) Case No. 25-168a **APRIL SMITH,**  
 ) *Attorney-Respondent.*

**EMERGENCY MOTION TO: (1) REOPEN WRITTEN RECORD; (2) COMPEL RULING ON PENDING MOTIONS; (3) STAY PROCEEDINGS; (4) CONSOLIDATE WITH RELATED CASES; AND (5) REFER FOR IMPARTIAL REVIEW**

**TO:** The Idaho State Bar Professional Conduct Board Karen Carlisle, Clerk Joseph Pirtle, Bar Counsel Hearing Committee Chair Carole I. Wesenberg (25-168a)

**FROM:** Corey M. Switzer, Pro Se Complainant

**DATE:** January 21, 2026

**I. RELIEF REQUESTED**

Complainant respectfully moves this Board for the following emergency relief:

1. **REOPEN** and maintain the written record for Case No. 25-168a to include all supplemental submissions.
2. **COMPEL** an immediate ruling on the pending **Motion for Mistrial and Immediate Stay** filed January 15, 2026, and all other pending motions.
3. **STAY** all further proceedings in this matter.
4. **CONSOLIDATE** this case with related Cases No. 25-187a (Turner) and 25-169a (Coleman) for a single, fair review.
5. **REFER** the consolidated matters to a new, impartial hearing committee and to federal law enforcement authorities for investigation of potential federal crimes evidenced in the record.

**II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

This grievance against Respondent April Smith is fundamentally interconnected with the matters against Trae Turner and Justin Coleman. The hearing in this very case triggered the most egregious proof of institutional bias:

**A. The "Rush Through" Instruction Requiring Mistrial:** On January 15, 2026, during the hearing for **THIS CASE**, a Bar Commissioner was overheard instructing this Hearing Committee to "**rush through this hearing.**" This directive is unambiguous supervisory misconduct, gross prejudgment, and a direct violation of due process. A Motion for Mistrial and Immediate Stay was filed that same day. No ruling has been issued. This incident proves the proceedings are irreparably tainted and that the bias affects all related cases.

Exh I-1

**B. Improper Exclusion of Evidence in Related Matters:** The Clerk's subsequent arbitrary refusal to accept timely-filed evidence in the related Turner case (25-187a) on January 20 demonstrates a pattern of procedural unfairness that compromises the entire disciplinary apparatus overseeing these linked matters.

**C. New Documentary Proof of Retaliatory Pattern:** On January 21, 2026, Complainant obtained an official public records response from the Idaho Legislative Services Office. This document includes a Facebook message thread showing Idaho State Representative **Brandon Mitchell** blocking Complainant after he reported corruption. This documented, unconstitutional retaliation is part of the same environment in which Respondent Smith allegedly engaged in obstructive conduct.

**D. Evidence of Interconnected Relationships:** Respondent Smith's alleged personal relationship with police captain Rick Fuentes—a central figure in the underlying bribery allegations—ties her conduct directly to the core facts of the Turner and Coleman cases. Her alleged role in obstructing public records requests further illustrates her part in the unified pattern of suppression.

### III. ARGUMENT

The Bar Commissioner's command to "rush through" this hearing is a fatal blow to its legitimacy. It confirms Complainant's allegations of a system designed to protect connected officials through expedited, superficial review. This misconduct cannot be cured within the current framework. Furthermore, the factual allegations against Smith—involving the same police corruption, the same cover-up, and the same retaliation as the Turner and Coleman cases—make isolated review logically impossible and fundamentally unfair.

Granting the already-pending Motion for Mistrial is the minimum required step. The only path to a just outcome is to declare a mistrial, stay all proceedings, consolidate the three matters, and refer them to an unimpeachable forum.


### IV. CONCLUSION

When the supervising authority instructs a hearing committee to "rush through" a case, the process has ceased to be a search for truth and has become a ceremonial denial of justice. This Board must act decisively to reclaim its integrity.

**WHEREFORE**, Complainant respectfully requests that the Board immediately GRANT the pending Motion for Mistrial and grant this Emergency Motion in its entirety.

Respectfully submitted,

Corey M. Switzer, Pro Se Complainant




Eth I-2

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this 21st day of January, 2026, a true and correct copy of the foregoing EMERGENCY MOTION was served via electronic mail upon the Idaho State Bar, Bar Counsel, the assigned Hearing Committee for Case No. 25-168a, and Respondent April Smith.

**Corey M. Switzer**

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Corey M. Switzer", with a large, stylized flourish at the end.



MOTION FOR MISTRIAL AND DEMAND FOR IMMEDIATE STAY OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE IDAHO STATE BAR  
PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT BOARD

In re: April A. Smith  
ISB File No. 25-168a

Grievant: Corey M. Switzer, Pro Se

MOTION FOR MISTRIAL AND DEMAND FOR IMMEDIATE STAY OF PROCEEDINGS

NOW COMES Corey M. Switzer, Grievant ("Movant"), and respectfully moves this Hearing Committee for a Declaration of Mistrial and Immediate Stay of Proceedings in the above-referenced matter. In support thereof, Movant states as follows:

---

INTRODUCTION

1. This disciplinary proceeding has been irreparably tainted by supervisory misconduct, procedural bad faith, and the appearance of impropriety. During the hearing of January 15, 2026, the Bar Commissioner—the supervisory authority over these proceedings—was overheard instructing a member of this Hearing Committee to "rush through the hearing." This directive, coupled with a documented pattern of procedural obstruction, deprives Movant of a fair and impartial hearing and violates fundamental due process.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

1. The Bar Commissioner's Impermissible Instruction: Entering into the Zoom proceedings on January 15, 2026, the Bar Commissioner directly instructed a member of this Committee to expedite the hearing in a manner that prioritized speed over thoroughness and fairness with the exact verbage "We're gonna rush through this hearing." This instruction was audible and witnessed, creating an indelible appearance of bias and undue influence over the Committee's deliberative independence.

2. Systemic Procedural Violations: This incident is not isolated. It is the culmination of a pattern of procedural unfairness that has plagued all three interconnected grievances (Coleman, Turner, Smith), including:

- Refusal to consolidate related matters despite overlapping facts and parties.
- Boilerplate denials of a complete, integrated evidentiary record as a "data dump."
- Boilerplate denials at the initial evidentiary stages for four interconnected individuals.
- Failure to address profound conflicts of interest, including Trae Turner's campaign donation to Justin Coleman and the employment of Turner's wife within the Nez Perce County Prosecutor's Office.

Exh J-1

3. The Appearance of Impropriety: The Commissioner's instruction to "rush" creates an inescapable appearance that the Bar's disciplinary process is more concerned with expediency than justice, particularly in a case involving allegations of high-level corruption within the local justice system.

#### LEGAL ARGUMENT

1. Violation of Due Process: The Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the U.S. Constitution, and Article I, § 13 of the Idaho Constitution, guarantee the right to a fair and impartial hearing. A supervisory official's directive to "rush through" a hearing inherently undermines the Committee's duty to deliberate thoroughly and fairly, depriving Movant of his right to be fully heard.
2. Breach of Idaho Bar Commission Rules: The Commissioner's conduct violates the spirit and purpose of the Idaho Bar Commission Rules, which are designed to ensure "fair and consistent" disciplinary processes (See IBCR Preamble). Supervisory pressure to curtail proceedings compromises the integrity of the entire system.
3. Prejudgment and Bias: The instruction demonstrates a prejudgment that the matter does not merit careful review, injecting bias into the proceedings and rendering any outcome inherently suspect.
4. Compounded Prejudice: This latest violation compounds prior procedural defects, making it impossible for Movant to receive a fair hearing within the current framework. The cumulative effect is a denial of fundamental fairness.

#### RELIEF REQUESTED

WHEREFORE, Movant respectfully requests that this Hearing Committee:

1. GRANT a Declaration of Mistrial in ISB File No. 25-168a, voiding all proceedings to date.
2. ISSUE an Immediate Stay of all further activity in this matter pending resolution of this motion and the appointment of a new, impartial committee.
3. RECOMMEND to the Professional Conduct Board that this matter be:
  - Reassigned to a new Hearing Committee with no prior involvement and no supervisory contact with the Bar Commissioner involved in the misconduct.
  - Consolidated with the related matters of In re: Justin Coleman (25-169a) and In re: Trae Turner (25-187a) for a unified review.
  - Referred for investigation by an independent Special Prosecutor, as previously demanded, due to the insurmountable conflicts of interest and systemic procedural corruption now evident.
4. SCHEDULE an Emergency Hearing on this motion within seven (7) days.

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 15th day of January 2026, a true and correct copy of the foregoing Motion for Mistrial and Demand for Immediate Stay of Proceedings was served via email upon

EX J-2

the Idaho State Bar, Bar Counsel, all members of the assigned Hearing Committee, and counsel for Respondent April A. Smith.

Respectfully submitted,

Corey M. Switzer, Grievant  
coreyswitzer@gmail.com | 218-461-9868

*Exh J-3*

PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT BOARD  
P.O. Box 895  
Boise, Idaho 83701

PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT BOARD  
IDAHO STATE BAR  
January 08, 2026  
FILED - ORIGINAL

**BEFORE THE PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT BOARD  
OF THE IDAHO STATE BAR**

IN THE MATTER OF

APRIL A SMITH,

Attorney at Law.

ISB CASE NO. 25-168a

INTERIM ORDER

Pursuant to the authority provided under the Idaho Bar Commission Rule 503(b), the Hearing Committee acknowledges receipt of an email dated January 7, 2026, from the Grievant. The Hearing Committee will construe the email as a motion for the admission of evidence. The motion is granted. The Record for review before the Professional Conduct Board and the Hearing Committee will include ISB File No. 25-168a (300 pages) and the Supplemental Appeal Record (1551 pages). The Supplemental Appeal Record includes the "Coleman Study Guide and January 5-6 emails" and additional materials submitted by Grievant, including all the Grievant's emails to the Professional Conduct Board's Clerk. The Record will be fully reviewed by the Hearing Committee and be part of the official record.

Having accepted these documents into the Record, this matter will be heard on January 15, 2026, at 2:30 MST. As set forth in the prior Interim Order, each party shall be allotted no more than 5 minutes each to present any procedural concerns or objections to the Hearing Committee. Thereafter, the Grievant's initial oral argument and presentation as to the merits of his grievance in this matter, and the Attorney's responsive oral argument and presentation as to the same, if any,

INTERIM ORDER - Page 1

*EX K-1*



shall be no longer than 10 minutes each. The Attorney may waive a response. In that event, there will be no time allotted for rebuttal. In the event the Attorney does respond, the Grievant's rebuttal argument shall be no longer than 3 minutes. The parties need not repeat arguments already contained in the record because the Hearing Committee members will have thoroughly reviewed the record prior to the hearing.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 8<sup>th</sup> day of January 2026.

PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT BOARD

*Carole Wesenberg*

Carole I. Wesenberg  
Chairman of Appeal/Review Committee

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that on the 8th day of January, 2026, I served a true and correct copy of the Interim Order upon the following recipients by depositing the same by mail and email.

April A. Smith  
aprils@co.nezperce.id.us  
*via email*

Corey M. Switzer  
coreyswitzer@gmail.com  
*via email*

Carole I. Wesenberg  
*Professional Conduct Board*  
*via email*

Michaelina B. Murphy  
*Professional Conduct Board*  
*via email*

Sherry Montosa  
*Professional Conduct Board*  
*via email*



---

Karen Carlisle, Clerk  
Professional Conduct Board

*Exh K-3*

PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT BOARD  
P.O. Box 895  
Boise, Idaho 83701

**BEFORE THE PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT BOARD  
OF THE IDAHO STATE BAR**

IN THE MATTER OF

APRIL A SMITH,

Attorney at Law.

ISB CASE NO. 25-168a

INTERIM ORDER

This matter is set for review hearing on January 15, 2026. Pursuant to IDAHO BAR COMMISSION RULE 509(d)(4), this **INTERIM ORDER** prescribes the pre-hearing and hearing procedure in this matter.

During the hearing on January 15, 2026, each party shall be allotted no more than 5 minutes each to present any procedural concerns or objections to the Hearing Committee. Thereafter, the Grievant's initial oral argument and presentation as to the merits of his grievance, and the Attorney's responsive oral argument and presentation as to the same, if any, shall be no longer than 10 minutes each. The Attorney may waive a response. In that event, there will be no time allotted for rebuttal. In the event the Attorney does respond, the Grievant's rebuttal argument shall be no longer than 3 minutes. The parties need not repeat arguments already contained in the

*Exh 2-1*

record because the Hearing Committee members will have thoroughly reviewed the record prior to the hearing.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 7<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2026.

PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT BOARD

*Carole Wesenberg*

Carole I. Wesenberg  
Chairman of Appeal/Review Committee



CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that on the 7th day of January, 2026, I served a true and correct copy of the Interim Order upon the following recipients by depositing the same by mail and email.

April A. Smith  
aprils@co.nezperce.id.us  
*via email*

Corey M. Switzer  
coreyswitzer@gmail.com  
*via email*

Carole I. Wesenberg  
*Professional Conduct Board*  
*via email*

Michaelina B. Murphy  
*Professional Conduct Board*  
*via email*

Sherry Montosa  
*Professional Conduct Board*  
*via email*



---

Karen Carlisle, Clerk  
Professional Conduct Board

*Eh L-3*

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO**

Petition for Grievance Against Attorney Jane Doe, I.B.C.R. 508(a).

JOHN DOE (2026-05),  
Petitioner,

v.

JANE DOE, Attorney,  
Respondent.

**SUPPLEMENTAL NOTICE OF NEW EVIDENCE: GUILTY PLEA IN TRAVELLAND  
RV EMBEZZLEMENT**

Supreme Court Docket No. 53669-2026

Idaho State Bar No. 26-026C

---

Submitted to:  
Senior Judge Juneal C. Kerrick  
Canyon County Courthouse  
1115 Albany  
Caldwell, Idaho 83605  
jkerrick@idcourts.net

Copies to:  
Melanie Gagnepain, Clerk of the Supreme Court  
Carole I. Wesenberg, Respondent  
Joseph Pirtle, Bar Counsel  
Karen Carlisle, Clerk, Professional Conduct Board

Submitted by:  
John Doe (2026-05), Petitioner Pro Se  
264 Kernodle Rd

*E-H AA-1*

Gibsonville, NC 27249

[Email on file]

[Phone on file]

Submitted on: March [25], 2026

---

## I. INTRODUCTION

1. Petitioner respectfully submits this Supplemental Notice to bring newly discovered, material evidence to the attention of the Hearing Officer before the issuance of her report in this matter.
2. On March [24], 2026, the Lewiston Tribune reported that Di Ann R. Geagley, the bookkeeper for Travelland RV, pleaded guilty to felony grand theft for embezzling \$554,000 from the business between 2021 and 2025. A true and correct copy of the article is attached as Exhibit A.

---

## II. SIGNIFICANCE OF THE GUILTY PLEA

1. This guilty plea is a judicial admission that the crimes Petitioner reported to Nez Perce County officials beginning in 2021—and which formed the factual basis of Petitioner's grievances against Respondents Coleman, Smith, and Turner—actually occurred.
2. Throughout the underlying bar proceedings, Respondents repeatedly characterized Petitioner's allegations as "delusional," lacking "any evidence," and not credible. See, e.g., Coleman Response in ISB Case No. 25-169a ("No evidence, let alone clear and convincing evidence, that any claims of wrongdoing are true.").
3. The guilty plea conclusively establishes that Petitioner's reports were accurate and that the crimes he reported were real and ongoing.

---

## III. RELEVANCE TO THE PENDING INVESTIGATION

1. This evidence is directly relevant to the investigation of Respondent Wesenberg for several reasons:
  - a. Credibility of Petitioner: The guilty plea confirms that Petitioner's core allegations—which Respondents in the underlying proceedings attacked as baseless—were factually correct. This bears on the overall credibility of Petitioner's claims, including his account of the pre-hearing "rush through" statement and his objections to Respondent Wesenberg's conduct.

EM AA-2

b. Bad Faith in Timing of Dismissals: Respondent Wesenberg signed the dismissal in ISB Case No. 25-168a on February 6, 2026—three days after the indictment was published, and approximately eight hours after Petitioner notified the Bar of his Supreme Court filings. The dismissal made no mention of the indictment. Now, with a guilty plea, it is even clearer that the dismissal was issued without consideration of material, corroborating evidence.

c. Appearance of Impropriety: The Bar's rush to dismiss complaints that have now been validated by a criminal conviction creates a strong appearance that the disciplinary process was used to protect officials rather than investigate serious misconduct.

2. Petitioner is not suggesting that Respondent Wesenberg was responsible for investigating the embezzlement. Rather, the guilty plea demonstrates that the factual foundation of Petitioner's complaints was sound, and that the dismissals—including the one signed by Respondent Wesenberg—were issued in disregard of evidence that validated the grievant's claims.

---

#### IV. REQUEST

1. Petitioner respectfully requests that the Hearing Officer:

- a. Take judicial notice of the attached guilty plea article as new, material evidence;
- b. Consider this evidence in connection with the pending investigation, particularly as it bears on Petitioner's credibility and the context of the underlying bar proceedings; and
- c. Include this evidence in the record transmitted to the Idaho Supreme Court with her report.

---

Respectfully submitted this [25th] day of March, 2026.

---

/s/ John Doe

John Doe (2026-05)

Petitioner, Pro Se

EAH AA-3



V. CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this [25th] day of March, 2026, I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing SUPPLEMENTAL NOTICE upon the following parties via electronic mail:

Party Email Address

Senior Judge Juneal C. Kerrick [jkerrick@idcourts.net](mailto:jkerrick@idcourts.net)

Melanie Gagnepain, Clerk [mgagnepain@idcourts.net](mailto:mgagnepain@idcourts.net)

Carole I. Wesenberg, Respondent [carole\\_wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov](mailto:carole_wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov)

Joseph Pirtle, Bar Counsel [jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov)

Karen Carlisle, Clerk [kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov)

Jessica Kline, Legal Assistant [jkline@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:jkline@isb.idaho.gov)

Executed this [25th] day of March, 2026, in Gibsonville, North Carolina.

---

/s/ John Doe

John Doe (2026-05)

*EA AA-4*

# Lewiston woman admits to embezzling half a million dollars from Travelland RV

Di Ann R. Geagley, 68, pleaded guilty to grand theft in deal for potentially lighter penalties



Emily Pearce  
News reporter



Geagley

A 63-year-old woman admitted to stealing hundreds of thousands of dollars from Lewiston's Travelland RV.

Di Ann R. Geagley, of Lewiston, pleaded guilty to felony grand theft Tuesday afternoon in Nez Perce County 2nd District Court. Her confession was made in exchange for a deal that would omit prison time.



EXH AA-5

The Rule 11 Plea Agreement would impose seven years of probation, and require that Geagley pay \$233,522 to the RV dealer in restitution.

Geagley began managing Travelland RV's finances when she was hired as a bookkeeper in 2021. During a four-year period while being employed, court documents indicate that Geagley embezzled over \$554,000 from the business through unauthorized salary increases, cutting extra paychecks, taking cash and misusing company bank accounts.

Court documents say the majority of unauthorized charges to company credit cards went to online casinos. Geagley told investigators in an interview that "Google Pay" mistakenly charged over \$233,000 to business accounts in her online wallet.

A forensic audit from 2022 to 2024 found just under \$167,000 in cash went missing from the RV Dealer's till. During that same period, court documents indicated Geagley wrote herself 68 unauthorized payroll checks that amounted to nearly \$69,000. It's also shown that 21 checks were drawn for cash without permission totaling over \$28,000, and three checks of above \$2,000 were issued to Geagley specifically during those years.

Additionally, court documents say a sum of nearly \$22,000 in unreported charges were made to another one of the business' cards.

Court documents indicate the business accumulated over \$31,000 in sales tax penalties as a result of undertaking or failing to submit appropriate taxes on time.

Judge Mark Monson advised Geagley that the charge carries a maximum penalty of 14 years of incarceration and a \$5,000 fine. He will make a decision on the agreement when Geagley appears in court for sentencing on June 3.

*Pearce can be reached at [epearce@dnnews.com](mailto:epearce@dnnews.com).*



*Exh AA-6*



## Juneal Kerrick

---

**From:** Melanie Gagnepain  
**Sent:** Monday, March 9, 2026 3:24 PM  
**To:** Corey Switzer  
**Cc:** Juneal Kerrick; Karen Carlisle; Joseph Pirtle; Jessica Kline; Carole Wesenberg  
**Subject:** RE: John Doe (2026-05) v. Jane Doe, Attorney, Supreme Court Docket No. 53669-2026, Idaho State Bar No. 26-026C

Good afternoon:

No further action is required by the Court. If Judge Kerrick requires any action I am sure she will notify the parties.

Thank you,  
Melanie

**From:** Corey Switzer <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 9, 2026 2:43 PM  
**To:** Melanie Gagnepain <mgagnepain@idcourts.net>  
**Cc:** Juneal Kerrick <JKerrick@idcourts.net>; Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>; Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>; Jessica Kline <jkline@isb.idaho.gov>; Carole Wesenberg <carole\_wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov>  
**Subject:** Re: John Doe (2026-05) v. Jane Doe, Attorney, Supreme Court Docket No. 53669-2026, Idaho State Bar No. 26-026C

---

### This Message Is From an External Sender

This message came from outside your organization.

[Report Suspicious](#)

Dear Clerk Gagnepain,

Thank you for your guidance. My Notice of Concern and Preservation of Objection was submitted to Judge Kerrick for her consideration in connection with her investigation, consistent with the Scheduling Letter. I understand from your email that such submissions to Judge Kerrick are not required to be separately filed with the Supreme Court.

I would like this notice and preservation of objection included in her formal report to the Idaho State Supreme Court and the documentation of this instant matter at hand has been achieved through this email dialogue.

I do not intend to mail copies of this notice and objection at this time. Rather, I am preserving this notice and objection on record for appeal.

Please let me know if any further action is required on my part.

Respectfully,  
Corey M. Switzer

John Doe (2026-05)

On Mon, Mar 9, 2026, 4:33 PM Melanie Gagnepain <[mgagnepain@idcourts.net](mailto:mgagnepain@idcourts.net)> wrote:

Good afternoon:

Responses to inquiries from the Judge preparing the report and recommendation are not generally filed into a case, because the Supreme Court will not be acting on those responses. This was why Petitioner's initial response (which was mailed to the Supreme Court) to Judge Kerrick was returned. Judge Kerrick has directed that the Rebuttal received by the ISB be included in the case. The document will not be filed, but will be saved into the case. As such, if Petitioner would also prefer the original response (which was mailed back to Petitioner) to be included in the file with the rebuttal, it may be again mailed to the Court.

Regarding Petitioner's Notice of Concern and Preservation of Objection sent to multiple parties via email, if Petitioner means this document to be filed into the Supreme Court case, and reviewed by the Court, please mail it to the Supreme Court. If the document is for Judge Kerrick's review, please do not mail it to the Supreme Court.

Thank you,

Melanie Gagnepain

Clerk of the Court

Idaho Supreme Court

208-334-2210

**From:** Corey Switzer <[coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com)>

**Sent:** Monday, March 9, 2026 1:38 PM

**To:** Juneal Kerrick <[JKerrick@idcourts.net](mailto:JKerrick@idcourts.net)>

**Cc:** Melanie Gagnepain <[mgagnepain@idcourts.net](mailto:mgagnepain@idcourts.net)>; Karen Carlisle <[kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov)>; Joseph Pirtle <[jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov)>; Jessica Kline <[jkline@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:jkline@isb.idaho.gov)>; Carole Wesenberg <[carole\\_wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov](mailto:carole_wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov)>

**Subject:** Re: John Doe (2026-05) v. Jane Doe, Attorney, Supreme Court Docket No. 53669-2026, Idaho State Bar No. 26-026C

<sup>2</sup> Exh BB-2



Please see attached

**NOTICE OF CONCERN AND PRESERVATION OF OBJECTION REGARDING CLERK CARLISLE'S  
CONTINUED INVOLVEMENT**

as attached.

Respectfully,

Mr. Corey M Switzer

John Doe (2026-06)

On Mon, Mar 9, 2026, 3:25 PM Juneal Kerrick <[JKerrick@idcourts.net](mailto:JKerrick@idcourts.net)> wrote:

Mr. Switzer:

I previously received your Rebuttal directly and it was submitted timely. No further action is required of you at this time- you have complied with the directives of my Scheduling Letter. The contents of your Rebuttal will be thoroughly considered as part of the report I am assigned to prepare for the Court.

Ms. Carlisle was apparently copied on your email to me when you sent your Rebuttal. She inquired of me concerning whether the document should be forwarded to the Clerk. I told her yes, so she followed through with that instruction.

All of the documents related to this case will be made part of the Supreme Court Clerk's record so there is nothing prejudicial or problematic about the document having been filed with the Clerk today.

Thank you.

Juneal Kerrick

Senior District Judge

Sent from my iPhone

On Mar 9, 2026, at 1:58 PM, Corey Switzer <[coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com)> wrote:

Dear Melanie,

I have attached the printed USPS delivery information available by USPS tracking number in PDF as an attachment to verify the correspondence from the Idaho State Supreme Court to myself in addition to the written instructions and envelope provided.

I await further instructions of the proper service method given the conflicting statements made between Carlisle and the Clerk of Court in addition to an objection to any further involvement by Carlisle, as she is named as a co-conspirator in the Wesenberg Grievance and, to date, has formal grievance filed against her for the same actions yet unaddressed with the Professional Conduct Board.

Respectfully,

Mr. Corey M Switzer

On Mon, Mar 9, 2026, 12:27 PM Melanie Gagnepain <[mgagnepain@idcourts.net](mailto:mgagnepain@idcourts.net)> wrote:

Good morning,

In the past, when the judge prepare the report has requested responses from the party, we have not filed the responses into the case, instead they have gone directly to the judge.

If Judge Kerrick specifically requested it be filed though, I can check with her to see if that is the way she would like to proceed. It is just difficult for us because we are unsure if the filing is for the justices, or just a response to the judge's request.

Did Judge Kerrick specifically request this be filed into the Supreme Court case?

Thank you,  
Melanie

**From:** Karen Carlisle <[kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov)>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 9, 2026 9:53 AM  
**To:** Melanie Gagnepain <[mgagnepain@idcourts.net](mailto:mgagnepain@idcourts.net)>  
**Cc:** Joseph Pirtle <[jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov)>; Jessica Kline <[jkline@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:jkline@isb.idaho.gov)>; Corey Switzer <[coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com)>  
**Subject:** FW: John Doe (2026-05) v. Jane Doe, Attorney, Supreme Court Docket No. 53669-2026, Idaho State Bar No. 26-026C

Ms. Gagnepain:

I am forwarding the Rebuttal to Respondent's Response received from Corey Switzer, at the request of Judge Kerrick. It appears that he did not send it to you for filing.

Thank you.

**Karen Carlisle, Clerk**

**Professional Conduct Board/Fee Arbitration**

Idaho State Bar [www.isb.idaho.gov](http://www.isb.idaho.gov) |

P.O. Box 895, Boise, ID 83701

Direct: (208) 955-8876 |

[kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov)

**From:** Corey Switzer <[coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com)>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 8, 2026 1:04 AM  
**To:** Carole Wesenberg <[carole\\_wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov](mailto:carole_wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov)>; Joseph Pirtle <[jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov)>; Juneal Kerrick <[JKerrick@idcourts.net](mailto:JKerrick@idcourts.net)>; Lori Ostertag <[lostertag@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:lostertag@isb.idaho.gov)>; Karen Carlisle <[kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov)>  
**Subject:** Re: John Doe (2026-05) v. Jane Doe, Attorney, Supreme Court Docket No. 53669-2026, Idaho State Bar No. 26-026C

**CAUTION:** This email originated outside the State of Idaho network. Verify links and attachments BEFORE you click or open, even if you recognize and/or trust the sender. Contact your agency service desk with any concerns.

---

Please see attached REBUTTAL TO RESPONDENT'S RESPONSE.

On Sun, Mar 8, 2026 at 12:04 AM Carole Wesenberg <[Carole\\_Wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov](mailto:Carole_Wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov)> wrote:

Attached please see my statement and an audio recording of the hearing at issue.

Thank you.

**From:** Juneal Kerrick <[JKerrick@idcourts.net](mailto:JKerrick@idcourts.net)>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 8:43 PM  
**To:** [coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com); Carole Wesenberg <[Carole\\_Wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov](mailto:Carole_Wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov)>  
**Subject:** John Doe (2026-05) v. Jane Doe, Attorney, Supreme Court Docket No. 53669-2026, Idaho State Bar No. 26-026C

**CAUTION - EXTERNAL:**



Dear Petitioner John Doe and Respondent Attorney Jane Doe:

Attached is a Scheduling Letter setting forth the dates by which additional or supplemental statements, materials, and documents relating to the above-referenced matter shall be presented. The other party shall be copied on all submissions.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

***Juneal C. Kerrick***

Juneal C. Kerrick

Senior District Judge

**CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated outside the Judiciary. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking on links.

<USPS.com® - USPS Tracking® Results.pdf>

<Screenshot\_2026-03-09-12-22-58-07\_e307a3f9df9f380ebaf106e1dc980bb6.jpg>

<IMG\_20260309\_120438.jpg>

<IMG\_20260309\_121243.jpg>

## Juneal Kerrick

**From:** Corey Switzer <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 9, 2026 2:43 PM  
**To:** Melanie Gagnepain  
**Cc:** Juneal Kerrick; Karen Carlisle; Joseph Pirtle  
**Subject:** Re: John Doe (2026-05) v. Jane Doe, Attorney  
Idaho State Bar No. 26-026C

esenberg  
cket No. 53669-2026,

### This Message Is From an External Sender

This message came from outside your organization.

ort Suspicious

Dear Clerk Gagnepain,

Thank you for your guidance. My Notice of Concern and Preservation of Objection was submitted to Judge Kerrick for her consideration in connection with her investigation, consistent with the Scheduling Letter. I understand from your email that such submissions to Judge Kerrick are not required to be separately filed with the Supreme Court.

I would like this notice and preservation of objection included in her formal report to the Idaho State Supreme Court and the documentation of this instant matter at hand has been achieved through this email dialogue.

I do not intend to mail copies of this notice and objection at this time. Rather, I am preserving this notice and objection on record for appeal.

Please let me know if any further action is required on my part.

Respectfully,  
Corey M. Switzer  
John Doe (2026-05)

On Mon, Mar 9, 2026, 4:33 PM Melanie Gagnepain <[mgagnepain@idcourts.net](mailto:mgagnepain@idcourts.net)> wrote:

Good afternoon:

Responses to inquiries from the Judge preparing the report and recommendation are not generally filed into a case, because the Supreme Court will not be acting on those responses. This was why Petitioner's initial response (which was mailed to the Supreme Court) to Judge Kerrick was returned. Judge Kerrick has directed that the Rebuttal received by the ISB be included in the case. The document will not be filed, but will be saved into the case. As such, if Petitioner would also prefer the original response (which was mailed back to Petitioner) to be included in the file with the rebuttal, it may be again mailed to the Court.

Regarding Petitioner's Notice of Concern and Preservation of Objection sent to multiple parties via email, if Petitioner means this document to be filed into the Supreme Court case, and reviewed by the Court, please mail it to the Supreme Court. If the document is for Judge Kerrick's review, please do not mail it to the Supreme Court.

Thank you,

Melanie Gagnepain

Clerk of the Court

Idaho Supreme Court

208-334-2210

**From:** Corey Switzer <[coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com)>

**Sent:** Monday, March 9, 2026 1:38 PM

**To:** Juneal Kerrick <[JKerrick@idcourts.net](mailto:JKerrick@idcourts.net)>

**Cc:** Melanie Gagnepain <[mgagnepain@idcourts.net](mailto:mgagnepain@idcourts.net)>; Karen Carlisle <[kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov)>; Joseph Pirtle <[jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov)>; Jessica Kline <[jkline@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:jkline@isb.idaho.gov)>; Carole Wesenberg <[carole\\_wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov](mailto:carole_wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov)>

**Subject:** Re: John Doe (2026-05) v. Jane Doe, Attorney, Supreme Court Docket No. 53669-2026, Idaho State Bar No. 26-026C

Please see attached

**NOTICE OF CONCERN AND PRESERVATION OF OBJECTION REGARDING CLERK CARLISLE'S  
CONTINUED INVOLVEMENT**

as attached.

Respectfully,

<sup>2</sup>  
*Exh BB-9*



Mr. Corey M Switzer

John Doe (2026-06)

On Mon, Mar 9, 2026, 3:25 PM Juneal Kerrick <[JKerrick@idcourts.net](mailto:JKerrick@idcourts.net)> wrote:

Mr. Switzer:

I previously received your Rebuttal directly and it was submitted timely. No further action is required of you at this time- you have complied with the directives of my Scheduling Letter. The contents of your Rebuttal will be thoroughly considered as part of the report I am assigned to prepare for the Court.

Ms. Carlisle was apparently copied on your email to me when you sent your Rebuttal. She inquired of me concerning whether the document should be forwarded to the Clerk. I told her yes, so she followed through with that instruction.

All of the documents related to this case will be made part of the Supreme Court Clerk's record so there is nothing prejudicial or problematic about the document having been filed with the Clerk today.

Thank you.

Juneal Kerrick

Senior District Judge

Sent from my iPhone

On Mar 9, 2026, at 1:58 PM, Corey Switzer <[coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com)> wrote:

Dear Melanie,



I have attached the printed USPS delivery information available by USPS tracking number in PDF as an attachment to verify the correspondence from the Idaho State Supreme Court to myself in addition to the written instructions and envelope provided.

I await further instructions of the proper service method given the conflicting statements made between Carlisle and the Clerk of Court in addition to an objection to any further involvement by Carlisle, as she is named as a co-conspirator in the Wesenberg Grievance and, to date, has formal grievance filed against her for the same actions yet unaddressed with the Professional Conduct Board.

Respectfully,

Mr. Corey M Switzer

On Mon, Mar 9, 2026, 12:27 PM Melanie Gagnepain <[mgagnepain@idcourts.net](mailto:mgagnepain@idcourts.net)> wrote:

Good morning,

In the past, when the judge prepare the report has requested responses from the party, we have not filed the responses into the case, instead they have gone directly to the judge.

If Judge Kerrick specifically requested it be filed though, I can check with her to see if that is the way she would like to proceed. It is just difficult for us because we are unsure if the filing is for the justices, or just a response to the judge's request.

Did Judge Kerrick specifically request this be filed into the Supreme Court case?

Thank you,  
Melanie

**From:** Karen Carlisle <[kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov)>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 9, 2026 9:53 AM  
**To:** Melanie Gagnepain <[mgagnepain@idcourts.net](mailto:mgagnepain@idcourts.net)>  
**Cc:** Joseph Pirtle <[jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov)>; Jessica Kline <[jkline@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:jkline@isb.idaho.gov)>; Corey Switzer <[coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com)>  
**Subject:** FW: John Doe (2026-05) v. Jane Doe, Attorney, Supreme Court Docket No. 53669-2026, Idaho State Bar No. 26-026C

Ms. Gagnepain:

I am forwarding the Rebuttal to Respondent's Response received from Corey Switzer, at the request of Judge Kerrick. It appears that he did not send it to you for filing.

Thank you.

**Karen Carlisle, Clerk**

**Professional Conduct Board/Fee Arbitration**

Idaho State Bar [www.isb.idaho.gov](http://www.isb.idaho.gov) |

P.O. Box 895, Boise, ID 83701

Direct: (208) 955-8876 |

[kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov)

**From:** Corey Switzer <[coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com)>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 8, 2026 1:04 AM  
**To:** Carole Wesenberg <[carole\\_wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov](mailto:carole_wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov)>; Joseph Pirtle <[jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov)>; Juneal Kerrick <[JKerrick@idcourts.net](mailto:JKerrick@idcourts.net)>; Lori Ostertag <[lostertag@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:lostertag@isb.idaho.gov)>; Karen Carlisle <[kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov)>  
**Subject:** Re: John Doe (2026-05) v. Jane Doe, Attorney, Supreme Court Docket No. 53669-2026, Idaho State Bar No. 26-026C

**CAUTION:** This email originated outside the State of Idaho network. Verify links and attachments BEFORE you click or open, even if you recognize and/or trust the sender. Contact your agency service desk with any concerns.

Please see attached REBUTTAL TO RESPONDENT'S RESPONSE.

On Sun, Mar 8, 2026 at 12:04 AM Carole Wesenberg  
<[Carole\\_Wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov](mailto:Carole_Wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov)> wrote:

Attached please see my statement and an audio recording of the hearing at issue.

Thank you.

**From:** Juneal Kerrick <[JKerrick@idcourts.net](mailto:JKerrick@idcourts.net)>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 8:43 PM  
**To:** [coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com); Carole Wesenberg <[Carole\\_Wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov](mailto:Carole_Wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov)>  
**Subject:** John Doe (2026-05) v. Jane Doe, Attorney, Supreme Court Docket No. 53669-2026, Idaho State Bar No. 26-026C

**CAUTION - EXTERNAL:**

Dear Petitioner John Doe and Respondent Attorney Jane Doe:

Attached is a Scheduling Letter setting forth the dates by which additional or supplemental statements, materials, and documents relating to the above-referenced matter shall be presented. The other party shall be copied on all submissions.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

*Eth BB-13*

***Juneal C. Kerrick***

Juneal C. Kerrick

Senior District Judge

**CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated outside the Judiciary. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking on links.

<USPS.com® - USPS Tracking® Results.pdf>

<Screenshot\_2026-03-09-12-22-58-07\_e307a3f9df9f380ebaf106e1dc980bb6.jpg>

<IMG\_20260309\_120438.jpg>

<IMG\_20260309\_121243.jpg>



## Juneal Kerrick

**From:** Melanie Gagnepain  
**Sent:** Monday, March 9, 2026 2:33 PM  
**To:** Corey Switzer; Juneal Kerrick  
**Cc:** Karen Carlisle; Joseph Pirtle; Jessica Kline; Carole Wesenberg  
**Subject:** RE: John Doe (2026-05) v. Jane Doe, Attorney, Supreme Court Docket No. 53669-2026, Idaho State Bar No. 26-026C

Good afternoon:

Responses to inquiries from the Judge preparing the report and recommendation are generally filed into a case, because the Supreme Court will not be acting on those responses. Any Petitioner's initial response (which was mailed to the Supreme Court) to Judge Kerrick has directed that the Rebuttal received by the ISB be included in the file. Judge Kerrick will not be filed, but will be saved into the case. As such, if Petitioner would also prefer to file a response (which was mailed back to Petitioner) to be included in the file with the rebuttal, it may be again mailed to the Court.

Regarding Petitioner's Notice of Concern and Preservation of Objection sent to multiple parties via email, if Petitioner means this document to be filed into the Supreme Court case, and reviewed by the Court, please mail it to the Supreme Court. If the document is for Judge Kerrick's review, please do not mail it to the Supreme Court.

Thank you,

Melanie Gagnepain  
Clerk of the Court  
Idaho Supreme Court  
208-334-2210

**From:** Corey Switzer <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 9, 2026 1:38 PM  
**To:** Juneal Kerrick <JKerrick@idcourts.net>  
**Cc:** Melanie Gagnepain <mgagnepain@idcourts.net>; Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>; Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>; Jessica Kline <jkline@isb.idaho.gov>; Carole Wesenberg <carole\_wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov>  
**Subject:** Re: John Doe (2026-05) v. Jane Doe, Attorney, Supreme Court Docket No. 53669-2026, Idaho State Bar No. 26-026C

---

### This Message Is From an External Sender

This message came from outside your organization.

Report Suspicious

Please see attached

**NOTICE OF CONCERN AND PRESERVATION OF OBJECTION REGARDING CLERK CARLISLE'S  
CONTINUED INVOLVEMENT**

as attached.

Respectfully,

Mr. Corey M Switzer  
John Doe (2026-06)

On Mon, Mar 9, 2026, 3:25 PM Juneal Kerrick <[JKerrick@idcourts.net](mailto:JKerrick@idcourts.net)> wrote:

Mr. Switzer:

I previously received your Rebuttal directly and it was submitted timely. No further action is required of you at this time- you have complied with the directives of my Scheduling Letter. The contents of your Rebuttal will be thoroughly considered as part of the report I am assigned to prepare for the Court.

Ms. Carlisle was apparently copied on your email to me when you sent your Rebuttal. She inquired of me concerning whether the document should be forwarded to the Clerk. I told her yes, so she followed through with that instruction.

All of the documents related to this case will be made part of the Supreme Court Clerk's record so there is nothing prejudicial or problematic about the document having been filed with the Clerk today.

Thank you.

Juneal Kerrick  
Senior District Judge  
Sent from my iPhone

On Mar 9, 2026, at 1:58 PM, Corey Switzer <[coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com)> wrote:

Dear Melanie,

I have attached the printed USPS delivery information available by USPS tracking number in PDF as an attachment to verify the correspondence from the Idaho State Supreme Court to myself in addition to the written instructions and envelope provided.

I await further instructions of the proper service method given the conflicting statements made between Carlisle and the Clerk of Court in addition to an objection to any further involvement by Carlisle, as she is named as a co-conspirator in the Wesenberg Grievance and, to date, has formal grievance filed against her for the same actions yet unaddressed with the Professional Conduct Board.

Respectfully,

Mr. Corey M Switzer

On Mon, Mar 9, 2026, 12:27 PM Melanie Gagnepain <[mgagnepain@idcourts.net](mailto:mgagnepain@idcourts.net)> wrote:



Good morning,

In the past, when the judge prepare the report has requested responses from the party, we have not filed the responses into the case, instead they have gone directly to the judge.

If Judge Kerrick specifically requested it be filed though, I can check with her to see if that is the way she would like to proceed. It is just difficult for us because we are unsure if the filing is for the justices, or just a response to the judge's request.

Did Judge Kerrick specifically request this be filed into the Supreme Court case?

Thank you,  
Melanie

**From:** Karen Carlisle <[kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov)>

**Sent:** Monday, March 9, 2026 9:53 AM

**To:** Melanie Gagnepain <[mgagnepain@idcourts.net](mailto:mgagnepain@idcourts.net)>

**Cc:** Joseph Pirtle <[jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov)>; Jessica Kline <[jkline@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:jkline@isb.idaho.gov)>; Corey Switzer <[coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com)>

**Subject:** FW: John Doe (2026-05) v. Jane Doe, Attorney, Supreme Court Docket No. 53669-2026, Idaho State Bar No. 26-026C

Ms. Gagnepain:

I am forwarding the Rebuttal to Respondent's Response received from Corey Switzer, at the request of Judge Kerrick. It appears that he did not send it to you for filing.

Thank you.

**Karen Carlisle, Clerk**

**Professional Conduct Board/Fee Arbitration**

Idaho State Bar [www.isb.idaho.gov](http://www.isb.idaho.gov) |

P.O. Box 895, Boise, ID 83701

Direct: (208) 955-8876|

[kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov)

**From:** Corey Switzer <[coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com)>

**Sent:** Sunday, March 8, 2026 1:04 AM

**To:** Carole Wesenberg <[carole\\_wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov](mailto:carole_wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov)>; Joseph Pirtle <[jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov)>; Juneal Kerrick <[JKerrick@idcourts.net](mailto:JKerrick@idcourts.net)>; Lori Ostertag <[lostertag@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:lostertag@isb.idaho.gov)>; Karen Carlisle <[kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov)>

**Subject:** Re: John Doe (2026-05) v. Jane Doe, Attorney, Supreme Court Docket No. 53669-2026, Idaho State Bar No. 26-026C

**CAUTION:** This email originated outside the State of Idaho network. Verify links and attachments BEFORE you click or open, even if you recognize and/or trust the sender. Contact your agency service desk with any concerns.

---

Please see attached REBUTTAL TO RESPONDENT'S RESPONSE.

On Sun, Mar 8, 2026 at 12:04 AM Carole Wesenberg  
<[Carole\\_Wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov](mailto:Carole_Wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov)> wrote:

Attached please see my statement and an audio recording of the hearing at issue.

Thank you.

**From:** Juneal Kerrick <[JKerrick@idcourts.net](mailto:JKerrick@idcourts.net)>

**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 8:43 PM



\*To: [coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com); Carole Wesenberg <[Carole\\_Wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov](mailto:Carole_Wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov)>  
Subject: John Doe (2026-05) v. Jane Doe, Attorney, Supreme Court Docket No. 53669-2026, Idaho  
State Bar No. 26-026C

**CAUTION - EXTERNAL:**

Dear Petitioner John Doe and Respondent Attorney Jane Doe:

Attached is a Scheduling Letter setting forth the dates by which additional or supplemental statements, materials, and documents relating to the above-referenced matter shall be presented. The other party shall be copied on all submissions.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

*Juneal C. Kerrick*

Juneal C. Kerrick

Senior District Judge

**CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated outside the Judiciary. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking on links.

<USPS.com® - USPS Tracking® Results.pdf>  
<Screenshot\_2026-03-09-12-22-58-07\_e307a3f9df9f380ebaf106e1dc980bb6.jpg>  
<IMG\_20260309\_120438.jpg>  
<IMG\_20260309\_121243.jpg>

**Juneal Kerrick**

**From:** Corey Switzer <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 9, 2026 10:16 AM  
**To:** Karen Carlisle  
**Cc:** Melanie Gagnepain; Joseph Pirtle; Jessica Kline; Juneal Kerrick  
**Subject:** Re: FW: John Doe (2026-05) v. Jane Doe, Attorney, Supreme C  
53669-2026, Idaho State Bar No. 26-026C  
**Attachments:** 87113.jpg; fd6be8ef-14f6-4200-8c70-fe1be7f5b919-1\_all\_181106.jpg

3/9/26  
Corey to  
Karen

**This Message Is From an External Sender**

This message came from outside your organization.

[Report Suspicious](#)

These instructions from the Clerk of Court to file directly with Judge Kerrick were received Friday, March 6th, 2026.

I am complying with their lawful request as illustrated.

Respectfully,

Mr. Corey M Switzer

On Mon, Mar 9, 2026, 12:07 PM Corey Switzer <[coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com)> wrote:  
Ms. Carlisle,

Per the instructions I have previously received via the Clerk of Court, Idaho State Supreme Court, "As such, should be provided to Judge Kerrick" ... "as this is not a filing to be reviewed or ruled on by the court."


I hope that this helps clear up your confusion as to why hard copies have not been filed with this court, per the instructions given by the Clerk of Court.

Respectfully,

F-H RR-70

Idaho Supreme Court

Idaho Court of  
Appeals



February 27, 2026

Corey M. Switzer  
264 Kernodle Road  
Gibsonville, NC 27249

Re: Supplemental Submission in Support of Grievance

On Mon, Mar 9, 2026, 11:52 AM Karen Carlisle <[kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov)> wrote:

Ms. Gagnepain:

I am forwarding the Rebuttal to Respondent's Response received from Corey Switzer, at the request of Judge Kerrick. It appears that he did not send it to you for filing.

Thank you.

**Karen Carlisle, Clerk**

**Professional Conduct Board/Fee Arbitration**

Idaho State Bar [www.isb.idaho.gov](http://www.isb.idaho.gov) |

P.O. Box 895, Boise, ID 83701

Direct: (208) 955-8876|

[kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov)

**From:** Corey Switzer <[coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com)>

**Sent:** Sunday, March 8, 2026 1:04 AM

**To:** Carole Wesenberg <[carole\\_wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov](mailto:carole_wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov)>; Joseph Pirtle <[jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov)>; Juneal Kerrick <[JKerrick@idcourts.net](mailto:JKerrick@idcourts.net)>; Lori Ostertag <[lostertag@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:lostertag@isb.idaho.gov)>; Karen Carlisle <[kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov)>

**Subject:** Re: John Doe (2026-05) v. Jane Doe, Attorney, Supreme Court Docket No. 53669-2026, Idaho State Bar No. 26-026C

**CAUTION:** This email originated outside the State of Idaho network. Verify links and attachments BEFORE you click or open, even if you recognize and/or trust the sender. Contact your agency service desk with any concerns.

---

Please see attached REBUTTAL TO RESPONDENT'S RESPONSE.



On Sun, Mar 8, 2026 at 12:04 AM Carole Wesenberg <[Carole\\_Wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov](mailto:Carole_Wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov)> wrote:

Attached please see my statement and an audio recording of the hearing at issue.

Thank you.

**From:** Juneal Kerrick <[JKerrick@idcourts.net](mailto:JKerrick@idcourts.net)>

**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 8:43 PM

**To:** [coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com); Carole Wesenberg <[Carole\\_Wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov](mailto:Carole_Wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov)>

**Subject:** John Doe (2026-05) v. Jane Doe, Attorney, Supreme Court Docket No. 53669-2026, Idaho State Bar No. 26-026C

**CAUTION - EXTERNAL:**

Dear Petitioner John Doe and Respondent Attorney Jane Doe:

Attached is a Scheduling Letter setting forth the dates by which additional or supplemental statements, materials, and documents relating to the above-referenced matter shall be presented. The other party shall be copied on all submissions.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

*Juneal C. Kerrick*

Juneal C. Kerrick

Senior District Judge

**CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated outside the Judiciary. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking on links.

## Juneal Kerrick

**From:** Corey Switzer <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 9, 2026 11:55 AM  
**To:** Melanie Gagnepain  
**Cc:** Karen Carlisle; Joseph Pirtle; Jessica Kline; Juneal Kerrick  
**Subject:** Re: John Doe (2026-05) v. Jane Doe, Attorney, Supreme Court  
Idaho State Bar No. 26-026C  
**Attachments:** USPS.com® - USPS Tracking® Results.pdf; Screenshot\_2026-03-09\_12:27:12\_e307a3f9df9f380ebaf106e1dc980bb6.jpg; IMG\_20260309\_120121243.jpg

3/9/26  
Corey  
to  
Melanie

### This Message Is From an External Sender

This message came from outside your organization.

Report Suspicious

Dear Melanie,

I have attached the printed USPS delivery information available by USPS tracking number in PDF as an attachment to verify the correspondence from the Idaho State Supreme Court to myself in addition to the written instructions and envelope provided.

I await further instructions of the proper service method given the conflicting statements made between Carlisle and the Clerk of Court in addition to an objection to any further involvement by Carlisle, as she is named as a co-conspirator in the Wesenberg Grievance and, to date, has formal grievance filed against her for the same actions yet unaddressed with the Professional Conduct Board.

Respectfully,

Mr. Corey M Switzer

On Mon, Mar 9, 2026, 12:27 PM Melanie Gagnepain <[mgagnepain@idcourts.net](mailto:mgagnepain@idcourts.net)> wrote:

Good morning,

In the past, when the judge prepare the report has requested responses from the party, we have not filed the responses into the case, instead they have gone directly to the judge.

If Judge Kerrick specifically requested it be filed though, I can check with her to see if that is the way she would like to proceed. It is just difficult for us because we are unsure if the filing is for the justices, or just a response to the judge's request.

Did Judge Kerrick specifically request this be filed into the Supreme Court case?

Thank you,  
Melanie

**From:** Karen Carlisle <[kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov)>

**Sent:** Monday, March 9, 2026 9:53 AM

**To:** Melanie Gagnepain <[mgagnepain@idcourts.net](mailto:mgagnepain@idcourts.net)>

**Cc:** Joseph Pirtle <[jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov)>; Jessica Kline <[jkline@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:jkline@isb.idaho.gov)>; Corey Switzer <[coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com)>

**Subject:** FW: John Doe (2026-05) v. Jane Doe, Attorney, Supreme Court Docket No. 53669-2026, Idaho State Bar No. 26-026C

Ms. Gagnepain:

I am forwarding the Rebuttal to Respondent's Response received from Corey Switzer, at the request of Judge Kerrick. It appears that he did not send it to you for filing.

Thank you.

**Karen Carlisle, Clerk**

**Professional Conduct Board/Fee Arbitration**

Idaho State Bar [www.isb.idaho.gov](http://www.isb.idaho.gov) |

P.O. Box 895, Boise, ID 83701

Direct: (208) 955-8876 |

[kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov)



**From:** Corey Switzer <[coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com)>

**Sent:** Sunday, March 8, 2026 1:04 AM

**To:** Carole Wesenberg <[carole\\_wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov](mailto:carole_wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov)>; Joseph Pirtle <[jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov)>; Juneal Kerrick <[JKerrick@idcourts.net](mailto:JKerrick@idcourts.net)>; Lori Ostertag <[lostertag@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:lostertag@isb.idaho.gov)>; Karen Carlisle <[kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov)>

**Subject:** Re: John Doe (2026-05) v. Jane Doe, Attorney, Supreme Court Docket No. 53669-2026, Idaho State Bar No. 26-026C

**CAUTION:** This email originated outside the State of Idaho network. Verify links and attachments BEFORE you click or open, even if you recognize and/or trust the sender. Contact your agency service desk with any concerns.

---

Please see attached REBUTTAL TO RESPONDENT'S RESPONSE.

On Sun, Mar 8, 2026 at 12:04 AM Carole Wesenberg <[Carole\\_Wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov](mailto:Carole_Wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov)> wrote:

Attached please see my statement and an audio recording of the hearing at issue.

Thank you.

**From:** Juneal Kerrick <[JKerrick@idcourts.net](mailto:JKerrick@idcourts.net)>

**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 8:43 PM

**To:** [coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com); Carole Wesenberg <[Carole\\_Wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov](mailto:Carole_Wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov)>

**Subject:** John Doe (2026-05) v. Jane Doe, Attorney, Supreme Court Docket No. 53669-2026, Idaho State Bar No. 26-026C

**CAUTION - EXTERNAL:**

Dear Petitioner John Doe and Respondent Attorney Jane Doe:

Attached is a Scheduling Letter setting forth the dates by which additional or supplemental statements, materials, and documents relating to the above-referenced matter shall be presented. The other party shall be copied on all submissions.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

***Juneal C. Kerrick***

Juneal C. Kerrick

Senior District Judge

**CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated outside the Judiciary. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking on links.

## Juneal Kerrick

---

**From:** Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 9, 2026 8:33 AM  
**To:** Juneal Kerrick  
**Subject:** FW: John Doe (2026-05) v. Jane Doe, Attorney, Supreme Court Docket No. 53669-2026, Idaho State Bar No. 26-026C  
**Attachments:** 2026-03-08 Rebuttal to Respondents Response.pdf

---

### This Message Is From an External Sender

This message came from outside your organization.

Report Suspicious

Judge Kerrick:

It appears that Mr. Switzer did not file his Rebuttal with the Clerk of the Supreme Court. Please direct me if you would like me to file it with the Clerk, or if you will communicate with him regarding the requirements.

Happy Monday!

**Karen Carlisle, Clerk**  
**Professional Conduct Board/Fee Arbitration**  
Idaho State Bar [www.isb.idaho.gov](http://www.isb.idaho.gov) |  
P.O. Box 895, Boise, ID 83701  
Direct: (208) 955-8876 |  
[kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov)

**From:** Corey Switzer <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 8, 2026 1:04 AM  
**To:** Carole Wesenberg <carole\_wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov>; Joseph Pirtle <jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov>; Juneal Kerrick <JKerrick@idcourts.net>; Lori Ostertag <lostertag@isb.idaho.gov>; Karen Carlisle <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>  
**Subject:** Re: John Doe (2026-05) v. Jane Doe, Attorney, Supreme Court Docket No. 53669-2026, Idaho State Bar No. 26-026C

**CAUTION:** This email originated outside the State of Idaho network. Verify links and attachments BEFORE you click or open, even if you recognize and/or trust the sender. Contact your agency service desk with any concerns.

---

Please see attached REBUTTAL TO RESPONDENT'S RESPONSE.

On Sun, Mar 8, 2026 at 12:04 AM Carole Wesenberg <[Carole\\_Wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov](mailto:Carole_Wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov)> wrote:

Attached please see my statement and an audio recording of the hearing at issue.

Thank you.



**From:** Juneal Kerrick <[JKerrick@idcourts.net](mailto:JKerrick@idcourts.net)>

**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 8:43 PM

**To:** [coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com); Carole Wesenberg <[Carole\\_Wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov](mailto:Carole_Wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov)>

**Subject:** John Doe (2026-05) v. Jane Doe, Attorney, Supreme Court Docket No. 53669-2026, Idaho State Bar No. 26-026C

**CAUTION - EXTERNAL:**

Dear Petitioner John Doe and Respondent Attorney Jane Doe:

Attached is a Scheduling Letter setting forth the dates by which additional or supplemental statements, materials, and documents relating to the above-referenced matter shall be presented. The other party shall be copied on all submissions.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

*Juneal C. Kerrick*

Juneal C. Kerrick

Senior District Judge

**CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated outside the Judiciary. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking on links.



**Juneal Kerrick**

---

**From:** Corey Switzer <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 9, 2026 1:38 PM  
**To:** Juneal Kerrick  
**Cc:** Melanie Gagnepain; Karen Carlisle; Joseph Pirtle; Jessica Kline; Carole Wesenberg  
**Subject:** Re: John Doe (2026-05) v. Jane Doe, Attorney, Supreme Court Docket No. 53669-2026, Idaho State Bar No. 26-026C  
**Attachments:** NOTICE OF CONCERN AND PRESERVATION OF OBJECTION REGARDING CLERK CARLISLE'S CONTINUED INVOLVEMENT.pdf

---

**This Message Is From an External Sender**

This message came from outside your organization.

[Report Suspicious](#)

Please see attached

**NOTICE OF CONCERN AND PRESERVATION OF OBJECTION REGARDING CLERK CARLISLE'S CONTINUED INVOLVEMENT**

as attached.

Respectfully,

Mr. Corey M Switzer  
John Doe (2026-06)

On Mon, Mar 9, 2026, 3:25 PM Juneal Kerrick <[JKerrick@idcourts.net](mailto:JKerrick@idcourts.net)> wrote:  
Mr. Switzer:

I previously received your Rebuttal directly and it was submitted timely. No further action is required of you at this time- you have complied with the directives of my Scheduling Letter. The contents of your Rebuttal will be thoroughly considered as part of the report I am assigned to prepare for the Court.

Ms. Carlisle was apparently copied on your email to me when you sent your Rebuttal. She inquired of me concerning whether the document should be forwarded to the Clerk. I told her yes, so she followed through with that instruction.

All of the documents related to this case will be made part of the Supreme Court Clerk's record so there is nothing prejudicial or problematic about the document having been filed with the Clerk today.

Thank you.

Juneal Kerrick  
Senior District Judge  
Sent from my iPhone

*Exh BB-31*

On Mar 9, 2026, at 1:58 PM, Corey Switzer <[coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com)> wrote:

Dear Melanie,

I have attached the printed USPS delivery information available by USPS tracking number in PDF as an attachment to verify the correspondence from the Idaho State Supreme Court to myself in addition to the written instructions and envelope provided.

I await further instructions of the proper service method given the conflicting statements made between Carlisle and the Clerk of Court in addition to an objection to any further involvement by Carlisle, as she is named as a co-conspirator in the Wesenberg Grievance and, to date, has formal grievance filed against her for the same actions yet unaddressed with the Professional Conduct Board.

Respectfully,

Mr. Corey M Switzer

On Mon, Mar 9, 2026, 12:27 PM Melanie Gagnepain <[mgagnepain@idcourts.net](mailto:mgagnepain@idcourts.net)> wrote:

Good morning,

In the past, when the judge prepare the report has requested responses from the party, we have not filed the responses into the case, instead they have gone directly to the judge.

If Judge Kerrick specifically requested it be filed though, I can check with her to see if that is the way she would like to proceed. It is just difficult for us because we are unsure if the filing is for the justices, or just a response to the judge's request.

Did Judge Kerrick specifically request this be filed into the Supreme Court case?

Thank you,  
Melanie

**From:** Karen Carlisle <[kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov)>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 9, 2026 9:53 AM  
**To:** Melanie Gagnepain <[mgagnepain@idcourts.net](mailto:mgagnepain@idcourts.net)>

*Exh BB-32*

**Cc:** Joseph Pirtle <[jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov)>; Jessica Kline <[jkline@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:jkline@isb.idaho.gov)>; Corey Switzer <[coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com)>

**Subject:** FW: John Doe (2026-05) v. Jane Doe, Attorney, Supreme Court Docket No. 53669-2026, Idaho State Bar No. 26-026C

Ms. Gagnepain:

I am forwarding the Rebuttal to Respondent's Response received from Corey Switzer, at the request of Judge Kerrick. It appears that he did not send it to you for filing.

Thank you.

**Karen Carlisle, Clerk**

**Professional Conduct Board/Fee Arbitration**

Idaho State Bar [www.isb.idaho.gov](http://www.isb.idaho.gov) |

P.O. Box 895, Boise, ID 83701

Direct: (208) 955-8876|

[kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov)

**From:** Corey Switzer <[coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com)>

**Sent:** Sunday, March 8, 2026 1:04 AM

**To:** Carole Wesenberg <[carole\\_wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov](mailto:carole_wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov)>; Joseph Pirtle <[jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov)>; Juneal Kerrick <[JKerrick@idcourts.net](mailto:JKerrick@idcourts.net)>; Lori Ostertag <[lostertag@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:lostertag@isb.idaho.gov)>; Karen Carlisle <[kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov)>

**Subject:** Re: John Doe (2026-05) v. Jane Doe, Attorney, Supreme Court Docket No. 53669-2026, Idaho State Bar No. 26-026C

**CAUTION:** This email originated outside the State of Idaho network. Verify links and attachments BEFORE you click or open, even if you recognize and/or trust the sender. Contact your agency service desk with any concerns.



---

Please see attached REBUTTAL TO RESPONDENT'S RESPONSE.

On Sun, Mar 8, 2026 at 12:04 AM Carole Wesenberg  
<[Carole\\_Wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov](mailto:Carole_Wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov)> wrote:

Attached please see my statement and an audio recording of the hearing at issue.

Thank you.

**From:** Juneal Kerrick <[JKerrick@idcourts.net](mailto:JKerrick@idcourts.net)>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 8:43 PM  
**To:** [coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com); Carole Wesenberg <[Carole\\_Wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov](mailto:Carole_Wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov)>  
**Subject:** John Doe (2026-05) v. Jane Doe, Attorney, Supreme Court Docket No. 53669-2026, Idaho State Bar No. 26-026C

**CAUTION - EXTERNAL:**

Dear Petitioner John Doe and Respondent Attorney Jane Doe:

Attached is a Scheduling Letter setting forth the dates by which additional or supplemental statements, materials, and documents relating to the above-referenced matter shall be presented. The other party shall be copied on all submissions.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

**Juneal C. Kerrick**



Juneal C. Kerrick

Senior District Judge

**CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated outside the Judiciary. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking on links.

<USPS.com® - USPS Tracking® Results.pdf>

<Screenshot\_2026-03-09-12-22-58-07\_e307a3f9df9f380ebaf106e1dc980bb6.jpg>

<IMG\_20260309\_120438.jpg>

<IMG\_20260309\_121243.jpg>

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

---

Petition for Grievance Against Attorney Jane Doe, I.B.C.R. 508(a).

JOHN DOE (2026-05),  
Petitioner,

v.

JANE DOE, Attorney,  
Respondent.

NOTICE OF CONCERN AND PRESERVATION OF OBJECTION REGARDING CLERK  
CARLISLE'S CONTINUED INVOLVEMENT

Supreme Court Docket No. 53669-2026

Idaho State Bar No. 26-026C

---

Submitted to:  
Senior Judge Juneal C. Kerrick  
Canyon County Courthouse  
1115 Albany  
Caldwell, Idaho 83605  
jkerrick@idcourts.net

Copies to:

*KH BB-36*

Melanie Gagnepain, Clerk of the Supreme Court  
Karen Carlisle, Clerk, Professional Conduct Board  
Joseph Pirtle, Bar Counsel  
Carole I. Wesenberg, Respondent

Submitted by:

John Doe (2026-05), Petitioner Pro Se  
264 Kernodle Rd  
Gibsonville, NC 27249  
[Email on file with Court]  
[Phone on file with Court]

Submitted on: March [10], 2026

----

## I. INTRODUCTION

1. Petitioner John Doe (2026-05) respectfully submits this Notice to document concerns regarding the continued involvement of Clerk Karen Carlisle in matters related to this proceeding, despite a pending formal grievance against her arising from the same underlying facts.
2. This Notice is not filed as a formal motion or grievance, but rather to preserve Petitioner's objection and ensure the record reflects these concerns for any future proceedings.

---

## II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

*Enh BB-37*

1. On January 21, 2026, Petitioner filed a formal grievance against Clerk Karen Carlisle with the Idaho State Bar, alleging procedural misconduct, obstruction of justice, and violation of due process in connection with ISB Cases 25-168a, 25-169a, and 25-187a.
2. That grievance remains pending and has not been resolved.
3. On March 9, 2026, Petitioner received an email chain involving Melanie Gagnepain, Clerk of the Supreme Court; Karen Carlisle, Clerk of the Professional Conduct Board; and others, concerning the proper filing of Petitioner's Rebuttal submitted in this matter.
4. The email from Ms. Gagnepain stated:

"In the past, when the judge prepare the report has requested responses from the party, we have not filed the responses into the case, instead they have gone directly to the judge. If Judge Kerrick specifically requested it be filed though, I can check with her to see if that is the way she would like to proceed. It is just difficult for us because we are unsure if the filing is for the justices, or just a response to the judge's request."

1. The email chain reflects that Clerk Carlisle was involved in communicating with the Supreme Court Clerk's office regarding Petitioner's filing.
2. On March 9, 2026, Judge Kerrick clarified the situation, stating:

"I previously received your Rebuttal directly and it was submitted timely. No further action is required of you at this time- you have complied with the directives of my Scheduling Letter. The contents of your Rebuttal will be thoroughly considered as part of the report I am assigned to prepare for the Court. Ms. Carlisle was apparently copied on your email to me when you sent your Rebuttal. She inquired of me concerning whether the document should be forwarded to the Clerk. I told her yes, so she followed through with that instruction. All of the documents related to this case will be made part of the Supreme Court Clerk's record so there is nothing prejudicial or problematic about the document having been filed with the Clerk today."

---

### III. CONCERNS

*E+H BB-38*



1. Petitioner acknowledges Judge Kerrick's clarification and does not allege any impropriety on her part. The undersigned is grateful for Judge Kerrick's prompt response and confirmation that the Rebuttal has been received and will be considered.

2. However, Petitioner remains concerned about the continued involvement of Clerk Carlisle in matters related to this proceeding, given that:

- a. A formal grievance against Clerk Carlisle remains pending before the Idaho State Bar;
- b. That grievance arises from the same factual background as the instant matter;
- c. Clerk Carlisle's actions in the underlying bar proceedings are part of the pattern of conduct that gave rise to Petitioner's complaints;
- d. Her participation in communications regarding the disposition of Petitioner's filings creates, at minimum, an appearance of impropriety.

3. Petitioner notes that in the email chain, Clerk Carlisle was positioned as an intermediary between Petitioner and the Supreme Court Clerk's office regarding whether Petitioner's filings would be accepted. This is precisely the kind of gatekeeping role that gave rise to the pending grievance against her.

4. While no prejudice resulted in this instance—thanks to Judge Kerrick's intervention—the situation illustrates the ongoing structural problem of having an individual with a pending grievance against her involved in administering the very process in which the grievant is a party.

---

#### IV. PRESERVATION OF OBJECTION

1. Petitioner respectfully preserves all objections regarding Clerk Carlisle's continued involvement in this matter for any future proceedings, including but not limited to:

- a. Any appeal of the final decision in this matter;
- b. Any federal court proceedings arising from the same facts;
- c. Any further grievances or complaints.

*ETH BB-39*

2. Petitioner does not seek any immediate relief from this Court, as Judge Kerrick's clarification resolves the immediate procedural question. However, Petitioner requests that this Notice be made part of the record.

---

## V. CONCLUSION

Petitioner appreciates the Court's attention to these proceedings and acknowledges Judge Kerrick's diligence in ensuring that all submissions have been properly received and will be considered. This Notice is filed solely to ensure that concerns regarding Clerk Carlisle's involvement are preserved for the record and for any future proceedings.

Respectfully submitted this [10th] day of March, 2026.

---

/s/ John Doe

John Doe (2026-05)

Petitioner, Pro Se

---

## VI. CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this [10th] day of March, 2026, I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing NOTICE OF CONCERN AND PRESERVATION OF OBJECTION upon the following parties via electronic mail:

Party Email Address

Senior Judge Juneal C. Kerrick [jkerrick@idcourts.net](mailto:jkerrick@idcourts.net)

Melanie Gagnepain, Clerk [mgagnepain@idcourts.net](mailto:mgagnepain@idcourts.net)

*Eth BB-40*

Carole I. Wesenberg, Respondent carole\_wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov

Joseph Pirtle, Bar Counsel jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov

Karen Carlisle, Clerk kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov

Jessica Kline, Legal Assistant jkline@isb.idaho.gov

Executed this [10th] day of March, 2026, in Gibsonville, North Carolina.

---

/s/ John Doe

John Doe (2026-05)

---

[END OF DOCUMENT]

Ex BB-4)

## Juneal Kerrick

---

**From:** Corey Switzer <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 25, 2026 3:51 PM  
**To:** Juneal Kerrick  
**Cc:** Karen Carlisle; Joseph Pirtle; Jessica Kline; Carole Wesenberg; Melanie Gagnepain  
**Subject:** Re: John Doe (2026-05) v. Jane Doe, Attorney, Supreme Court Docket No. 53669-2026, Idaho State Bar No. 26-026C  
**Attachments:** Supplemental.pdf; Exhibit A.pdf

---

### This Message Is From an External Sender

This message came from outside your organization.

[Report Suspicious](#)

March 25th, 2026

Please see attached Supplemental and Exhibit "A" in support of the petition.

Respectfully,  
Mr. Corey M Switzer

On Tue, Mar 10, 2026, 4:56 PM Corey Switzer <[coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com)> wrote:  
Dear Judge Kerrick,

Please find attached a Supplemental Notice Re: Pattern of Conduct by Clerk Karen Carlisle for your consideration in connection with the above-captioned matter.

This submission includes a document from September 26, 2025 (filed in Supreme Court Docket No. 53006-2025, the Weeks matter), which demonstrates that concerns regarding Clerk Carlisle's involvement in matters involving Petitioner predate the current proceeding by several months and establish a pattern of conduct relevant to the concerns raised in Petitioner's March 9, 2026 Notice of Concern.

The attached document shows that:

1. On September 23-25, 2025, Clerk Carlisle engaged in contradictory communications regarding scheduling in the Weeks matter—first presenting a request as a standard PCB action, then retroactively attributing it to Judge Kerrick after Petitioner objected.
2. Petitioner filed a contemporaneous objection and supplemental notice at that time, demonstrating consistent and timely raising of concerns about Carlisle's role.
3. Judge Kerrick was previously on notice of these concerns, as the incident occurred during Kerrick's appointment in the Weeks matter.

This pattern supports Petitioner's request that Clerk Carlisle be removed from any role in matters involving Petitioner and demonstrates that her continued involvement, despite a pending grievance, creates an appearance of impropriety.



This document is submitted for Judge Kerrick's consideration as part of the investigation. Consistent with Clerk Gagnepain's guidance of March 9, 2026, I understand that submissions to Judge Kerrick need not be separately filed with the Supreme Court unless the Court directs otherwise.

Thank you for your continued attention to this matter.

Respectfully,

Corey M. Switzer  
John Doe (2026-05)  
264 Kernodle Rd  
Gibsonville, NC 27249

Attachments:

- Supplemental Notice Re: Pattern of Conduct by Clerk Karen Carlisle (PDF)
- Exhibit A – September 26, 2025 Filing from Docket No. 53006-2025 (PDF)
- Filing Exhibit Supporting Exhibit A, Docket No. 53006-2025 (PDF)

On Mon, Mar 9, 2026, 5:24 PM Melanie Gagnepain <[mgagnepain@idcourts.net](mailto:mgagnepain@idcourts.net)> wrote:

Good afternoon:

No further action is required by the Court. If Judge Kerrick requires any action I am sure she will notify the parties.

Thank you,

Melanie

**From:** Corey Switzer <[coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com)>

**Sent:** Monday, March 9, 2026 2:43 PM

**To:** Melanie Gagnepain <[mgagnepain@idcourts.net](mailto:mgagnepain@idcourts.net)>

**Cc:** Juneal Kerrick <[JKerrick@idcourts.net](mailto:JKerrick@idcourts.net)>; Karen Carlisle <[kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov)>; Joseph Pirtle <[jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov)>; Jessica Kline <[jkline@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:jkline@isb.idaho.gov)>; Carole Wesenberg <[carole\\_wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov](mailto:carole_wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov)>

**Subject:** Re: John Doe (2026-05) v. Jane Doe, Attorney, Supreme Court Docket No. 53669-2026, Idaho State Bar No. 26-026C

Dear Clerk Gagnepain,

2  
*Exh BB-43*

Thank you for your guidance. My Notice of Concern and Preservation of Objection was submitted to Judge Kerrick for her consideration in connection with her investigation, consistent with the Scheduling Letter. I understand from your email that such submissions to Judge Kerrick are not required to be separately filed with the Supreme Court.

I would like this notice and preservation of objection included in her formal report to the Idaho State Supreme Court and the documentation of this instant matter at hand has been achieved through this email dialogue.

I do not intend to mail copies of this notice and objection at this time. Rather, I am preserving this notice and objection on record for appeal.

Please let me know if any further action is required on my part.

Respectfully,

Corey M. Switzer

John Doe (2026-05)

On Mon, Mar 9, 2026, 4:33 PM Melanie Gagnepain <[mgagnepain@idcourts.net](mailto:mgagnepain@idcourts.net)> wrote:

Good afternoon:

Responses to inquiries from the Judge preparing the report and recommendation are not generally filed into a case, because the Supreme Court will not be acting on those responses. This was why Petitioner's initial response (which was mailed to the Supreme Court) to Judge Kerrick was returned. Judge Kerrick has directed that the Rebuttal received by the ISB be included in the case. The document will not be filed, but will be saved into the case. As such, if Petitioner would also prefer the original response (which was mailed back to Petitioner) to be included in the file with the rebuttal, it may be again mailed to the Court.

Regarding Petitioner's Notice of Concern and Preservation of Objection sent to multiple parties via email, if Petitioner means this document to be filed into the Supreme Court case, and reviewed by the Court, please mail it to the Supreme Court. If the document is for Judge Kerrick's review, please do not mail it to the Supreme Court.

Thank you,

Melanie Gagnepain

Clerk of the Court

Idaho Supreme Court

208-334-2210

**From:** Corey Switzer <[coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com)>

**Sent:** Monday, March 9, 2026 1:38 PM

**To:** Juneal Kerrick <[JKerrick@idcourts.net](mailto:JKerrick@idcourts.net)>

**Cc:** Melanie Gagnepain <[mgagnepain@idcourts.net](mailto:mgagnepain@idcourts.net)>; Karen Carlisle <[kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov)>; Joseph Pirtle <[jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov)>; Jessica Kline <[jkline@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:jkline@isb.idaho.gov)>; Carole Wesenberg <[carole\\_wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov](mailto:carole_wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov)>

**Subject:** Re: John Doe (2026-05) v. Jane Doe, Attorney, Supreme Court Docket No. 53669-2026, Idaho State Bar No. 26-026C

Please see attached

**NOTICE OF CONCERN AND PRESERVATION OF OBJECTION REGARDING CLERK CARLISLE'S  
CONTINUED INVOLVEMENT**

as attached.

Respectfully,

Mr. Corey M Switzer



John Doe (2026-06)

On Mon, Mar 9, 2026, 3:25 PM Juneal Kerrick <[JKerrick@idcourts.net](mailto:JKerrick@idcourts.net)> wrote:

Mr. Switzer:

I previously received your Rebuttal directly and it was submitted timely. No further action is required of you at this time- you have complied with the directives of my Scheduling Letter. The contents of your Rebuttal will be thoroughly considered as part of the report I am assigned to prepare for the Court.

Ms. Carlisle was apparently copied on your email to me when you sent your Rebuttal. She inquired of me concerning whether the document should be forwarded to the Clerk. I told her yes, so she followed through with that instruction.

All of the documents related to this case will be made part of the Supreme Court Clerk's record so there is nothing prejudicial or problematic about the document having been filed with the Clerk today.

Thank you.

Juneal Kerrick

Senior District Judge

Sent from my iPhone

On Mar 9, 2026, at 1:58 PM, Corey Switzer <[coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com)> wrote:

Dear Melanie,



I have attached the printed USPS delivery information available by USPS tracking number in PDF as an attachment to verify the correspondence from the Idaho State Supreme Court to myself in addition to the written instructions and envelope provided.

I await further instructions of the proper service method given the conflicting statements made between Carlisle and the Clerk of Court in addition to an objection to any further involvement by Carlisle, as she is named as a co-conspirator in the Wesenberg Grievance and, to date, has formal grievance filed against her for the same actions yet unaddressed with the Professional Conduct Board.

Respectfully,

Mr. Corey M Switzer

On Mon, Mar 9, 2026, 12:27 PM Melanie Gagnepain <[mgagnepain@idcourts.net](mailto:mgagnepain@idcourts.net)> wrote:

Good morning,

In the past, when the judge prepare the report has requested responses from the party, we have not filed the responses into the case, instead they have gone directly to the judge.

If Judge Kerrick specifically requested it be filed though, I can check with her to see if that is the way she would like to proceed. It is just difficult for us because we are unsure if the filing is for the justices, or just a response to the judge's request.

Did Judge Kerrick specifically request this be filed into the Supreme Court case?

Thank you,  
Melanie

**From:** Karen Carlisle <[kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov)>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 9, 2026 9:53 AM  
**To:** Melanie Gagnepain <[mgagnepain@idcourts.net](mailto:mgagnepain@idcourts.net)>  
**Cc:** Joseph Pirtle <[jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov)>; Jessica Kline <[jkline@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:jkline@isb.idaho.gov)>; Corey Switzer <[coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com)>  
**Subject:** FW: John Doe (2026-05) v. Jane Doe, Attorney, Supreme Court Docket No. 53669-2026, Idaho State Bar No. 26-026C

Ms. Gagnepain:

I am forwarding the Rebuttal to Respondent's Response received from Corey Switzer, at the request of Judge Kerrick. It appears that he did not send it to you for filing.

Thank you.

**Karen Carlisle, Clerk**

**Professional Conduct Board/Fee Arbitration**

Idaho State Bar [www.isb.idaho.gov](http://www.isb.idaho.gov) |

P.O. Box 895, Boise, ID 83701

Direct: (208) 955-8876 |

[kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov)

**From:** Corey Switzer <[coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com)>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 8, 2026 1:04 AM  
**To:** Carole Wesenberg <[carole\\_wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov](mailto:carole_wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov)>; Joseph Pirtle <[jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov)>; Juneal Kerrick <[JKerrick@idcourts.net](mailto:JKerrick@idcourts.net)>; Lori Ostertag <[lostertag@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:lostertag@isb.idaho.gov)>; Karen Carlisle <[kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov)>  
**Subject:** Re: John Doe (2026-05) v. Jane Doe, Attorney, Supreme Court Docket No. 53669-2026, Idaho State Bar No. 26-026C

**CAUTION: This email originated outside the State of Idaho network. Verify links and attachments BEFORE you click or open, even if you recognize and/or trust the sender. Contact your agency service desk with any concerns.**

---

Please see attached REBUTTAL TO RESPONDENT'S RESPONSE.

On Sun, Mar 8, 2026 at 12:04 AM Carole Wesenberg  
<[Carole\\_Wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov](mailto:Carole_Wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov)> wrote:

Attached please see my statement and an audio recording of the hearing at issue.

Thank you.

**From:** Juneal Kerrick <[JKerrick@idcourts.net](mailto:JKerrick@idcourts.net)>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 18, 2026 8:43 PM  
**To:** [coreyswitzer@gmail.com](mailto:coreyswitzer@gmail.com); Carole Wesenberg <[Carole\\_Wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov](mailto:Carole_Wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov)>  
**Subject:** John Doe (2026-05) v. Jane Doe, Attorney, Supreme Court Docket No. 53669-2026, Idaho State Bar No. 26-026C

**CAUTION - EXTERNAL:**

Dear Petitioner John Doe and Respondent Attorney Jane Doe:

Attached is a Scheduling Letter setting forth the dates by which additional or supplemental statements, materials, and documents relating to the above-referenced matter shall be presented. The other party shall be copied on all submissions.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

***Juneal C. Kerrick***

Juneal C. Kerrick

Senior District Judge

**CAUTION - EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated outside the Judiciary. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking on links.

<USPS.com® - USPS Tracking® Results.pdf>

<Screenshot\_2026-03-09-12-22-58-07\_e307a3f9df9f380ebaf106e1dc980bb6.jpg>

<IMG\_20260309\_120438.jpg>

<IMG\_20260309\_121243.jpg>

<sup>9</sup>  
Exh BB-50



**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO**

Petition for Grievance Against Attorney Jane Doe, I.B.C.R. 508(a).

JOHN DOE (2026-05),  
Petitioner,

v.

JANE DOE, Attorney,  
Respondent.

**SUPPLEMENTAL NOTICE RE: PATTERN OF CONDUCT BY CLERK KAREN  
CARLISLE**

Supreme Court Docket No. 53669-2026

Idaho State Bar No. 26-026C

---

Submitted to:  
Senior Judge Juneal C. Kerrick  
Canyon County Courthouse  
1115 Albany  
Caldwell, Idaho 83605  
jkerrick@idcourts.net

Submitted by:

*Exh BB-51*

John Doe (2026-05), Petitioner Pro Se  
264 Kernodle Rd  
Gibsonville, NC 27249  
[Email on file with Court]  
[Phone on file with Court]

Submitted on: March [10], 2026

---

## **I. INTRODUCTION**

1. Petitioner respectfully submits this Supplemental Notice to bring to Judge Kerrick's attention a document from September 2025 that establishes a pattern of conduct by Clerk Karen Carlisle relevant to the concerns raised in Petitioner's March 9, 2026 Notice of Concern.
2. This document—filed in Supreme Court Docket No. 53006-2025 (the Weeks matter), over which Judge Kerrick presided—demonstrates that Carlisle's problematic involvement in matters involving Petitioner predates the Wesenberg grievance by several months and has been the subject of prior objection.

---

## **II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

1. On September 26, 2025, Petitioner filed a "Supplemental Notice of Evidence and Ongoing Obstruction" in the Weeks matter (Supreme Court Docket No. 53006-2025). A true and correct copy is attached as Exhibit A.
2. That notice documented contradictory communications from Carlisle on September 23 and September 25, 2025, regarding scheduling for a hearing before Judge Kerrick.
3. Specifically:

*E+H BB-52*

· On September 23, 2025, Carlisle emailed Petitioner requesting availability for a "review hearing" on October 14 or 15, 2024 [sic], with no indication the request came from Judge Kerrick.

· When Petitioner objected, noting the matter was under this Court's jurisdiction and Judge Kerrick had been appointed, Carlisle responded on September 25 stating: "Judge Kerrick has asked that I communicate the following to you. Under her previous direction, I requested your availability for the October dates... She was unaware of your previously expressed unavailability at that time."

4. Petitioner's September 26, 2025 notice argued that these contradictory communications:

- Made it "impossible to determine the true origin of the scheduling attempt";
- Demonstrated "a lack of procedural clarity and candor";
- Showed that Carlisle's role in transmitting communications "injects confusion and doubt into every step of the process."

5. These are the same concerns Petitioner raised in the March 9, 2026 Notice of Concern regarding Carlisle's involvement in the current matter.

---

### **III. SIGNIFICANCE**

1. The September 2025 incident establishes that:

- a. Carlisle's problematic involvement in matters involving Petitioner predates the Wesenberg grievance by four months;
- b. Judge Kerrick was previously on notice of concerns about Carlisle's reliability as an intermediary, as the incident occurred during Kerrick's appointment in the Weeks matter;
- c. Petitioner has consistently objected to Carlisle's involvement whenever it has created confusion or procedural uncertainty;
- d. The March 2026 incident is not isolated but part of a pattern of conduct spanning multiple proceedings.

2. This pattern is relevant to the current investigation because:

*Exh BB-53*

- a. It undermines any claim that Carlisle's March 2026 involvement was an isolated or harmless incident;
- b. It supports Petitioner's request (made in the January 21, 2026 grievance) that Carlisle be removed from any role in matters involving Petitioner;
- c. It demonstrates that Carlisle's continued involvement, despite a pending grievance, is part of a larger pattern of conduct that creates an appearance of impropriety.

---

#### IV. CONCLUSION

Petitioner respectfully requests that Judge Kerrick take judicial notice of Exhibit A and consider this pattern of conduct in connection with the concerns raised in Petitioner's March 9, 2026 Notice of Concern.

Respectfully submitted this [10th] day of March, 2026.

---

/s/ John Doe  
John Doe (2026-05)  
Petitioner, Pro Se

----

Exh BB-54



## V. CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this [10th] day of March, 2026, I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing SUPPLEMENTAL NOTICE upon the following parties via electronic mail:

Party Email Address

Senior Judge Juneal C. Kerrick [jkerrick@idcourts.net](mailto:jkerrick@idcourts.net)

Melanie Gagnepain, Clerk [mgagnepain@idcourts.net](mailto:mgagnepain@idcourts.net)

Carole I. Wesenberg, Respondent [carole\\_wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov](mailto:carole_wesenberg@ca9.uscourts.gov)

Joseph Pirtle, Bar Counsel [jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:jpirtle@isb.idaho.gov)

Karen Carlisle, Clerk [kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov)

Jessica Kline, Legal Assistant [jkline@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:jkline@isb.idaho.gov)

Executed this [10th] day of March, 2026, in Gibsonville, North Carolina.

---

/s/ John Doe

John Doe (2026-05)

---

[END OF DOCUMENT]

*EA BB-55*



---

**53006-2025 John Doe (2025-18) v. Jane Doe (ISB 25-208)**

1 message

---

**Karen Carlisle** <kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov>

Thu, Sep 25, 2025 at 6:21 PM

To: Corey Switzer <coreyswitzer@gmail.com>

Mr. Switzer:

Judge Kerrick has asked that I communicate the following to you.

Under her previous direction, I requested your availability for the October dates, as that is when Judge Kerrick requested to schedule the hearing. She was unaware of your previously expressed unavailability at that time.

Given that the appeal matters are pending before the Idaho Supreme Court, Judge Kerrick will hold off on scheduling this matter until after the ISC has ruled on your cause. Please disregard the previous request for availability in October.

Respectfully,

**Karen Carlisle, Clerk**

**Professional Conduct Board/Fee Arbitration**

Idaho State Bar [www.isb.idaho.gov](http://www.isb.idaho.gov) |

P.O. Box 895, Boise, ID 83701

Direct: (208) 955-8876 |

[kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov](mailto:kcarlisle@isb.idaho.gov)

*Exh BB - 56*